

GARDEN OF INDIA;

OR

CHAPTERS ON OUDH HISTORY AND AFFAIRS.

BY

H. C. IRWIN, B.A. Oxon., B.C.S.

LONDON:

W. H. ALLEN & CO., 13 WATERLOO PLACE.
PUBLISHERS TO THE INDIA OFFICE.

, 1880. A

(All rights reserved.)



TO

MY BROTHER OFFICERS OF THE OUDH COMMISSION

I BEG LEAVE

TO INSCRIBE THIS LITTLE BOOK
WITH A PROFOUND SENSE OF ITS MANY DEFICIENCIES
BUT IN THE HOPE THAT

HOWEVER WIDELY SOME OF THEM MAY DISSENT FROM
NOT A FEW OF THE OPINIONS I HAVE VENTURED TO EXPRESS
THEY WILL AT LEAST BELIEVE
THAT THESE ARE INSPIRED BY A SINCERE LOVE

OF THE PROVINCE AND THE PEOPLE
WHOSE WELFARE WE HAVE
ALL ALIKE AT HEART.

"Garden of Indsa," fading flower?
Withers thy bosom fair.
State, apotars, sairer devour
What frost, flood, famine svare."

A, H H

"Un que [nous vau]nos] e'est que le pauvre, releré à en longue déchaunce, cesse de trauser avec dejuent ses élames bréditaires, d'être un par insertement du trevail, une amplé matière exploitable. Tout étoré que perodurure peu crésidais sensir sérins; tout orféreme dans les choses présentes que n'aboutrant pout à exite réferres fondamentale seruit déressure et vaue.

"Malheur aux réngués d'aujourd'hui!"

George Band.

"The dumb dread people that sat All might without screet for the might, All day without food for the day, They shall give not their barrest wary, They shall set of its front and war fet: They shall see the desure of their sight, Though the ways of the *cane be steep, They shall clumb with face to the light, Fut in the mcKes and resp.

A. C. Siciaburas.

CONTENTS.

	Tant
CHAPTER L-A Bird's are Virs	1
CHAPTER IL-A FIR Pacts and Figure	16
CHAPTER HIRabby Oudh History and Legend.	62
CHAPTER IVTun Namani [1720-1556]	77
CHAPTER VAssistation	156
CHAPTER VIPIPTERS MORTHS OF ZAMI'S DAMI'	
Pointer [1856-1857]	178
CHAPTER VIL-TEN YEARS OF TALUQUA'RI' POLICY	
[1858-1868]	191
CHAPTER VIII.—Conclusions	297



GARDEN OF INDIA.

CHAPTER L

A timber of the high.

🗱 the teaches were guiteel with televing or gowers of rief to and could take his early at earlier of me bright morning in early April et a extran vantewegt falle, le, almost era the 62 E. I notes beautiff M. Littade, which is very visibly the at before un mind's one at this mean at, he would be before him, si jung goally southward to the Ganges, the prest plan of Oadh. Belied him would rise in green, tumble I may a the pinesshal falls of Naiy A. shutting out the distant glories of the enony range. At his fort, and stretching for any on either hand to cot and west, he would see a brood belt of prime val forest-the shrunken remnant of that mighty word, the great Gandharb Ban, which once covered the country north of the Sarjú river up to the hills-intersected by a gleaning line where the waters of the Rapti glisten brightly in the morning sunlight. Here only in Oudh may still be tasted, though in diminished measure, the indescribable charm, which no one who has once known it can ever forget, of the wild, free, irresponsible life of the forest.

Life has not many better things to offer in the way of purely natural pleasure than it bestows on a hard-working Anglo-Indian who, having fairly earned a month of holiday by eleven months of honest toil in court-house or in tent, can resort to these happy hunting-grounds, and abandon himself for a seuson to the bliss of an existence such as Browning has sung of in "Saul"

"Oh, the wild joys of hving I the leaping from rock up to rock,
The strong rending of boughs from the fir tree, the cool silver
shock
Of the plungs in a pool's hving water, the hunt of the bear.

Of the plungs in a pool's living water, the hint of the bear, And the sultriness showing the [tiger] is couched in his lair" think of the awakening in the early twilight from such

Tinks of the avalence in the early twilight from such alumber as only a couch as the forest, after a long day in the bowdah, can afford, to see the morning star growing pale in the green sky before the gathering flush of the dawn, and to taste in anterpation the coming hiss of a long, objective, irresponshle day. Think, next, of the exquisite plungs into the blue dameng ripples of the river, as it comes racing down from the hills over tree trink and boulder. Hard to believe that it is the same stream whose steady murmur lidlied us to sleep overnight, as we lay with half-closed eyes witching it shimmering past in the stablight Strike out boldly, and lead yourself without treat to the cod fresh embrace of its mimic waves. No dread of erocolides here to mar your rapture as you

"Try the water with delighted limbs."

Lower down the stream the elephants are enjoying to the uterates their moraing bath, lying stretched out in uncouth abandon, and uttering subleud grants and squeats of deligid, while their mahouts clamber about their lung persons, and scrub their rugged lades. Then come the sauther through the camp, the inspection of the horses, and the alfrace breakfast, followed by the arrival of the wise old shiking who went out overnight, and has now returned with sure Libebur of a tiger's wherealouts. Then the long slow march of elephants in line, winding their way to the distant cover, through deep forest glade where the spected deer scurry wary unscatched by random bullet, shrings noble streams

wooled to the water's edge, crossing rugged ravines and sandy nullabe, over broad provey plains with no sign of human presence rave, at wide intervale, the paper camp, or the frail but of the extechn watherer, or the wandering bendeman with his buffales.

And when the great ensure is reached, and the best has legun, and every Lendah is snaving to and frolike a chip at ers, as the labouring elephant ploughs his copy may through the tall eradling reals, in whose cost not depths the jumple ling his draming of that succelent young helfer, the remains of where tell-take carease are still lying not three hundred yards off-why, then, you must indeed be black if your heart does not least quickly and your blood tingle as you grasp your favourite old emerch-bore and lean forward, exper to eatch the first glumpee of the black and turny stripes. And when the mighty game is afeed, and the law weeded hills all round a do to the quick peals of velleying thumber, the smoke of which hongs he will among the reel-tots, and after a mad charge among the elephants that contiers bull of them to right and left like rabbits, the great cut sinks with a sullen roor, in ver to rise again, and when-but, ah, well! We must not linger too long over the pleasant memories of this "simple and violent" life, for it is with far other scenes, for the most part, and with other moles of being, that we have to deal in the pages that are to come. So let us transfer ourselves back to our perch on the breezy hill-side, and look forth again, resolutely dismissing all thought of the forest and its charms, or retaining them only as a cool green back-ground to the dusty, quivering heat and stress of the great panorama beyond.

There it lies, the great plain! with its dim myriad memories dating back from before the carliest dawn of history, ancient home of strange long-forgotten dynasties of aboriginal husbandmen, or Scythian nomads "ranging trackless fields," who here lived out their rude anarchic lives for many a slow far-off century, before the inroads of the Rájpút hordes from the north and west, followed hard by the pursuing armies of Islâm, crushed their weaker organisations,

and made them bondamen and herdsmen and hewers of wood and drawers of water, unto this day. There it lies, the great plain! I land of narrow fields and wide nivers, of open barren tracts, and great single trees, and dense mange groves, of jungle patches, and shallow redy lakes, and dry watercourses, and rugged ravines worn by the rushing raintorents.

And what sort of life is it, you may ask, that is led by the scantily clad, dusky dwellers in those groups of mudbuilt, thatch-roofed, erceper-covered houses that dot the country so thickly-the men who are irrigating their fields from tank and channel, the children herding cattle on the bare-looking grazing-grounds, the women with their water vessels clustering round the village well? And it must, I fear, be answered that theirs is, for the most part, a dim, slowly moving, and too often a poverty-stricken and hungerbitten life, a life of small observances and petty superstitions, a narrow round of struggle with the rent-collector and the village usurer, enlivened only by the rare excitement of a marriage-feast, or a pilgrimage to some quasi-religious fair. They are a much-enduring, little-complaining race. these earth-tillers, " men to much misery and hardship born," who, blessed indeed in that they expect hitle, yet even of that little too often disappointed, wear away their lives from hand to mouth and year to year,

> "Muttering across the barley bread In daily toil and dreamhead."

This is not a pleasant sketch of the condition of the rural masses of Oudh, but I do not think that it is, so far as it goes, an unfaithful one, or drawn in too sombre colours. "Tales of rustic happiness," as Coleridge has told us, are too often but.

> "Pernicious tales, insudious strains, That steel the rich man's breast, And most the lot unblest, The soulid vices and the abject pains, That evermore must be The doom of ignorance and renary."

That, havever, the per rer, is the great inspority of the persontry are, as a rule, conviously or neutely interable, may be rafely denied; and this is, perhaps, the most consolatory reflection which a study of their condition can suggest. They are fertiful nowinst suffering by the most effective of all anosthetes, that of never having known anything much letter than their present let. Were it not so, however, were they nally as needled as they apparently ought to be, the day of their deliverance might, perhaps, be more nearly at hand than it is. For it is a truth of very wide application that the main accurain the world's working is pain. In the history of every people, it has been said, there is a stage of transition between barbarism and civilication, which always seems more bleakly micerable than either. This stage has not yet been fully entered on by the mass of the Oudh peasantry.

These marses, however, are by no means the whole of the rural population. In spite of their prependerating numbers, ther are what in England would be calle In residuum. There still exists in Ondh a peasant aristocracy, a class of yeomon, consisting of higheriste Hindus with a sprinkling of Musalmans, many of the latter being converts from Hinduism, and retaining most of the characteristics of their ancient faith. These are further removed, as a rule, from actual want of feel and clothing than the ordinary low-caste cultivators, and far less boying and unconscious of their condition, far more keenly alive to its disadvantages, and less inclined to accept the situation as it is, capable of higher pleasures, and exposed to keener pains. With their fine physique, and still retaining much of their old high and turbulent spirit, parsionately clinging to the remnants of their rights in the soil, at ceaseless war with the rent court and the rent-collector, these are the men to whom the task of administration owes much of its difficulty, and still more, perhaps, of its interest. If they are hard to govern, they are worth governing. And it may, perhaps, be not unfairly said that the interest which they have for us is their own, but that the difficulties which we find in governing them are mainly of our creation. For we have here no ardent aspirations for national independence, no enthusiastic hostility to contend

ĥ

against. The Oudh yeoman can hardly be said to have a political consciousness. What he does beyond all question care for, what he is often ready to give his own life, or take the lives of others, in order to retain, is his land, or the land which once was his. Cetters parties—a large assumption—he would, as an individual, doubtless prefer to be ruled by a government of his own race and creed. But the effective force of his preference is so slight, and his power of combination with any larger number of his fellows than are to be found in the immediate neighbourhood of his own village as small, that no government which secured him in the undisturbed possession of his felidas at light rent, and in most other respects left him to himself, would have anything whatever to fear from him.

His desires can hardly be called immoderate. They include a mud-walled house, roofed , with tiles or thatch, such as might be built for Rs 50 or 60, a couple of pounds of flour daily, with a modicum of oil and spices, for his own consumption, and for his wife and children in proportion, say 8 lbs, for an average household, a moderate wardrobe for himself and his family, of which the prime cost might be from Rs 40 to 50; half-a-dozen brass waterpots and cooling dishes; two or three yoke of bullocks, worth from Rs. 20 to 50 a yoke, a milch huffalo, a few rude agricultural implements, a ploughmau whom he feeds and clothes at a cost of Rs 18 or 20 a year, and who is often a hereditary serf, and, perhaps, an ambling cow-hocked pony which would be dear at Rs 10-such or something not very unlike it, is his notion of comfort He wants, in fact, a stock of possessions worth from Rs. 300 to 400, and an income, after his rent is paid, of from Rs. 150 to 200 a year. An Oudh yeoman thus endowed would be considered well-to-do, or, as he himself would phrase it, Ihush. In addition to this custom demands that he should be able to spend something like a year's income on the marriage of each of his daughters, a feat which he rarely accomplishes without getting into debt. This is, no doubt, reprehensible, but considering that it is the only extravagance of his life, and that it is enforced by a rigorous dastir, of the stringency of which it is difficult for a nonAsiatic mind to form an adoquate conception, it may perhape be foreiven him. Let the opinion of the Dakkhan Riotr Commission be noted to the first that "mulne prominence has been given to the expenditure on marriage and other feetingle as a cause of the roof's indebtedues. The expenditure on such committee may undoubtedly be called extravagant when compared with the root's means; but the earnefens occur solden, and probably in a course of years the total sum spent in this way by any root is not larger than a mun in his position is justified in spending on rocial and domestic pleasures." This remark is no applicable to the north as it is to they out of the Jamua. More wer, it should be remembered, though it is commonly forgetten, that the greater part of this outlay is not wasted, but consists of an neighborance for of hard cash, or cattle, or how chold furniture from the father of the bride to the father of the bridegroom, or view yers i, according to the relative position of the parties. It is regarded as an investment for the benefit of the log or girl, as the case may be, and differs but little in prinriple from the downy and marriage editlements which are not alsolutely unknown in lands further west. Altogether, the Cash resumn's scheme of expenditure, his standard of living, can searcely by deemed extravagantly luxurious or in urish the red of reduction. What he requires to realise it is the undisturbed passession of early, 25 to 50 heres of average land, at a rent varying according to the circumstances of the locality, from Rs. 2 to 3 an acre. Considering that agricultural produce is generally more than twice as cheap, the out-turn per agre soldon half as large, and the rate of interest from three to six times as high as in England, can this be called an immoderate demand?

Thus far concerning the Oudh cultivator and the Oudh yeoman. But a much more nugust personage, far more widely known to fame, remains to be delineated. Need it be said that that personage is the Oudh Taluqdar? The Taluqdari body forms the most prominent, though not, of course, the most intrinsically important feature of the agricultural system of Oudh. It may be roughly divided into three classes.

1. Feudal Chufn—These are almost entirely Rájpits—some few of whom have been converted to Islám—and are either heads of clans, entitled to be called Rája, or descended from leaders of offshoots of clans, and known by the homorife appellations of Rábú, Bhya, or Thákur. These had all undenable rights in the soil at the time of annexation in 1856, which must have been recognised by any Government, whatever its speculative opinions as to the ideally best form of land tenure, so long as it admitted the existence of private property in the land at all For all the feudal chiefs possessed a greater or smaller number of villages or portions of villages, in which their rights of ownership were undeputed. These formed the nucleus round which they had in troubled times accumulated—sometimes by force, sometimes by collusion with the offi-

over these latter, principally, that the land was raged so long and so flercely in our settlement courts. But of this hereafter Ancestral lands, it may be here observed, are commonly known as hepens; purchased land as molans; and land acquired by force or frand as dakens. The latter term is nearly, if not quite, as common as the two former, though it has not, like them, found its way into Mr. Carnegy's latest publication, perhaps hecause it was not considered sufficiently "technical" to be recognised in a "kachahri".

2. "Muhroom" Tibuydain.—These were generally either officials of the King of Ondh's Government, who made use of their uncertain but, while it lasted, practically unrestrained power to get together an estate for themselves; or capitalists, who acquired vallage after vallage by gring security for the embarrassed proprietors. When the latter failed to discharge the revenue demand, their lands were made over by the Nizim or Chakladar to the sureties. A footing once gained in this way was very often maintained for many years previous to anuestation, so that the application of our twelve years! law of limitation barred the claim of the original to the original.

remaindars. Talupdars of this class are generally either Musalmans or Knyaths. But the largest and most conspicuous specimen of a "Mushrosm" vetate is at present in, or on, the bands of a Deputy Commissioner as manager on Isoladi of a Bulhman progridor.

S. Ly if Grant server Khairther has literally, well-withers, so The same mainly Panjabis, who were given estates in reward for their services during the Mutiny. They are sometimes profan by styled "Carpsteleggers," and though not unfrequently good landlerds, as Indian notions of a good landlerd go, are still generally regarded by the people comewhat in the light in which American S authorners regard the Northern leveless who prey upon them, or as Irish persants regard an English capitalist who manages his estate without much reference to Irish ideas. The grantees, however, as being mainly an exotic and not very numerous class, and being almost confined to two districts, Rai Bureli and Bahraich, med not here be discussed at any length.

Doen this beset sketch ruthers to show that the Talundars are by no means a homogeneous body, and that they differ widely in race, birth, religion, and halats. There is, inde-d, p-rhaps only one point common to them all without exception. They are all, as Hamlet puts it, "spacious in the possession of dirt," a psculiarity which they share with every other bely of large laudlords known to history. There is still, moreover, an undeniable difference, speaking generally, in the attitude of the people towards these three different classes. Yet these differences should not, I think, be recognised in any steps that may hereafter be taken towards modifying the system and its working. The practical ground for this opinion is that the differences themselves are yearly decreasing. Foudal sentiment, if nowhere actually dead, is certainly on the wane; and it reems highly probable that in no very long time the relations between each Taluquar and the under-proprietors and tenants on his estate will depend almost entirely on the mode, beneficent or otherwise, in which he employs the great power over them which his position necessarily gives him, and not to any appreciable extent on the mode by which he attained that position, whether as

the descendant of a long line of chieftains, or as the son or grandson of a lucky revenue farmer or speculative capitalist.

From an abstract point of view, again, the relation of all Taluquars alike to the British Government and their claims upon it are based solely and simply on their sannads, and on our acts and proclamations since the Mutiny, which abrogate any distinctions between them that previously existed, except such as are specially recognised by us in the sannads themselves or otherwise We owe them all alike a faithful observance of our promises, so long us they observe their engagements, and we owe none of them a jot or tittle more than this None of the existing Talugdárs can well be said to have received less at our hands than he was entitled to, and if some have obtained more, that is no reason for now yielding anything more to those, if any such there he, who have received only what was their due. If I dwell on this point with what may seem needless prolivity, it is because there has been noticeable in some quarters a tendency to insist on the gulf that separates the "Chieftain" from the mere Taluquár-(which is no doubt true and interesting as a historical fact)-without stating the supplementary fact that the distinction is one on which no practical action can now he advantageously taken. It is a sorrowful admission to have to make, that we have fallen into an irretrievable blunder, and have by our own act cut ourselves off from all hope of ever settling the land question of Oudh in an ideally satisfactory manner. But the done thing cannot be undone, and it only behaves us to accept as loyally and solve as wisely as we may the problem on the condition under which it has been handed down to us.

Now, however, before venturing any further into the mary imbroghes of Outh land controvery, let us ty to form something like a concrete conception of the Outh Talundár as he is in the flesh and outside the Blue Book. Come with me, and let me introduce you to my friend Bibb Sühk Singh of Saráwanpur, chief of a cadet but long separately catabilished branch of the great Bas claim. Bis ancestors emigrated northwards from Bairwifus some four of free entire ango, drow out the Bhars, or wheever the aborigines

low-caste cultivators in his own special group of some thirty or forty villages. Of these latter he was the undisputed proprietor, though the same tenants went on paying the same rents for the same land from generation to generation. With the internal affairs of the forty or fifty other villages held by his clausmen he had, in an ordinary way, little or nothing to do They generally paid their revenue through him, and presented him with a yearly offering in cash and kind, varying according to the size of their village, in token of his lordship. In short, he was generally accepted by his clansmen as their primus inter pares, and by his tenants as their earthly providence, and all things worked smoothly enough, so long as the Government officials allowed them to Things, however, have changed a good deal since then But little real alteration was made in the status quo by the summary settlement which followed immediately on annexation Sidhi Sinch retained his own undisputed villages, and those of his clansmen were settled with them directly He grumbled somewhat at this arrangement, but his harvest offerings were spontaneously continued to him by the now independent zamindars, and things were finding their level when the Mutiny broke out Our friend the Biba took no very active share in the disturbances which followed. He stayed at home, for the most part, and awaited the course of events. He would have done more wisely had he diligently searched the highways and hedges if haply he might find a fugitive Englishman to whom to give shelter and solace. It would have been the best investment of hospitality he ever made in his life, as not a few Talundars are now in a position to testify Not being far-sighted enough for this, however, he sat still, except when he indulged in the prosecution of a long-standing feud, which had been temporarrly checked by annexation, with the neighbouring Raja of Sazishabad. He went into Lucknow in obedience to the proclamation of amnesty, and under the new policy of Lord Canning, who was "in the giving vein" that day, was recognised as proprietor of the whole eighty villages which now constitute the Sarawanpur taluqa, instead of some five and thirty only, as before. Of his clansmen, some have re-

filled a not very crafted office in the household of one of the late kings of Oudh. He soon, however, obtained the favour of his royal master, took farming continents of the land revenue, and was so ferrent in business that in the course of a few years he put together the present Stanhibbd Ilfaq of some 300 villages. This he succeeded in doing by means so consonant with the feelings and customs of the country as to render husself universally beloved, and even to earn for himself the honourable soulirique to the "Raja Man-his," which, being interpreted, signifies the auspicons or fortunate Raja, a title which has descended in due course to his grandeon, through a father not unworthy either of the founder of the family or of its present prevenentaive

It was owing, indeed, to the abilities of the second member of this noble has, displayed during the Mutiny, that much of its present prosperity is due While the issues of the struggle were still uncertain, his judicious impartiality of mind enabled him to attain success in the difficult feat of rnnning with the hare and hinting with the hounds. This happy floribility of character carned him golden opinions from both parties, and ultimately led to a considerable increase of his estates For a short period, it is true, after the capture of Lucknow, he was somewhat under a cloud, and was, indeed, for some little time in imminent danger of Leine hanged, owing to a mistake, which was fortunately rectified ere it was too late, on the part of Lord Clyde His ments. however, were soon recognised; he was restored to liberty and augmented estates, and was one of the foremost members of that interesting assemblage of the landed aristocracy of Oudh with which Sir Bartle Frere, when he visited Lucknow in November 1861, was so favourably unpressed But his useful life was cut short by his unremitting toil for the good of the province, and he was succeeded by his son Ghair Insaf Ali The latter resides in a large mansion of solid masonry which he has recently erected at great expense, and receives us in a drawing-room furnished in Euro-pean fashion with lacquered chairs, ormola clocks, representations of Her Imperial Majesty, and other symptoms of advanced civilisation Behind his chair stands Ziráda

Wusúl Khán, formerly a Tahsíldár on the estate, but recently promoted for long and faithful services to the important post of confidential manager and adviser to its master, or, as it pleases him to be called, Wazír of the Ráj. Ghair Insaf Ali speaks English not unfluently, wears patent leather shoes, offers us champagne and cigars-which, it being early in the day, we decline-discusses the last debate of the British Indian Association, the draft of the Oudh Revenue Bill, and the situation in Turkey, with equal depth and vivacity, and altogether deports himself in a manner becoming an intelligent young native nobleman. It is needless to add that he is a warm admirer of Lord Beaconsfield's Eastern policy, but deprecates the amalgamation of Oudh with the North-Western Provinces as likely to be injurious to the interests of his order. He has been sagacious enough to recognise the fact that he lives in a talking and a writing age. Therefore he takes a prominent part in the debates of the Anjuman-i-Hind, and judiciously works the Laluckabad These are qualities which an appreciative Government is not slow to honour, and its approbation has recently been signalised by the appointment of Ghair Insaf Ali to be an Honorary Assistant Commissioner.

> "Se our virtues Lie in the interpretation of the time."

CHAPTER II.*

A FEW PACTS AND FIGURES.

The districts which since the beginning of the present century have composed the province of Osuh form an irregular pentagon, bounded on four sides by the North-Western Provinces, and on the fifth or northern side by the territory of Napial, which for about sury miles, or rather more than a third of the line of contact, towards the east, is contemmous with the first range of the Sewilik hills, but towards the west includes a strip of the Taria country at their base, varying in width from ten to thirty miles. This area of the province amounts, in round numbers, to 2,000 square miles, of which about 13,000, or, svs, 55 per cent, containing 8,400,000 acres, are cultivated. Of the re-

As this chapter is mainly a compilation from afficial or somi-efficial

mainder, 5,305 square miles, or 22 per cent., are culturable waste, and it may be said, roughly speaking, that—

Barren waste comprises	6 per cent.	
Groves	4 ,,	
Lakes and rivers .	$6\frac{1}{2}$,,	
Town and village sites	2 ,,	
Roads of all kinds .	1 "	
Government forests .	$3\frac{1}{3}$,,	

Oudh is for the most part a portion of the great Gangetic plain which slopes gradually down from the north-west to the south-east, and does not differ appreciably in physical characteristics from the surrounding districts of the North-Western Provinces in which it is embedded. It is the terra media of Hindústán, where the features of scenery, elimate, and national character common to the lands both east and west of it may be found concentred. The greater part of the country consists of a wide cultivated tract broken up into tiny, hedgeless fields, divided by low, flattopped, earthen banks, only a few inches in height, and from one to two feet broad. In rice lands, however, these partitions, or merhs, are higher and more substantial, much more copious irrigation being requisite than is needed for any other crop. The monotony of this level surface is relieved by numerous mango groves, occasional barren plains of what is called úsar soil, on which nothing will grow but a short coarse grass, and frequent jhils or swamps, often covered with reeds, and most of which are only entitled to be called lakes during and shortly after the rainy scason. Ninc-tenths of the country may be described as pretty but monotonous, but the remaining tenth, or tho strip of Tarai to the north of the three northern districts of Kheri, Bahraich, and Gonda, which includes nearly all the Government forests, has a character of its own, and can show scenery which for wild beauty it would be hard to surpass in any plain country in the world, set off by a background of precipitous, wooded hills, behind which tower in stainless, unapproachable grandcur the eternal snows of the Himâlaya.

Population is sparse in this region, and large game compa-ratively abundant, though much scarcer by all accounts than it was twenty years ago. Tigers may still be found, and wild elephants occasionally heard of. The wild buffalo used to be not uncommon, but is now extinct. Sambhar and the barking and four-horned deer are rare; antelope and spotted deer are fairly plentiful; nilgas and pigs superabundant, and extremely mischievous to crops The gond or swamp-deera noble animal with large branching horns, a species of water-sambhar-and the hog-deer, or parha, are to be found among the vast sheets of high grass that cover the low ground along the Girwa and Koriála rivers which, issuing from the hills in a single stream, divide their waters and flow, the former to the east, the latter to the west, until they unite, some thirty miles lower down, to form the mighty river Guighra The principal forest tree is the sill (shorea robusta), but many other valuable species, such as the khair or catechu, the mahua, shisham or blackwood, and the ubnus or ebony, are abundant. The catechu tree yields a kind of resin which is collected by a peculiar caste, the Khairahas (a subdivision of the Chais), who live principally in the Gonda district, but migrate northwards about the beginning of January, and return with their spoils towards the end of April The manufacture of catechu being no longer allowed in Government forests, the Khairahas have mostly transferred their enterprise to those of Naspal. The climate of these northern districts is generally preferred by Europeans to that of southern Oudh, being somewhat cooler. Native officials, however, from other parts regard at as feverish, and especially consider sojourn north of the Gliaghra, which divides Bahraich and Gonda from the rest of the province, as little better than banishment to a penal actilement, The climate of Oudh generally does not differ appreciably from that of the rest of Upper India. Suffice it to say that it is very hot and dry from early in April to the end of June; very hot and moist from July to the middle of October; and bright, clear, and pleasant during the remainder of the year. The general aspects of the scenery have been so well described by Mr. Benett that I cannot refrain from

a brief quotation, premising that it is not applicable to the Tarai:—

"The scenery is, as might be expected, entirely devoid of any features of boldness or grandeur; everywhere there are four elements, and four only, to the picture. The sky, covered in the rains with masses of magnificent clouds, in the cold weather a level sheet of uninterrupted blue, and later on brazen and lurid with heat; the lakes, whose still surface reflects the colour above; the groves; and the brilliant expanse of crops. If there is scarcely any beauty of form beyond what grace is lent to small scenes by the grouping of trees and water, the colour at least, when the ripening harvests are seen in an atmosphere whose transparent clearness is saved from glare by a soft and almost imperceptible haze, is beyond all description lovely, and the never absent abundance of the richest foliage gives a sufficient variety to every landscape."

The chief rivers of Oudh are the Ganges, the Gumti, the Ghághra, and the Rápti. The first separates the province on the south-west from the regulation districts of Farukhábád, Cawnpore, Fattihpur, and Allahábád. It is crossed by a railway and passenger bridge at Cawnpore. The second flows past Lucknow and Sultánpur, and is four times bridged at the former, and once at the latter town. The third, entering at the north-west corner of Bahraich, divides that district from Kheri and Sítapur. It joins the Chauka at Bahrámghát, and passing Faizábád city separates the district of that name for some fifty miles of its course from Basti and Gorakhpur. It is spanned by bridges of boats at Bahrámghát and Faizábád in the cold and dry scasons, but in the rains can only be crossed by ferry, a process which usually takes from one to six hours, according to the height of the water and the direction and strength of the wind. In the middle of the rains it is not less than three miles in width from bank to bank. The Rapti, rising among the lower hills of Naipal, at first flows almost due west. It then takes a sudden turn, and issuing from the hills flows southeastwards through Bahraich and Gonda, after which it enters the North-Western Provinces district of Basti. It is unbridged, and likely to remain so The aggregate dry-weather discharge of these rivers is 18,800 cubes feet per second. If the smaller streams be added, the entire discharge will amount to 20,000 cubes feet, or half the quantity of the fire rivers of the Panjáb This would be a great economic power, were it not that the channels he on an average from forty to fifty feet below the level of the country. The navigable length of the Oudh rivers amounts to 1,247 miles They all follow the general slope of the country, from north-west to southeast

The soil of Oudh may be briefly described as consisting two-thirds of loam or a mixture of clar and sain (which is expressed by its vernacular name of domat or dorats), while of the remaining third, one half is a stiff clay (matipir), and the other a light sand (bldr) It is tilled with the most primitive ploughs drawn by small bullocks, and then carefully harrowed, or, more structly speaking, the clods are crushed by a beam (servicens), on which the direct stands to keep it down, while it is drawn backwards and forward. The number of ploughings varies from two to twenty, as cording to the nature of the crep and the industry of the cultivator. The whole process amounts to hitle more than scratching up and minutely pulsersings four or five inches of the surface soil. Attempts have been and are being made to introduce improved ploughs and deeper ploughing. The chief obstacle to their success is the weakness of the ordinary counter cattle.

The principal crops are wheat, bridey, nee, and maize of all kinds. The two former are robs or aping crops, it they are sown in October or early in November, after the runs, and cut in March, or early in April. The two latter are the staples of the harif, or automa harvest, and are sown from just after the beginning of the runs to the end of July, and cut from about the middle of September to the end of November. Other spring crops are peas, grun (chana, Iat. cierc arictinum), guya (a muture of wheet, Johis, and latter, or ján), tobacco, poppy, and all the garden growths, such as potatoes, carrots, comous, &c. Minor autonin crops are kode, kikim, moh, mith, and marker. The robi latrice die.

pends principally on irrigation; the *kharif* on natural rainfall. Sugar-cane is planted in March and assiduously watered until the rains begin. It is cut in January and February. *Arhar (cytisus cajans)* from which the Revalenta Arabica is made, is planted in June, often together with *kodo*, and not eut until the following April. It is very sensitive to frost.

Few subjects are more disputed than the productiveness of the soil, but having neither space nor inclination to enter into the controversy here, I will only state my own belief that the out-turn of wheat on first-rate land, well manured and irrigated, and in a favourable season will very rarely be found to exceed 1,500 lbs., or 25 bushels per acre. usual land-measure, it may here be noted, is the bigha. The standard, or pakka, bigha is five-eighths of an aere; the village, or kachcha, bigha varies locally from one-third to twofifths of the standard bigha, but, generally speaking, may be taken as equivalent to one-fifth of an aere. There are simi-'lar'variations in the dry measures. A standard maund (man) eontains 40 sirs, of 2 lbs. each and a fraction over, but may be regarded as equal to 80 lbs. avoirdupois; while kachcha maunds and sirs are respectively two-fifths of the standard measures of the same name. Thus, for ordinary ealeulations it may be assumed that the kachcha maund is to the kachcha bigha, as the pakka maund to the pakka bigha.

To return from this digression to the question of out-turn, it must be remembered that a yield of 1,500 lbs. to the acre could only, as a rule, be obtained from the best land and under the most favourable eireumstances. And the best land is but a small proportion, less, probably, than one-fourth of the whole; while favourable seasons, of late years at any rate, seem to have been rather the exception than the rule. It is probable, however, that the produce of wheat lands is seldom less than 500 lbs. to the acre, as it is seldom sown in any but good soil, while the poorest and most thriftless classes of cultivators rarely sow it at all, owing to the labour and expense which it requires. The yield of barley, rice, and maize differs but little from that of wheat, but of the inferior crops the out-turn per acre is probably not more than from one-half to two-thirds of it, while in really bad years

the cultivater often reaps little more than enough to recoup him for his seed. Perhaps ten per cent, of the total area entered as entirated hes fallow every year, while one-third bears two harvests, and garden lands lying immediately round the *ibidal*, or village site, often yield three. It is extremely difficult to make anything like an accurate estimate of the total average out-turn per acre. Any such attempt can be little more than a guess, and to treat it as a bave for practical measures would be most dangerous. If compelled, however, to hazard an estimate, I should not venture to assume the annual out-turn at more than 800 lbs per caltivated acre. The cultivated area of the province is usually stated to be 8,400,000 acres. It is now probably nearer 9,000,000 acres, of which 8,000,000 may be taken as the area under food grains, and the remanader allowed for cotton, did seed, and other non-edible produce. The yield of 8,000,000 acres at 800 lbs per acre, would amount to the somewhat bewildering total of 6,400,000 be, or a batt 2,857,145 ton.

Now, how would such an out-turn answer to the raquimments of the population, which, according to the casus of 1889, is somewhat less than eleven millions and a quarter? Excluding from the calculation some 1,220,000, as representing infants in arms and young children who consume but very little grain food, we have ten millions left to be provided for, they cat a pound and a half apsece of grain during the day, they cat a pound and a half apsece of grain during the day consider to be rather over the mark than under. This would give a total daily consumption of 1,500,000 lbs, and an annual consumption of 5,475,000,000 bp. equal to 2,444,195 tons, or 225,000,000 of pounds less than the assumed to 2,444,195 tons, or 225,000,000 of pounds less than the assumed to yearly out-turn. The surplus of production over consumption would thus amount to a lattle more than 412,945 tons. The export of grain in 1869 was, according to not very trusttion of the production of the production of the control of grain, too, in some years, has fallen not very far short of the export

A considerable amount of food grain is, of course, consumed by horses and cattle, wasted by damp and insects, and destroyed by fires; but it is impossible to make even an approximate guess at the quantity thus disposed of. Common country cattle and ponies get little or no grain, and live chiefly on grass, chaff, and chopped maize stalks. There is reason to fear that the estimate of a pound and a half of food grain daily per head of population is above the mark. Except in years of more than average plenty, many of the poorer classes, i.e. of the great majority of the people, hardly get a full meal once in three days during the five or six weeks immediately preceding each harvest, and feed, or rather graze, at such seasons on pounded mango stones, gular berries, watery squashes, and melons, and other miserable expedients for appeasing hunger, which never find their way into the returns of current prices. The consumption of meat is confined to too limited a class to need consideration here.

For nearly twenty-one years from the date of annexation in February 1856 Oudh was a separate Chief Commissionership, with an administration of the usual non-regulation pattern, the most distinguishing features of which, as opposed to the regulation system, are (1) that the district officers are styled Deputy, Assistant, and Extra-Assistant Commissioners, instead of Magistrates and Collectors, Joint and Assistant Magistrates and Collectors, and Deputy Collectors, respectively; and (2) that executive authority and judicial powers of all kinds, civil, criminal, and rent, are united in their hands, whereas in regulation provinces all civil judicial work is disposed of by a separate staff of Judges and Munsifs. The strong point of the non-regulation system is its comparative cheapness; its defect, if it be one, is itswell, let us call it, roughness. But in January 1877 Oudh was declared amalgamated with the North-Western Provinces, and the functions of Chief Commissioner have since been vested in the Lieutenant-Governor. The revenue divisions are four, each presided over by a Commissioner, who is also a Sessions Judge, and each containing three districts in charge of as many Deputy Commissioners.

The divisions and districts are as follows:---

1. Lucknow di	vision,	meinding	1. Lucknow di	strict
			2 Bárabanki	27
			3. Unáo	p
2. Rai Bareli	"	27	 Raı Bareli 	,,
			5 Sultánpur	17
			6. Pratábgarh	**
3, Faizábád		32	7. Faizábád	,,
			8. Gonda	**
			9. Bahraich	
4. Sítapur			10. Sítapur	
-			11 Hardu	
			12 Khen	
	"	,,	7. Faizábád 8. Gonda 9. Bahraich 10. Sítapur 11 Hardui	**

In July 1879 a long-menbated "judicial scheme" was introduced, by which all civil judicial work was taken out of the hands of Deputy Commissioners and their staff, and special courts created for its disposal. The total strength of the commission now amounts to forty judicial and sixty-seven executive officers. The judicial staff consists of a Judicial Commissioner, four divisional Judges, twelve district Sub-Judges, twenty-two Munsifs, and one Small Cause Court Judge of Lucknow. The executive branch includes four Commissioners and Sessions Judges, twelve Deputy Commissioners, twenty-four Assistant, and twenty-four Extra-Assistant Commissioners, one City Magistrate of Lucknow, and two Cantonment Magistrates, who are also Small Cause Court Judges All the Munsife, several of the Sub-Judges, and one Judge, are natives of India; while on the executive side, all but the creat majority of the Extra-Assistants and one Assistant Commissioner are Europeans. Besides the above, there are in Oudh forty-three Tabsildars, each of whom is in charge of a tabsfl, or sub-division, of a district, and whose special duty is the collection of the revenue They all, however, exercise criminal powers, and are competent to try suits under the Rent Act, and carry out all miscellaneous executive orders of the Deputy Commissioner They are a very hard-worked and capable body of men, whose pay ranges from one hundred and fifty rupees to two hundred rupees a month, while the average area of their jurisdiction is five hundred and thirty-four square miles, and the amount of land revenue for the collection of which they are responsible exceeds, on an average, £32,000 yearly. Perhaps one of the most effective directions which administrative reform in Oudh could take would be that of increasing the number and salary of Tahsíldárs. Their present charges are so large that, though it is wonderful how well they do know them, it is almost impossible for them to know them thoroughly.

The steps in the administrative ladder, then, are the Tahsíldár, the Deputy Commissioner, the Commissioner, and the Chief Commissioner, with lateral supports in the shape of Assistants and Extra-Assistants. The Tahsíldár has also at his disposal the services of a peshkár or deputy, and of a kánúngo for each pargana or sub-division of a tahsíl. This latter official keeps up revenue records and registers, and supervises the village accountants, or patwáris, who, with the chaukídárs, or village watchmen, are the ultimate sources of the voluminous statistics and tabular statements which fill so many pages yearly in provincial reports and Imperial Blue Books.

The aggregate strength of the Oudh police in 1877 was 7,680 officers and men, of whom 5,887 were paid from provincial, and 1,793 from municipal, funds. Their total cost was slightly over £105,000. The proportion of policemen to population was as 1 to 1,560, and the cost per head was $2\frac{1}{4}$ d.; while in the North-West Provinces the proportion was as 1 to 1,193, and the cost $2\frac{3}{4}$ d. per head. On the other hand, the chaukídárs, or village police, in Oudh were as 1 to 321 of the population, against 1 to 453 in the North-West Provinces, and the cost considerably greater. If the Oudh chaukídárs were fewer in number, and regularly paid by Government, their efficiency would probably increase. As it is, they are paid partly by landholders in each or rent-free grants, generally of inferior land, partly by cultivators in the shape of petty grain dues (tihai), in the realisation of which much of their time is apt to be spent; and thus their sense of responsibility to Government is naturally

weakened.* The pay is very small, rarely more than Rs. 36 a year, yet, strange as it may seem, there is considerable competition for the post.

competition for the post.

The military force of the province is divided between the three cantonments of Lucknow, Fairshbál, and Sifapur, and consists of one regiment of European, and two regiments and one detachment of mative, caralry; five batteries of European artillery, and four regiments of European artillery, and four regiments of European, and four of native, infantry. Its annual cost is somewhat in

excess of £33,0000 Orders have recently been issued for the abandonment of Sitapur as a military station. There are eleven district jule in Oudh, and a central prison at Lucknow The average daily population of these establishments is about \$8,000, and their yearly cost about

£30,000 or Rs 38 per head
There are more than 1,400 schools of different grades
mitanged by the Educational Department, with nearly 70,000
pupils, the total cost of whose instruction amounts to more
than £50,000. Nearly 5,000 of these study English; Urdia
and Hindi are read by about 35,000 and 35,000 respectively,
more than 10,000 learn Persan, but Sanskrit and Arabic
seem to find few disciples. These latter languages, however,
are taught privately by Pandits and Maularis to pupils
of the classes whom our schools do not attract.

The imperial taxes collected within the province amounted in 1877 to somewhat more than £1,67,000, of what somewhat less than £1,400,000 is derived from land revenue, and from excise and stamp duties about £90,000 each. Other mascellances sources, the principal of which were the forests and the post office, yielded some £90,000 more Dubursements within the province were less than £550,000, adding to which the multary charges, or some £350,000, there

[•] It must be admitted, however, that there are peculiar difficulties in the way of anything like sweeping reform of the chankidári system of Oudh.

[†] The incidence of the land revenue is nearly Rs 2 per cultivated acre, which is about one fifth heavier than in the North-West Provinces, and nearly five times as heavy as in the Central Provinces.

remains a clear contribution to the resources of the empire of more than three-quarters of a million sterling. And this is exclusive of the income derived from the great opium and salt monopolies, which amount on an average to not less than £500,000 and £200,000 respectively. Thus, the total contribution of Oudh to the imperial treasury, after defraying the cost of the garrison and all local charges, is but little short of a million and a half sterling. Even if it be said, as it not unfairly may, that the income derived from opium, forests, and the post office, is not really taxation, and involves no drain on the country, it still remains true that considerably more than £900,000 sterling raised by taxation within the province is expended outside its limits.

"Alles das geht ven des Bauern Felle,"

and it is obvious how severe must be the drain of such an outflow on the resources of a poor and overcrowded country. Depletion may be sometimes a salutary process, but if carried too far it is apt to result in death from inanition, and in any case the balance of opinion is not in favour of trying it upon a starving patient.

The population of Oudh is, perhaps, more dense than that of any other portion of equal extent of the earth's surface. It amounted at the census of 1869, in round numbers, to eleven millions and a quarter, or 474 to the square mile. During the ten years that have elapsed since then, it can hardly have failed to increase, though to what extent there are no means of knowing. The province, to quote an old Administration Report, is "a little smaller than Scotland, a little larger than Denmark, but with a population more than double that of both put together." It is not uninstructive to compare Oudh in this respect with a few other Indian provinces and European countries:

			Population per Square mile.					Date of Census.
Oudh .				- •	474			1869.
England	and	Walcs			344			1861.
Belgium					400			1855.
Holland					254		•	1853.
France					178			1856.

	Population per Square mile.				Date of Census
Saxony		354			1855.
Italy .		268			
Bengal		269			1872.
North-West Provinces		361			1865.
Central Provinces		79			1866.

These figures suffice to show how much more densely crowded is the soil of Oudh than that of the most populous regions in Europe, or of other parts of India. And it some 4,300 square miles to the north of the province, including the Government forests, be excluded, the population of the remander, some 19,600 square miles, or 24 per cent of the whole area, is 514 to the mile, while on the cultivated and culturable area alone, or 77 per cent of the whole, it is 550 to the mile.

And in no part of India, moreover, is the proportion of urban to rural population so small Only 7 I per cent live intowns of mote than 5,000 mhabitants. And of these so-called towns, many might be more correctly described as large, streggling country parshes. There is but one large sit, Juckhow, with a population of 28,4000, the largest in British Ladia after the three Presidency towns, and the eighth in point of humbers in the British Empire. After Lucknow, longo sed proximus intervallo, comes Fazibidd, with some 37,000 inhabitants, and then Bahrach, with 20,000. There are 15 other towns with from 10,000 to 20,000 inhabitants, and 39 with from 5000 to 10,000 to 20,000 inhabitants, and 39 with from 5000 to 10,000 to 20,000 inhabitants, and 39 with from 5000 to 10,000 to 20,000 inhabitants, and 39 with from 5000 to 10,000 to 20,000 inhabitants.

"There is," writes Mr McMum, "only one town in Outh, Tinda, which owes its size and property to manufactures or trade. There are seventeen more with a population above 10,000, of them, three, Lucknow, Fairábad, and Nawkôganj, ross from being grassy plans or small villages to the position of cities by being the readence of the Outh Court Nino others, Bahraich, Sháhábád, Khairábád, Sandíla, Radaul, Bligrám, Jias, Sándí, Zadpur, were Musslmán military colonies in the middle of the Hindá population; the remaining five Balrásupur, Godon, Laharque, Purwa, and

Malláwan, were the residences of feudal barons, or of Government revenue officials."

At least 72 per cent. of the people of Oudh are agriculturists, i.e. are engaged in the cultivation of land, often conjointly with other occupations. This enormous rural population, excluding the insignificant proportion to be found living in towns and cultivating the surrounding lands, is scattered over some 24,000 villages and 54,000 hamlets, there being, on an average, one village and more than two hamlets to every square mile. The total number of clusters of houses in the country is thus nearly 78,000, with an average population of nearly 150 each. "Every rood" in the garden of India has certainly to "maintain its man"; that it well maintains him is not, perhaps, so certain.

Out of 2,500,000 houses, less than 20,000 are of brick, and most of these are relies of former Muhammadan prosperity. The enormous majority are mud-built. These are frequently tile-roofed, but the use of thatch is more common, especially in the northern districts where thatching-grass abounds. In the Tarai, whole villages may be found consisting entirely of grass matting. Of course houses built of such materials are terribly obnoxious to fires, both accidental and incendiary, and one of the favourite methods of annoying an unpopular neighbour is to insert a dry piece of lighted cowdung in his roof on a dark night when the wind is blowing freshly. During the summer months, when everything is as dry as tinder, and hot winds prevail, fires are fearfully common, and it would hardly be an exaggeration to say that every thatched house in the country is burnt down once in ten years. The use of tiles would much diminish the risk of conflagrations, but the facilities for obtaining thatchinggrass, and its cheapness, prevent recourse to the less dangerous but more eostly material. Newly founded villages are often shadeless and bare-looking, but those of old foundation are nearly always overshadowed by lofty tamarind, pipal, or banyan trees. Under one of these, generally in front of the house of the most influential resident of the village, is to be found the chaupál or place of meeting, where such political life as the people possess exhibits itself in nightly discussions of their local affairs and transactions. Small băzărs are numerous all over the country. They are held once or twice a week, in the shade, generally, of the grove of some good-sized village, where petry traders and agriculturists congregate, and the exceedingly simple wants of the latter are sumplied.

Manufactures are at a very low ebb. The three principal industries under native rule were cotton-weaving, salt-making, and spirit-distilling. Of these, the first has been crippled by Manchester competition, the second has been annihilated, so far as legislation can annihilate it, and the occupation of a numerous caste destroyed; while the third has been transformed into a department of administration, by the conversion of the private distiller into a paid employé of Government. "Formerly," states the Oudh Administration Report for 1876-77, "the weavers of Tanda used to produce the most delicate muslin, but now their looms are seldom used, except in the preparation of the coarsest cloths." Cotton-weavers and salt-makers have certainly no cause to bless our rule, which has taken the bread out of their mouths, indirectly as regards the former, directly and under criminal penalties as regards the latter. The salt manufacture having been crushed, £100,000 worth of salt is imported yearly. The makers of brass water and cooking vessels are perhaps the most flourishing class of artisans, these being among the few articles the use of which is universal. Their prices are determined by ordinary competition; while the village artisans, the carpenter, the blacksmith, and the leatherworker are paid by customary grain dues, after each harvest. "They are really," to quote Mr Benett, "integral parts of that complete political system which has for its basis the grain heap on the threshing-floor at the end of the harvest, and take their place more correctly with the rais, the village proprietors, and the tillers of the soil than with the trading classes"

The correctness of the trade returns is open to suspicion, and the value of exports and imports is practically an unknown quantity. It may, however, be regarded as certain that imports are almost always considerably in excess of

exports. The province has nothing to export save agricultural produce, timber, ghi, hides, and wool. Unless, indeed, we may say that it exports two commodities which do not figure in the returns, viz. land and men. Many of the large landholders' estates are heavily mortgaged to bankers and moneylenders outside the province; and great numbers of Oudh Rájpúts and Bráhmans arc employed as soldiers and peons in Bengal and Central and Southern India, whose home-remittances doubtless cover some portion of the excess of imports over exports. So far as the returns may be trusted, the chief articles of import are cotton (raw or in thread), salt, and English picce-goods, with average annual values of £340,000 for the first, and £400,000 each for the two latter; while exports of edible grains, oil seeds, and sugar aggregate about a million sterling. There seems, on the whole, but too much reason to doubt the truth of the popular opinion concerning the capabilities of Oudh as the granary of India. Grain may, it is true, leave the province in large quantities on an emergency occurring elscwhere, but it can only do so because her own hungry masses have not the means wherewith to retain it when prices rise. In recent years the import of food grains has much increased, and in 1875-76-a year when grain was very cheap in Oudh-fell less than 17,000 tons short of the exports.

The land of Oudh, the cultivation of which affords their only means of subsistence to nearly three-fourths of the people, or some 8,000,000 souls, is owned by about 100,000 proprietors. Its distribution is extremely unequal. There are a few very large proprietors with estates of from 50,000 to 50,000 acres; some 300 who hold from 4,000 to 50,000 acres; 21,000 small zamindárs with properties, on an average, of about 150 acres each; and some 78,000 peasant proprietors holding 3,000,000 acres, or an average of about 38 acres a-piece. Something like two-thirds of the soil is owned by taluqdárs, i.e. by landholders whose names are entered in a list prepared by the Chief Commissioner under Act I. of 1869. A yearly payment of not less than Rs. 5,000 as revenue is understood to be a usual though not an indispensable qualification. There are 272 of these gentlemen,

most of whom hold sannads or grants from the British Government, conferring on them an undefeasible hereditary and proprietary right in their estates

The first district to come under the "regular" or thirty years settlement of the land revenue was Prataboarh in 1862; the last to emerge from the ordeal was Khen, in 1878 The theory on which it rests is that each village is assessed

A To and that the Commence demand amounts to one

other half of the gross rental belongs to the proprietor, but out of it he has to defray the expenses of chaukidars and patwars (the village police and village accountants), calculated at 12 per cent on the revenue Local cess and other rates absorb 7 per cent, more, so that his share of the gross rental, assuming it to be double the revenue, amounts to little more than two-fifths Nor is this by any means all clear profit Village expenses, including such items as revenue process fees, receipt stamps, stationery for natward. food of patwarf when required to leave his circle, and other miscellaneous charges, will hardly be defrayed under 5 per cent of the gross rental. Cost of management will probably, in a large estate, absorb 5 per cent more. So that even in an estate of which the gross rental is really as well as nominally double the assessment, the proprietor's income is by no means equal to the assessment. An example will put this in a clear light Suppose the gross rental of a village to be Rs 200, and its assessment Rs 100. Then the navments which the zamindar will have to make on account of it will stand as fellows -

1	Revenue		Rs.	100	0	0	
2	Chankidár			6	0	0	
3	Patwárí .			6	0	0	
4	Local rates			4	8	0	
5.	Local cess			2	8	0	
6	Village expens	es		5	0	0	
	Cost of manag			4	n	n	

He thus derives from his village only Rs. 71 out of a gross rental of Rs. 200. The first five charges are inevitable. and the last two have been put at a very low figure. No allowance, moreover, has been made for unrealisable balances of rent, which, especially in bad seasons, are frequent enough. If a Taluqdár, he would have to pay an additional 1 per cent. as his subscription to Canning College and the British Indian Association of Taluquárs. It is true, however, that the charges for chaukidars and patwaris are not ordinarily collected with the revenue. Zamíndárs are left to make their own arrangements with these functionaries. and it is only in the event of failure to satisfy their demands that Collectors are authorised to realise their pay in cash, at a rate not exceeding 6 per cent. on the revenuc. Landlords, especially in grain-rented villages, often realise considerably more from cultivators under the heads of pay of patwári and chaukidár, in addition to rent, than they actually disburse.

Again, over a considerable portion of some taluqas, and over some portion of almost all, there exists a double ownership. In some cases, whole villages are subsettled with under-proprietors, who pay their revenue through the Taluqár, plus from 5 to 25 per cent., according to circumstances, of the assumed profits as a rent-charge. They are also liable for all the other charges above enumerated, and the share of profits which accrues to them is small exceedingly. Much more common than subsettlements of entire villages are smaller deductions from the rental in favour of persons who have been decreed the right to hold a certain quantity of land, called sir or nánkár or daswant, at a lighter rent than that ordinarily payable.

Estates or villages not included in any taluque are called zamindári or mufrid maháls, and are sometimes the property of single individuals, but more frequently of coparcenary communities. In either case, the revenue is paid directly to Government. There are a few—a very few—tenants who have been decreed, under the Oudh Rent Act, a heritable but not a transferable right of occupancy in the whole or a portion of their holdings, at rents less by two

annas in the rupee, i.e. by one-eighth, than are payable by ordinary tenants of the same class for similar land, and which are hable to enhancement once in five years. And there are a great many—a very great many—tenants at will, the amount of whose rent is entirely a matter of agreement between them and their landlord, and for the determination of which no court in the country has at present any legal authority to interfere Below these again are a great mass of day-labourers, some of whom cultivate small patches of land, while the rest have no land all

We are now in a position to classify "the agricultural interest" of Oudh as follows -

- 1 Taluqdárs;
- 2. Zamindars, who hold no sannads, and whose property on their death is divided according to the usual law of inheritance:
 - 3 Independent coparcenary communities;
- 4. Subsettled coparcenary communities, 5 Holders of sir, minkar, daswant, and other minor rights, in subordination to a superior proprietor, gene-
- rally a Taluqdár .
- 6 Holders of occupancy rights,
 7. Tenants at will—the "will" in question not being, as a rule, so much their own as their landlords'.
- 8 Day-labourers, whose wages, while they are employed, range from a shilling to eighteenpence, or, at most, two shillings a week, if paid in cash, and from four to six pounds a day of coarse grain, if paid in kund.

The condition of Talingdays varies economically, much as that of landlords in other countries. Some are wealthy and unembarrassed, others quite the reverse. It may be said that, with good management and reusonable economy, they should nearly all be very well-to-do. There are, however, a few exceptions, where assessment has been heavy, and at the same time a large proportion of the profits is inter-cepted by under-proprietors, and in some such cases as these

it must be admitted that the Taluqdár's embarrassments are not due to any fault of his own, save the original sin, which our policy invited, and indeed almost forced him to commit, of claiming the superior proprietorship in a number of villages to which he had, properly speaking, no real right. A number of the most embarrassed of these estates, assessed to an aggregate revenue of £200,000, were in 1871 brought under the management of six Encumbered Estates Courts, under the superintendence of special officers. The special agency was abolished in 1876, and most of the estates are now managed by Deputy Commissioners, in addition to their other dutics. The experiment cannot be pronounced to have been a distinguished success. All that could be done by the superintendents was done, but the difficulties were in many cases almost insuperable, and are so still. Our rent law is perfectly effectual for recovering rents from ordinary cultivators. But it fails as against high-caste under-proprietors and ex-proprietors, mainly because these men believe themselves to have rights in the soil which our legislation and our courts have rights in the soil which our legislation and our courts have either ignored altogether, or have saddled with a rent which they consider unduly heavy, made payable to persons whose right to receive it they in many cases totally deny. No law or system which is in direct conflict with the notions of justice entertained by the people to whom it is intended to apply, will ever work happily or smoothly. The true remedy for the friction, to use a mild term, which now attends the recovery of rents from the high-easte peasantry of Oudh, is, not to inercase the stringeney of the Rent Aet, or to disfigure the Statute Book by class legislation, but to remove the actual distress and sense of wrong under which that peasantry suffers. A great step to its removal might even now be taken by allowing, if not compelling, the sale of portions of these huge, disorganised estates, carefully selecting for the purpose those villages in which the antagonism between the Taluqdár and the under-proprietors is most bitter. A right of pre-emption on the part of Government might then be asserted, and direct engagements for the revenue be taken from the under-proprietors. Any sum that might be required could

easily be raised at 4 per cent, and the purchase-money might be made repryable by instalments spread over a period of twenty years, with interest at 6 per cent. The zamindárs, thus made once more independent, would eagerly embrace such an offer, and the hypothecation of the village to Government would afford ample security for the recovery of the debt. We might thus "take up this mangled matter at the best," and make a long step towards reducing some of these overgrown estates to something like the dimensions to which they should originally have been confined, viz. the ancetral villages which form the nucleus of each talues, and to proprietary right in which no one but the Taluqdár has, or asserts, any claim. We might thus hope to see something like the end of the present buleous imbroglic of conflicting interests in the soul; though where, as in some "numbroom" estates, there is no such nucleus, the evil would, of course, he only dimmashed

Single zamfakis generally are, and always ought to be, well-to-do and comfortable. Their estates are not too large for them to manage themselves, without the intervention of sentis, or kerindes, and they have, as a rule, very few sub-proprietors to intercept a portion of the profits. If they are in difficulties, these may fairly be ascribed to their own carelessness or bad management.

Independent conversary bodes are generally so numerous that each midridual has but a small share of the profits Then tenures are sometimes extremely complicated, one man may have an undividuel share in half-a-losen villages. Their condition is a function of several variables, such as therms, good or bad, on which they have among each other, the housely and competence of the lambardir, or hedman, of their path, or share, and their readines to stack to him and follow his lead. The same remarks apply to subsettled conparectances, except that their position is worse by their loss of independence of the Tadaqdár, and by their enjoying only a portion of the profits instead of the whole. It may be said generally that they are a good deal more poretristruchen and discontented than independent communities.

Holders of sir, nanlar, and other minor rights are in a less difficult, if less dignified, position than those who have obtained the subsettlement of entire villages. Their standard of comfort is about the same, but the dangerous power which they possess of mortgaging their lands, and so raising money without immediate loss of possession, has brought many of them to ruin and heavily involved many more.

Holders of occupancy rights are so few that their position need hardly be discussed; indeed, but for considerations of symmetry, they might almost have been left out of the classification altogether.

Of the great mass of tenants at will—seven millions or more, including their wives and families-it seems almost enough to say that they are tenants at will, and that in eight out of the twelve districts of Oudh the proportion of cultivated acres to adult agricultural males is but as three to each man. Custom is everywhere in these southern distriets giving way to competition, and unless something is very soon done to arrest the evil, the tenants will all be mere cottiers. It is too plainly apparent to be any longer doubted, that, with occasional exceptions, the tenure of all ordinary eultivators has already become, or is rapidly becoming, eottier tenure as defined by Mr. Mill at the beginning of chapter IX. of the second book of his Political Economy, i.e. a tenure under which "the labourer makes his contract for land without the intervention of a capitalist farmer, and in which the conditions of the contract, and especially the amount of rent, are determined, not by eustom, but by competition." It would doubtless be absurd to assert that custom has eeased to exercise any influence on rents. It still keeps, for instance, the rents of some eastes lower than those of others. But there is no eustom which prevents a landlord, large or small, from endeavouring-and generally succeeding in the endeavour—to raise rents whenever he thinks his tenants will stand another turn of the serew, or from ejecting them if he thinks he can make more by putting in another tenant, or by taking the land into his own cultivation. While this is so, it would be futile to assert that rent is not mainly determined by competition. If there is not

always the competition of one tenant against another, there is always the competition of the landlord, as a potential cultivator, against his tenant. So long as reats are not fired by either custom or law, it seems idle to hope that the condition of the cultivator will not go on from had to worse.

And that it is bad now there can be no doubt. It is less depressed in the northern districts, where population is less dense, competition less keen, holdings larger, and rents lighter. But the gradual extension of the curse of competition and rack-renting to these lutherto comparatively fortunate regions can only be a question of time. Even now, taking the province as a whole, it is scarcely too much to say that a large proportion of cultivators have neither food sufficient to keep them in health, nor clothes sufficient to protect them from the weather; that their cattle are missrably thin and weak from under-feeding, that they are hardly ever out of debt for twelve months together, though in a good season they can pay off their debt within the year, while in a bad one it accumulates; and that, except in specially favourable seasons, they are dependent on the money-lender for their food for from two to six months in the year. . It may not be out of place here to give a few figures, the

result of an inquiry which I once had occasion to make into the condition of ordinary cultivators in the Bahraich district. The 60 families whose circumstances I endeavoured to analyse consisted of 436 persons, of whom 169 were men and 134 women, over twelve years of age, while there were 71 boys and 62 garls under that age They had 76 ploughs and 173 bullocks, and of cattle of all kinds, including goats, sheep, pigs, and ponies, they had 712 The average area of their holdings was a trifle over 8 acres, or a little more than 61 acres to each plough, or 14 of an acre per head. Thirty of these cultivating families paid rent in cash, and thirty in kind. Ascertaining as nearly as was practicable the amount of grain-rent paid by the latter during three years, (1283, 1284, and 1285 Fash, ie from October 1875 to September 1878), and converting it into each at the current prices of those years, the result was that the rent paid by the whole sixty families aggregated 2,116 rupees, or an average of a little over

35 rupees per family, nearly 28 rupees for each plough, and 4 rupees 3 annas 9 pies per acre. The cost of cultivation was almost 2 rupees 5 annas per acre, or an average of 19 rupees 4 annas for each family. The average amount of produce (probably somewhat, but not very much, below the mark) was 3,748 manuals of 82 lbs. each, or nearly 138 tons, mark) was 3,748 mauuds of 82 lbs. each, or nearly 138 tons, the value of which, at average prices of the three years in question, was 5,352 rupees, or about 1 rupee 7 annas per maund. This allowed an average of $62\frac{1}{2}$ maunds for each family, worth about 89 rupees, and rather less than 50 maunds, worth about 71 rupees, per plough. The average produce per acre was 7 maunds 20 seers, worth, at average prices, 10 rupees 11 annas. The surplus remaining after rent and expenses of cultivation were defrayed, amounted to 2,083 rupees 4 annas, or 34 rupees 11 annas 6 pies for each family, 27 rupees 6 annas 6 pies per plough, and nearly 4 rupees 1 anna per acre. The value of the food consumed by the 436 individuals under examination, at the rate of 20 oz. per head per diem, at average prices, amounted to 4,466 rupees 4 annas, or a fraction over 6 maunds 33 seers, worth 10 rupees 3 annas 9 pies per head per annum. Their, 4,466 rupees 4 annas, or a fraction over 6 maunds 33 seers, worth 10 rupees 3 annas 9 pies per head per annum. Their expenditure on clothes, salt, and sundries, aggregated 1,308 rupees, or exactly 3 rupees per head per annum. The combined cost of food and general expenditure, being 5,774 rupees 4 annas, was 3,691 rupees in excess of the value of the surplus of grain available after payment of rent and costs of cultivation, or, on an average, 61 rupees 8 annas per household. Only four families could show any surplus, and their total was under 40 rupees. The total amount of indebtedness was 1,620 rupees, of which 1,453 rupees were owed by 18 cash-rent paying cultivators, and 167 rupees by 8 grain payers. The other 34 were not in debt. The average indebtedness was 24 rupees per household. Of the thirty cash payers, only twelve were out of debt, while of the same number of grain payers, only eight were in debt. It may be laid down as a generally true proposition, that indebtedness is far more general and more heavy where cash-rents have been introduced than where grain-rents still prevail. Tenants paying cash-rents are, practically, almost obliged to resort to the money-lender for payment of the demands upon them In good years, when the harrest is plentful and ripens early, they may aroud this necessity; but in a series of seasons there are sure to be several not answering to this description, and then a demand for each payment almost inevitably drives cultivators who have no capital and live from hand to mout to horrow from a mahsjan on the security of their crops. The habit of borrowing, once contracted, established tiself more and more strongly, and becomes more and more difficult to break through. Originally, in most instances, forced upon them to meet the demand for rent, it soon passion to a habit of borrowing for food, for clothes, for cattle, and every other contingence.

It is time, however, to return from this brief excursus, and to meet the mevitable question-How, then, do these people contrive to live, for if these figures are anything like correct, they ought all to have become bankrupt long ago? The seeming impossibility is to some extent explained by the fact that in nearly every case they eke out the scanty income which they derive from the soil ' __y-labour, weaving, sale of milk and ghi, or other extraneous sources A further explanation is to be found in the fact that the cut-turn is probably too low, being little over 600 lbs per acre. It should be borne in mind, however, that the years in question were none of them years of specially good harvests, while in one the crops were notoriously deficient, and that, under the comparatively careless cultivation of the northern districts, the produce of the soil is less heavy than in central and southern Oudh But it is to be feared that the most effectual explanation of all is that the food estimate, low as it is, is pitched too high, and that the Oudh tenantry and their families, men, women, and children together, do not, on an average, consume even so much as 20 oz. of food-gram per diem Well-fed-looking men are certainly the exception among them rather than the rule, and it is notorious that the able-bodied adult convict nearly always increases in weight after a few months on a jail diet of 24 oz

The condition of the Oudh cultivators might seem to be life reduced to its lowest terms. But there are hundreds of

thousands all over the province compared with whom he, as Lear has it, is "sophisticated"; the landless village labourer is "the thing itself." Everywhere, in every hamlet, there is a residuum of half-elad starvelings who have no cattle and no means of livelihood, save, perhaps, a tiny patch of spade-tilled land, and their labour, remunerated at the rate of 4 lbs. of coarse grain, or of three-halfpence, or, at most, twopenee farthing per diem. And even this wretched employment is not procurable all the year round. How, underfed and almost unclothed as they are, they contrive to live through the cold nights of winter, which they often spend in field-watching to keep off thieves, human and other, is a standing marvel. They are quite a distinct class from the eoolies whom one sees employed on the roads and other public works, and who earn from threepence to fourpence halfpenny, or sometimes as much as sixpence, a day. The village drudge is seldom fit for hard work on the roads, being generally too weak for efficient spade labour.

The primary classification of the people of Oudh is, of course, as elsewhere in India, into Muhammadans and Hindús. The former may be subdivided into higher eastes of Muhammadans, converts from the higher Hindú eastes, and lower eastes of Muhammadans. The latter also resolve themselves into higher and lower easte Hindús. To these must be added aboriginal tribes who have no distinct place in either the Hindú or the Muhammadan system, and religious sectaries and mendicants, who, though belonging to one or other faith, are above or beyond all caste distinctions.

High easte Musalmáns are of four classes, Syads, Mughals, Patháns, and Shaikhs. The Syads, who claim descent from Hasan and Husen, the sons of Ali, the fourth khalif, by Fátima, daughter of the Prophet, number about 50,000, of whom two-fifths are residents of Lucknow, and the rest are to be found in small colonies scattered throughout the province. Mughals number about 27,000, of whom more than half belong to Lucknow. The name originally denoted the Tartar invaders of Persia and India, but has long been applied to naturalised descendants of Persians as well as Turanians, and Mughals are now generally divided into Irání

and Turání. The ex-royal family of Oudh are of this race, as also a great many of their fearner dependants, most of whom now receive pensions from the British Government. Patháns are more than 190,000 in number, being the most numerous Muslimán tribe in Oudh, and are distributed pretty generally over the province. They are descended from the Afghán nuraders of India, and are the only Muslimáns properly entitled to the appellation of Khán, though it is often assured by others. They claim to be derived from the patrarch Jacob, and their chief subdivisions, according to Herklot, are Vánátzat, or drsyring of Joseph, and Lod, or offspring of Joseph, and Lod, or offspring of Locknow. The name primarily denoted descendants of the first four khalits, and was after wards extended to the posterily of all who were converted to the faith during their regus. It is now often assumed wholesale by all sorts and conditions of new converts to Islám.

The only high-caste Hindis who have embraced Minhammadanism in any considerable numbers are Rajphis. The motive was almost always the same, vir. the retention of ancestral lands, or the sequisition of fresh ones. Pruchacilly, however, such converts, or Khinakhas as they are usually termed, differ only from their unconverted brethren in being circumseasel, wearing heards, praying in a mosque instead of "singh". Many of them still retain their claimance. Perhaps the most powerful of these converted Rajphits are the Bhale Sulfains, or "lordsof the spear," who are chiefly to be found in the Sulfainpur district. Mr. Patrick Carnegy, late Commissipier of Rai Bareli, in his "Notes on the Races and Tribes inhabiting Oudh," thus describes the process of conversion:—
"The old Hindi houses seem to have deputed one member

"The old Hinda houses seem to have deputed one member to become Muhammadia, and shelter their interests when necessary in a Muhammadan Conti—just as in the Mutiny very many families sent one member to the robel force and another to the British, to secure their possessions whichever

side might win. If you ask a Bais how his kinsmen became Khánzádas, he will probably tell you there was once upon a time a terrible and tyrannical Súbahdár (the terror of whose name is such that it escapes his recollection). This ferocious gentleman sent for all your informant's ancestors, and, after shocking oaths by the beard of his prophet, demanded that onc of them should forthwith become Musalmán, and then, amid the lamentations of the clan, one of the brethren was solemnly circumcised."

The total number of these high-caste converts to Islám is between twelve and thirteeu thousand. They include four-teen Taluqdárs. Instances of a Bráhman becoming Musalmán are almost unknown; and even Rájpút conversions have during the last hundred years been few or none.

Lower classes of Musalmáns number about 660,000, and are divided in the Oudh Census Report into 35 castes, of which, however, several are extremely small, and 19 contain less than a thousand individuals each. The most numerous are Dhuniyas or cotton-cleaners, Darzis or tailors, Ghosis or milkmen, Juláhas or weavers, Kunjras or greengrocers, Kasáis or butchers, and Manihárs or glass bangle makers. These low-caste Musalmáns arc, no doubt, descendants of low-caste Hindús who have embraced Islám at any time since the Muhammadan invasions of Oudh, beginning some eight centurics ago. Motives to conversion are obvious enough, such as expulsion from a Hindú brotherhood for breach of its rules, or desire to rise in the social scale, or to gain fayour with the dominant class. Conviction of the truth of the adopted creed has probably been one of the rarest.

Alien to the true spirit of the Muhammadan faith as are all distinctions between man and man founded upon accidental differences of birth or position, there can be no doubt that these lower classes of Musalmáns constitute genuine castes, not mere professions. Or, perhaps, trades unions would be a more correct descriptive term for them. They have very little to do with religion, but a great deal to do with social conduct and social ceremonies, in which their members are even more strict and jealous than are Hindús. In these lower castes, writes Mr. McMinn, "Musulmán and

Hindá traditions unite to work out problems of social organization. In point of fact, the conquerors borrowed the caste system from the conquered, relieved it from its galling allegiance to the Hindu religion, relaxed slightly the restrictions upon intercourse between the different bodies, and, above all, rendered the system more elastic and durable by providing means of returning to the fold for backshders who had been tempted to violate their laws Musalman castes. in short, are trades unions which anyone may enter on payment of a fee in the shape of a good dinner to the brother-hood. Nor are they meddlesome or tyrannical in their treatment of the business relations which may exist between individual members and employers. A tailor may work as many hours as he pleases, or for what wages; if he is maltreated, his union will support him in an appeal to the law When he marries, it feasts at his expense; when he dies, at his widow's. If he is sick or out of work, the nearest mass of his brethren shares its meal with him. In return for these benefits, the only conditions are that he shall marry within the clan, that he shall not undertake work other than that proper to his trade, nor eat things unlawful"-nor with unlawful persons-"nor dishonour his fraternity by immoral conduct."

Of the mner life and rules of these trades unions, few Englishmen have any exact knowledge, and I enance claim to be one of the enlightened muontry. For the purposes of trades unnons they are most effective, for they not only prevent outsiders from taking up the trade they have made their own, but also nearly always prevent those born inside the fraternty from adopting any other. Their marring law may be described as a system of free love tempered by Panchayats, or caste meetings.

"It seems to be an invariable rule," writes Mr. Williams, "that in those professions which Minhammadans have adopted they form the great majority, and probably they do in time succeed in monopolaring every trade they adopt. By this I do not mean that they drive out the Hindde, for Muhammadans are not generally so industrious as Hindde; but that all the Hindde of any earts, the principal occupation of

which has been invaded by Musalmáns, eventually become Musalmáns. The conversion is rendered easier when it does not involve the abandonment of an hereditary trade, which is the chief barrier opposed to the extension of Muhammadanism and Christianity among the lower classes of Hindús by the system of caste, and when this is not a necessary accompaniment of change of religion, the attractions of comparative freedom from caste rules (?), greater laxity in the choice of food, less subjection to priestly influence, and a certain rise in the social scale, all of which Muhammadanism offers to the new convert, become too great to be resisted."

Speaking generally, it may be said that all Oudh Musalmans are more or less Hindúised, and have imbibed the caste feeling more or less strongly. The strength of this feeling among them varies inversely with elevation in the social scale, and with general intelligence. Most Muhammadan gentlemen, for instance, will not scruple to drink tea or soda-water with an Englishman, and from his cup or glass, though their attendants regard the act as rather a breach of orthodoxy. The Musalmans of Oudh cannot, as a body, be accused of bigotry or intolerance. On the contrary, they are very tender, as a rule, of the prejudices of their Hindú neighbours, who, in their turn, often take part in the Muharram ceremonies, and carry about their táziasor models of the tomb of Hasan and Husen, the procession and burial of which are the chief features of the festival with as much zest as if to the manner born, which indeed, as a matter of fact, they are. It is common for wealthy Musalmán landlords to contribute to the expenses of Hindú festivals, and very rare for them to kill cattle or touch beef. This mutual tolerance and good feeling is one of the pleasantest features in the social aspects of the province, and is no doubt partly owing to British impartiality towards both the creeds. Among Muhammadans themselves, too, there is very little religious dissension, and Sunnis and Shias, who are at such deadly feud in many parts of Asia, including the Panjáb and Kashmir, have, in Oudh, always freely intermarried.

The chief peculiarities noticeable in the distribution of the

Oudh population according to race and casic are (1) the small number of Musulmins, scarcely one-leventh of the whole, which is a smaller proportion than prevails in any other part of Upper India; and (2) the great predominance of Brishmans, who number 1,400,000, or nearly one-seventh of the Hindús, and one-eighth of the entire, population Oudh is, as both these facts, and expectally the latter, sufficiently testify, a stronghold of Hindússin, perhaps its most important centre. Nowhere in British India has the spirit of the Hindús system and polity emerged with more freshness and vitality from its long-sustained struggle with the repressive forces of a Musulmia dynasty.

Brahmans are considerably more numerous than any other Hindú caste, high or low, being about 12 per cent of the whole population They are divided into innumerable divisions and subdivisions, the principal of which are the Kanaujias-a name derived from the once great Hindú national and kingdom of Kanauj—and the Sarwanna, a corrup-tion of Sarjúpára, meaning an inhabitant of the country heyond the Sarjú river, as the Ghághra is admetimes called The latter, as their name would lead one to expect, are to be found principally in Gonda and Bahraich, and the former over all the rest of Oudh, though the Rai Bareli and Bara-banki districts form a border-land where both tribes are common Brahmans are to be found in all sorts and conditions of life, from the raja to the beggar Their most frequent occupations are as cultivators, priesta, soldiers, petty merchants, and pedlars, money-lenders, rent-furmers, and out-door servants or peons Only ten of them are Taluq-dárs, and of these one is a Kashmir Pandir, and another, a loyal grantee, is a Bengálı, none of the ten are of old family. Caste prejudice forbids Brahmans to hold the plough, and their share in the operations of agriculture consists for the most part in watching their ploughmen at work priestly functions do not generally include the temple service of particular deities, for that, to quote Mr. Benett, "is vice or parameter derives for case, to quote fair benefit, "is usually left to the religious orders which are above custe, but the direction of the family life of the people down to the smallest acts—from the solemnisation of marriage and

performance of funeral rites, to the selection of a favourable day for starting on a journey, or for cutting the ripened corn. No ceremony, no feast, is perfect unless conducted under their auspices, and commencing with their entertainment. The last sciences which survive are those bearing on the daily life of a people, and in the decay of Hindú learning, it is the Bráhman only who studies the old languages of the country, to make himself conversant with ceremonial and astrology." Almost every indigent Bráhman who can read and write sets up as a Pandit, and ekes out his income thereby. They are a handsome and athletic race, bad cultivators and rent-payers, but good soldiers; and one of the most numerous of their subdivisions gave the generic name of "Pándes" to the mutinous sepoys in 1857. They are held in bad repute by English district officers, being liti-gious and quarrelsome, and, in our courts, at any rate, guilty of perhaps more shameless mendacity than men of any other caste. They can hardly fail to dislike our rule, which endeavours, though not, perhaps, with very visible success, to diffuse information and ideas which, if generally accepted, could not but prove fatal to their priestly supremacy, while, though careful to avoid causing any needless offence to the prejudices of any caste, it necessarily treats the Bráhman, for all judicial and administrative purposes, as on a footing of absolute equality with the Chamár. Still, with all their faults, which are many and grave, the nation owes them much. Though they fought, of course, mainly for their own predominance, yet it has been chiefly their work that the spirit of Hindúism has been maintained through centuries of oppression in the vitality in which we now see it. And though their original function of conducting the worship of the gods has passed into the hands of Gosáyins and Bairágis and other casteless sectaries, whose claims to veneration are based, not on accident of birth, but on austerity of lifethe voluntary principle having thus superseded the hereditary—yet the Bráhman still receives from the masses a reverence of which no depth of poverty, of crime, or of immorality can deprive him.

Less numerous, but still more important, from some

points of view, in modern Hindá life, are the Chhatris or Rájpáts, who amount to nearly 663,000, or 59 per cent. of the whole population. They are per excellence the zamín-dárs or landholders of the province, and are divided into large clans, most of which have a part of the country which is especially their own, but they particularly predominate in the south and east. Their strength, influence, and possessions vary locally in pretty nearly inverse ratio to those of the Muhammadan landholders The ancient Oudh dynasties and the popular beroes were all of this race, and a Raiput king, acting under the spiritual and moral direction of a Brahman adviser, is the Hindu ideal of government Their occupations are of more limited range than those of Brahmans, being, indeed, only three in number, viz. agriculture, both as landowners and as mere cultivators, mili-tary service in our army and with native princes, and private service, as peons, hailiffs, and personal retainers, with large landlords and bankers They share the prejudice of Brah-mans against holding the plough, and like them are excellent soldiers There are said to have been sixty thousand Oudh Raiputs and Brahmans, or hetween two and three, on an average, from every village in the province, in the service of the East India Company

More than half of the land in the country is in ther hands, and they number 155 Taloqdárs among their ranks; while 76 of that fortunate body, including the 14 Khānzádas, are Muhammadaus. They own, roughly speaking, 14,000 out of 24,000 villages, though they are hithe more than one-twentieth of the population. With the exception of the Shish, they are the finest pessantry in India, and their character is of a type markedly distinct from that of any other castle. Brave, easy-going, large-natured, generous, but with a certain latent ferously underlying their more attractive qualities, and possessed of a far greater power of co-hesion than Bridmans, they are looked up to by the Hindá masses in the secular, as are the latter in the spiritual, sphere. Yet though practically the most inductal class of the population, and recognised by the people themselves as their leader, as is evidenced by their common title of Thákur

(the lord, the master), they have perhaps less officially recognised influence, except in their capacity as Taluqdárs and large landlords, than any other of the higher castes, and less capacity for adapting themselves to the requirements of English rule. Their habit of intermarrying with the Chhatris of the North-West Provinces, and of Rajpútána, gives them the entrée into a larger world and a more extended circle of interests than is possessed by any other equally numerous class of Hindús. But their present position, as a general rule, is much depressed. The two great fields of employment which were open to them under the kingdom of Oudh -viz. service in our sepoy army outside the province, and as armed retainers of local landowners within it—are now very much narrowed, and tens of thousands, who would formerly have been helping their families with remittances of their savings from abroad, are now driven back for subsistence on the overcrowded soil. The great reduction of the native army since the Mutiny, and the abolition of the Oudh local force, have been serious blows to their prosperity, while the establishment of the reign of law within the province has rendered the services of a large body of retainers no longer needful to the Taluqdárs. It may be said that such employment as this could not much increase the real wealth of the country, and that the application to the soil of the additional labour thus released from unproductive employments must cause such an increase. But it must be remembered that though an armed retainer or a soldier is not a directly productive agent, yet their pay was a very distinct and considerable addition to the resources of their stay-at-home brethren, and often more than equivalent to a rent-free holding. The labour, moreover, of a Bráhman or Rájpát is, as has been said, seldom of a highly productive character, and, in so densely populated and closely cultivated a country as Oudh, can seldom be held an equivalent to the cost of his own support.

Another principal cause of the depressed condition of Rájpúts, and, in a minor degree, of Bráhmans, is that they have been very generally engaged in litigation in our settlement courts to establish their rights; and, indeed, under our

settlement rules, it was almost impossible for them to refrain from such litigation. The settlement officers, under the orders of Government, practically went out into the highways and hedges and compelled them to come in. Whether successful or not in getting decrees for the rights they claimed, they spent large sums, both legally in lawyer's fees and court expenses, and illegally in douceurs to native underlings, in making the attempt,

And while their means have thus been reduced, the revenue demand which they have, when landholders, to meet, has been largely increased I instances are not uncommon in which the assessment of a coparcenary rillage has been raised at a strole by 50 or even 100 per cent. It was hardly to be expected that, in a single year, the habits of the coparcens should undergo so complete a change as would enable them to pay so large and sudden an increase of demand. The revenue may have been unduly light before, but it was too often forgotten that what we have to assess is not only lind, but also mee. It is practically impossible for a village divided and subdivided, with infinite intricides, among a numerous brotherhood, to pay a demand which might be met without difficulty if the village were in the hands of a single owner. A good deal has been done in the way of revision and reduction of assessments, but these remedial measures, it is to be ferred, were in many eases too late.

Again, the relations between under-proposetors and the Talandairs to whom our polary has kept them in subordination, even when it has not itself placed them there, are, generally speaking, changed for the worse since annexation. Mr. Freeman, quoting Sismondi, remarks that, "when overy count and baron acted as an independent prince, and chimed the right of private war, among the endless evils of such a state of things there was one countervaling good. The lord could not venture to oppress greatly men whom he expected to follow him to battle. When the days of greater peace and order came, the hand of the lord who was no longer anytain came down far more heavily on subjects who were no longer the soldners." Thus a exactly time of Ooth.

Another point worth noting is that the hands of the Rent

Courts are bound by a provision of the Rent Act (XIX. of 1868), which prohibits them from taking into consideration circumstances, such as bad seasons, floods, drought, or hail, which may have caused a default in payment of under-proprietary rent, unless a remission of revenue has been allowed by competent authority for the same cause and in the same estate. Now it rests with the Talugdár to apply for such a remission, and as it is his direct interest to get rid of privileged cultivators and sub-holders, and supplant them with hardworking low-easte tenants-at-will, it may often not be worth his while to apply for a remission of revenue which would put the privileged cultivators protanto out of his power. The sum of which he and they would thus escape payment may, especially if the cause of default extend over only a limited area, be a trifle to him, though possibly a matter of life and death to them. Still more is it the interest of the Taluqdár to destroy and break down under-proprietary rights in subsettled villages. It would often, therefore, be worth his while to allow arrears to accumulate up to the period of limitation, and then to sell up the under-proprietors. There are, probably, not many Taluqdárs who would be guilty of such nefarious conduct,—which, if it could be proved against them, would be a direct breach of the conditions of their sannads; and some, who might have no moral scruples, may have been restrained by impecuniosity. been put on record by high authority in Oudh that some Taluqdárs have deliberately allowed the rents of subsettled villages to fall into arrears with a view to ultimately crushing the rights of the under-proprietors. Others, again, have deceitfully induced, or allowed their agents to induce, elaimants of such rights to file relinquishments of their claims in the settlement courts, by promises, which have not been fulfilled, of maintaining them in possession of all the privileges they enjoyed. In any case the fact remains that we have left under-proprietors, with a tenure exceedingly difficult to maintain in its integrity, in the hands of men whose ultimate interest it is that they should default. And if, as Mr. Mill has somewhere observed, it is never safe to assume that any body of men will act contrary to their own

immediate pecuniary interest, it is almost equally unsafe to assume that out of any body of two or three hundred men, some will not be found to act for their own ultimate pecuniary interest, bowever injurous that may be to the welfare of others, so long as they can do so without running considerable risk of incurring legal penalities. Altogether, much as has been said and written about the continuory and recusancy, the electrical area with distinct of the under-proprietor, it may be that we have not left ourselves very much right to be virtuously indignant with him if he has sometimes formed the same determination, with regard to the Talugdár and the Deputy Commissioner, at which Goldmuth's vagabond friend arrived, concerning his stingy master and the latter's still more stuny housekeepy—"As they determined to starre me between them, I made a plous resolution to prevent their committing murder."

The only other high Hindú castes which are numerically important enough to claim mention here, are Banyas (grain-dealers and money-lenders), who number about 240,000, and Rayaths, or writers, of whom there are nearly 150,000. Somathat akin in character to both of these are the Khatris, of whom, though they are little over 13,000 in all, eleven are Taluqdáris. Both Banyas and Kayaths are generally considered cowardly castes, and both, as also Khatris, have considerable acceleraty ability. The first are, strictly speaking, Vaisyas, the tibid division of Hindús, according to Manu, but the term Vaisyas is simost obsolete, and Banya, though properly the name of a profession, not of a caste, is that by which they are usually known. They can beast of no Taluqdárs among their ranks. Kayaths are really Sudnis, and their right to be included among the higher castes is rather a vexed question. The claim of their women to be treated as pardasiashia, ie never to show their faces unvised, was on one occasion referred to a leading Ta-

[•] They are generally traders, but sometimes landholders. They are held in higher esteem in the Panjib than in Oudbit and it is from the Panjib that most of the Khatris come who are in Government service in Oudb.

luqdár as arbitrator, and decided in their favour. The patwáris are all but universally Kayaths, and write, as a rule, Hindí or Nágri in some one of its many forms, the most usual of which is that ealled Kayathí, after the name of this caste. The more educated generally write only Persian, and despise the ruder character. Many of them are in Government service as elerks; others practise as pleaders and law agents. They are excellent penmen, and very quick and shrewd, but have a reputation—not seldom, however, undeserved—for being unscrupulous and corrupt. Kánúngos are generally of this caste, which, though not often occupied with agriculture, includes not a few small landowners, and seven Taluqdárs. The circumstance which chiefly militates against their claim to belong to the higher castes is that they are very often addicted to drinking.

The lower classes of Hindús, all of whom are Sudras, are divided into 64 castes, many of them very minute. The most important are Ahirs, or eowherds, who number nearly 1,200,000; Chamárs, or tanners and labourers, of whom there are more than a million; Kurmis, the cultivators, par excellence, of Oudh, with 760,000; Muráos, or gardeners, with over 400,000; and Pásis, who are found all over the province as village watchmen, labourers, pig-keepers, and thieves, and number about 650,000. Lonyas, though but little over 100,000, perhaps deserve mention as the caste which has suffered more than any other by British rule, their profession, the manufacture of salt, having been prohibited, and rendered a penal offence. They are expert with the spade, and many of them have taken to work on the roads. Garariyas, or shepherds, number 230,000, and Koris, or weavers, 360,000. Of the non-agricultural castes, the chief are Bhujwas or

Of the non-agricultural eastes, the chief are Bhujwas or grain-parchers; Barháis, or carpenters; Halwáis, or confectioners, some of whom are Musalmáns; Kalwárs, or distillers; Lohárs, or blacksmiths; Náos, or barbers; and Telis, or oilmen. Sunárs, or goldsmiths, number less than 50,000, but are often wealthy, and have an ill repute as being addicted to receiving stolen goods, especially gold and siver ornaments.

The most hopeful classes of the peasantry are the Kurmis,

Muxios, and Káchhis or Kisáns, whose aggregate numbers are about 1,180,000. They are hardworking, well-behaved agreeultursta, who, with fixity of tenner, might be reasonably expected to ruse to a fair degree of comfort. Even in their present defenceless condition, for it is very rare to find them in any position but that of tenant-at-will, they are, as a rule, less badly off than cultivators of any other low caste Murios and Káchhis generally enlitrate very small holdings with very great industry, and pay very high reats, devoting themselves chiefly to garden produce; while Kurmis usually grow the ordinary staples on lorger areas: It is a significant illustration of the divorce in Outh of landed property from agricultural industry, that of these 1,180,000 only one, a Kurmi, is to be found in the liet of Thisingdirs. A good many well-to-do Kirmise are found, under the names of Chaudhir or Makho, in positions corresponding to that of the Mokaddam of Southern India. In taluqdar villages where Alipptis and Brithmans were few or none, Kurmis not unfrequently enjoyed a guasi-under-proprictary right, in return for their services as the medium of communication between the landlord and the other tenants. Many of this caste have shown themselves good soldiers.

Nort to these, the most well-to-do castes are Ahirs and Garariyas, who, especially in the northern districts, have some-times considerable wealth in flocks and herds. Ahirs, owing, doubtless, to their wandering, out-door life, and to their consumption of milk and cards as food, are an erect and satisfart nec, and are generally to be recognised by their long silver earrings. Many of them are to be met with in charge of vast herds of cattle sent up for pasture to the great graining-grounds of the flars: They are singularly fearless of wild animals, and, when one of their cattle has been attacked by a tiger or leopard, will often rush up to within a few feet of the assailant, and endeavour, sometimes with success, to drive him away by a demonstration with a brandshed little or bamboo club, accompanied by terrafic yells. At the very bottom of the social scale come the Koris and

At the very bottom of the social scale come the Koris and Chamars, who are not recognised as genuine Hindus, and are certainly not of Aryan blood. They are mere village drudges, employed to skin dead eattle, and as ploughmen and daylabourers. A few of them can make the rude shoes which the poorer classes of rusties wear; and some of those who are established in towns are very neat leatherworkers. Koris are also weavers, but anything more hopcless than their position, and that of the common village Chamárs, it would not be easy to imagine. Their best-indeed, their only-chance of escaping from a state of serfdom and, in hard times, of semi-starvation, is to obtain service as grooms or grass-cutters, and those who are fortunate enough to do so often show by their smartness and activity that, under better auspiees, they are far from incapable of improvement. In the northern districts of Oudh almost every Brahman or Chhatri cultivator has one or more Koris or Chamárs bound under what are known as sánwak bonds to serve as ploughmen until the loan is paid off. Such bond-slaves must, of course, be kept in food and some kind of elothes, and no interest is charged so long as they go on working. These debts often descend from father to son, and to generations who were, perhaps, unborn when the bond was executed. Naturally, the debtors are apt to abscond, and their highcaste employers spend a good deal of their time in wandering about the country in search of fugitive ploughmen. Usually, the only resource of the runaway is to take refuge with some other employer in the same capacity, and if the latter sees fit to retain him when claimed, custom requires that he should pay his debt. This, of course, he only does on eondition that a new bond for the amount so paid be executed in his own favour. The sanwak plonghman is thus practically a slave, but with liberty to change his master if he ean find someone to buy him.

Outside the pale of Hindúism altogether are such aboriginal tribes as Thárús (who are found only in the Tarai), Bhars, Nats, and Kanjars. The latter are wandering gypsies, thieves, and hunters. These aborigines are happier than Koris or Chamárs, in having "escaped," once more to quote Mr. Benett, "being assigned any definite functions in the Hindú caste system."

Religious scetaries and mendicants number more than

130,000, of whom some 57,000 are entered in the census returns as fakirs without distinction of sect, while the remainder, or some 73,500, are divided, if a few generic appellations be excluded, into twenty-three or twenty-four classes. These figures include only clerical members of many of the sects which admit of lay followers, who are shown in the returns under their various castes. The principal divi-sion of the Hindé sects in Oudh, as in other parts of Upper India, 1s into Vs1shnavas and Sawas The Saktas are, happily, almost nuknown, their worship of the female generative principle in nature, as symbolised by Kálí, the wife of Siva, being the worst form of Hindúism. The Vaishnavas are generally respectable religiousts, and live together in large well-ordered maths, or monasteries, of which there are a considerable number at Ajodhya, near Faizábád, where twice a year great crowds of pilgrims flock to bathe in the holy river and be purified from their sins. The Saivas, on the other hand, are often vagabonds, wandering over the country in search of offerings from their followers Their ceremonies, however, are free from any gross indecency. Some of the larger monastenes have a good deal of landed property, and over most large estates held by Hinda proprietors are scatterred small patches of rent-free land, assigned by the picty of the owners for the support of petty shrines kept up by solitary Gosáyins and Bairagis. It is usual for wealthy Hindú families to maintain a resident Guru, or spiritual guide, while those in humbler circumstances receive annual or biennial visits from their pastor, according to the extent of his clientile These sects are open to men of any caste, high or low, but those who were previously Brahmans are held in especial esteem The caenobitic monasteries are presided over by abbots, or muhants, who are elected for his by panchayats of all the members. Pre-eminence in Sanskrit leafning and austerity of life are the chief qualifications for the post.

Musalman secturies are, of course, much fewer and less

from other Muhammadans. They are to be found all over the country, in villages and isolated houses, and not a few hold small rent-free grants even in Hindú estatcs. Perhaps the most respectable among them are those who are attached to the shrines of the five Muhammadan saints, known throughout Oudh as the "Pánchón Pír." The chief of these shrines is the Dargáh of Syad Salár Mas'úd Ghází, at Bahraich.

The anti-sacerdotal character of the Muhammadan faith sufficiently accounts for the inferior importance of the Musalmán sectaries, and the small estimation in which they are held as compared with those of the Hindús. The respective numbers of Shias and Sunnis are not known. It is certain, however, that the latter are much the more numerous, though Shia doctrines, as having been those of the Nawábs of Oudh, are the more fashionable and the more richly endowed.

If it be asked what progress is Christianity making in Oudh, the only answer that can be made is, None that is perceptible by an ordinary lay observer. There are about two hundred and fifty native Christians in Lucknow, which is probably more than are to be found in all the rest of the province put together. The simple monotheism of Islám, and the elaborate theology and cosmogony of Hindúism, dissimilar as they are, present pretty equally impregnable fronts to missionary enterprise.

In speculating on the future of a country, it is of course never safe to omit from any calculation of forces to be reckoned with the possibilities of a revolution in religious thought. The probability of a revival of Islâm has been a good deal talked of as a danger to the Empire. Such a movement is, perhaps, less unlikely than any other, but there is certainly not much sign of it at present. To produce any direct effect on the material condition of the people, nothing short of a great wave of religious enthusiasm, directed to philanthropic ends, would suffice. But of this the likelihood seems too remote to justify more than a mere passing reference to its possibility. Buddhism, with its marvellous renunciation and self-effacement, seems dead in Oudh beyond all chance of resuscitation. A revival of Islâm would pro-

hably tend rather to military than humanitarian objects. The Hinda revival of the last hundred years, whether it is still going on or not, has probably not affected the richer classes so much as the poorer. Christianity, as already re-

the Brahmo-Somáj, and on some few of them it has had a certain effect Uneventful, however, as the horizon may seem at present, it should never be forgotten that in India, at any rate, the age of new religious is not yet past, and tint "there are many events in the womh of time which will be delivered." Let us hope that if a great new teacher should arise, we may have the insight to recognise him ere it be too late, and to save us from eudeavoluting to crush a force which might be guided to precons results. Much has been, and much more doubtless will be, written

Much has been, and much more doubtless will be, written on the almost infinitely complex subject of native character. Almost every caste has more or less marked moral features of its own. But the broadest line of distinction which can be drawn is that which separates the character of the Hindfarom that of the Musalmán. And the distinction appears to consist ultimately in this, that the Hindfa mind, and habit by a creed of pessimist ascences, while those of the Musalmán are under the sway of a creed of optimistic helomsin. It may be doubted whether sufficient weight has been attached to the effect upon Hindfa character of the influence of Buddhism, which was once the prevailing creed of Northera India, and nowhere regined more absolutely than in the region which was then Korsila, but is now known as Oudh. The future happiness to which the devoit Hindfa looks forward is no sensual rapture of flowing wine-cupa, or of blackgred hours, it is not, indeed, happiness at all, in the ordinary of the ceaseless flux and decay of an eternally transfert and lituare world. His ideal of virtue coustists, primarily, of renunciation, the deadening of passion and deare; and, secondarily, of hemorehere and an offita extraragint gene-

rosity. It is only the small fractional minority of thinking Hindús who would, or could, explicitly avow these doctrines, but the idea has been unconsciously engrained into their nature until it has come to lend a distinctive colour, or rather, perhaps, a distinctive want of colour, to the character of the With the Muhammadan, on the other hand, a totally different set of theological and ethical doctrines have been at work, and have contributed, together with his history and traditions as a member of a conquering race, to make him what he is. More arrogant, mere sensual, more materially civilised than the Hindú, he seems to have even less faculty for improvement, or for change of any kind. But the immobility of the Hindú is the result of despair of anything better; that of the Musalman arises from contentment with a certain standard of material comfort, and a narrow range of ideas above which he does not seek to rise. The mind of the former tends to work inward, that of the latter outward; but though individuals of both races, here and there, are doubtless ambitious of individual worldly success, yet the mass, both of Hindús and Muhammadans, though from very different eauses, are about equally apathetic.

The distinction which I have here sought to draw may to some extent explain, what is undoubtedly the fact, that gentleness, doeility, and uncomplaining patience, often accompanied by mental inconsequence and instability of purpose, are the chief characteristics of the Hindú national character. What share of the first three of these qualities the Musalmán possesses, he has acquired mainly from long contact with his Hindú neighbours, or, if he be himself of low caste, probably from his own original Hindúism. Of the two latter he has little or nothing, being practically logical, clear-sighted, and persistent enough, but all in a narrow sphere. He is more educated, more cosmopolitan, more conversant with the course of affairs, knows very well what he wants, and is only dissatisfied because he can seldon get it. The Hindú mind, meanwhile, goes on groping vaguely, "in worlds not realised," for it knows not what, and looks forth with timid wonder on the actual world in which it finds itself. Elastic fluidity and tolerance characterise most of its religious and moral conceptaons; it will not quit its own path, but willingly admits that what others do may be right for them. It cannot concerve of anyone being born out of a caste, and as caste customs drifter, thinks that foreign ways are doubtless good for foreigners

The ideas of the Musalmán, on the other hand, are rigid and stereotyped, so far as he is untinetured by Hindú infinences. He knows more of our ways, but likes them less. He can remember, if of middle age, the time when Musalmáns ruided in Oudh, and it is hand for him to forget the past when brought into contact with the new order of things. He can understand and utilise the apphasees of our civilisation, but dislikes, and affects, at least, to despise it, because it is not his control.

In their full extent, bowever, these remarks are only intended to apply to that small section of Outh Musalmáns who have almost wholly escaped the influences of Hindd contact. And these are chiefly descendants, often greatly impovernished, of old and wealthy families, living prancipally in Lucknow, Faixfaldd, and a few other towns. The rural and the less arristocratic Musammadans have become to a great extent Hindánsed, and the process of assimilation is steadily going on under the compressive and welding forces of British rule.

Of the great mass of the people, Hindús and Musalmáns alhae, at may be asserted, generally, that they are good-natured, honest among themselves; prone to verbal quarrels, but easily reconciled, considerate, after their own fushion, in their treatment of women and the aged, careful of and kind to their unde children, but apt to be careless of their daughters; frugal in their habits, except on special occasions, such as births, deaths, and marriages; extremely submissive to authority; industrous, though, except in the case of the specially signediumal castes, such as Kurms and Murkos, with a somewhat fiftul and, desultory industry; careless and unsystematic is most of their armagements; very prone to lying, and still more towhats evens to be lying, but as often, with Hindús at least, the result of imperfectly understanding what is said to them, and of a looseness of a looseness of a looseness of a looseness.

thought and mental limitations which are beyond the conecption of a European interrogator; averse to any change of which the benefit is not very obvious to them, and eraving few boons of Government except to be left alone as much as it can find it in its heart to leave them.

Perhaps the oceasions on which they show to most advantage are large fairs and similar gatherings. It is difficult to watch those dense crowds of thousands, perhaps hundreds of thousands, of men, women, and children, in their elean, bright-coloured garments, so patient, so goodhumoured, so simply amused, not a drunken or a quarrelsome man among them, without feeling that, whatever their other shortcomings, they are the best-behaved holiday-makers and sight-seers in the world. On the whole, a likeable, even a loveable, though, not unfrequently, a somewhat exasperating people; difficult to understand, but well worthy of study; who will repay with interest the expenditure, by all who have to deal with them, of the whole of their available stock of the four great qualities which they most require and most appreciate-gentleness, patience, firmness, and thoroughness.

CHAPTER III.

EARLY OUDH HISTORY AND LEGEND.

RA'MA and Buddha—these are the two names, the two figures, which still stand prominently forth and the mass of mulastinet conceptions which are all that we can now form of ancient Oudh

The former can be for us little more than a name, though he still lives in the hearts and on the lips of millions of Hindas, who revere his memory as the son of Dasaratha. the bender of the magze bow, the wooer of Sita, the patient exile, the loving and beloved brother of the faith. ful Bhárata, the triumphant slaver of the demon Rayana. the ideal type of the heroic king who ruled long and gloriously in Arodhya the blessed, thousands of years ago. Anothya, the capital of the Lungdom of Kosala, was founded. according to tradition, by Manu, the father of the human race, ascriptive author of the code that bears his name, and first of the line of Súrnjbans, or Sun-begotten Lings, of whom Rama was the fifty-seventh in descent. The prosperity of the city has waxed and waned with the changing fortunes of the Handa fauth, and when, on the fall of the last of the line of Rama, it became a desert, the royal kinsmen went forth into distant lands, and from them the

noblest houses of Rájpútána still claim the honour of descent.

It was about the year 1426 n.c. that Nihadbála, last of the Súrajbans line, is supposed to have been slain in a great battle, and from that time it lay deserted until the days of King Vikramaditya of Ujjain, about 78 A.D. Modern Hindús, indeed, are in the habit of ascribing the achievements of all Vikramas to this Sákárí prince of Ujjain, so their opinion on the point is of little value. But Hweng Thrang, the Chincse Buddhist, who travelled in Hindústán between 629 and 642 A.D., states that a Vikramaditya, of Bráhmanical and anti-Buddhist tendencies, was reigning in Sravasti (Ajodhya) at about that period, and it is to him that General Cunningham, of the Archeological Survey, attributes the restoration of the city. Hiudú tradition asserts that he found the site of the old eity a jungle, but discovered all the spots sacred to Rama by measurements made, according to ancient records, from a famous shrine known as Nageshwar Náth, and built three hundred and sixty temples in honour of Rama and Síta, Ráma's three brothers, Lachman, Bhárat, and Satrughau, and his famous monkey ally, Hauumán, who constructed the bridge over the sca by which his army crossed to Lanka (Ceylon), the stroughold of Rávaua. All the existing Ajodhya temples, except perhaps two, are comparatively modern; most of them have been built during the last two hundred, many within the last twenty, years; but the sites on which they stand are those of very ancient temples, destroyed either by old age or by eieonoclastic Musalmans.

The other great name of Oudh, a name greater than that of Ráma, if human greatness be measured by the mark it makes on the world's history, is also closely connected with Ajodhya. Sakya Buddha was a prince of the house of Kápila (near the modern Gorakhpur), which was an offshoot of the Súrajbans liue of Kosála. Ajodhya was the scene of his labours for a period of several years, and his favourite resting-place during the rainy season. Some fifty miles north-west of it lie the ruins of Sahet-Mahet, a very ancient city, where a line of Buddhist kings reigned for some five centuries. In the life-time of Gautama Buddha, Sahet-

Mahet was the capital of King Prasenajit, whom he converted to the new faith But hus son, Virudatha, persecuted the Sakyas, attacked their country, and put to death five hundred of their maidens who had been destined for his harem. For this imposs deed he was destroyed by fire from heaven, in proof whereof the tank in which he valugh sought refuge was shown to Heweg Thang. The city and langdom of Sahet-Mahet seem to have flourished as a dependency of the Gupta dynasty of Magadha, and to have gradually declined after the fall of the latter in or about 31 har. Fa Huna, an earher Chinese pilgrim than Hweng Thang, who visited the city about 400 Ap. found it in ruins, and containing only two hundred families. Its remaining more jungle-covered mounds, may still be seen a little south of the Rapti river, on the borders of Bahraich to the west and Gonda to the east They would probably rejays a far more thorough excavation than any they have as yet received

The legend of the hife of Buddha has been made familiar to us by the journals of Pa Hana and Hweng Thang, and by the labours of St. Hulare, Stanelas Juhen, Burnouf, Beals, Mar Müller, and a host of other modern writers, and, defaced as it is by wild extravagame, it is yet not difficult to eath sufficiently clear glumpses of a noble personality through the thick voils of Orisintal embellishment. The "obstanate questionings" of the reality of outward things in which his "sad and philosophe; youth? was peach, the agonizing sense of human mivery which turned a prince into an ascetic, and rendered life intolerable to him until he seemed to himself to have found a way of escape and of sulvation for mankind, the sombre grandeur of spirit which could look without qualing into the fathomless alays of amultation, and find in its dark depths the remody for human woe—these should be no ineffectual claims to the reverse of an age like ours. If a creed may be judged by the highest ontcome of its moral teaching, by the most perfect efflorescence of its spirit, it may be fairly doubted whether it has yet entered not the heart of man too conceve a lotter height of aspyration than that which

breathes in this utterance of a later Buddhist master—"Never will I seek, nor receive, private individual salvation; never enter into final peace alone; but for ever, and everywhere, will I livo and strive for the universal redemption of every creature throughout all worlds. Until all are delivered, never will I leave this world of sin, sorrow, and struggle, but will remain where I am."

Never, perhaps, has the spirit of self-negation found loftier or more complete expression, and the country which gave birth to a creed capable of rising to such moral heights as this, should never want a title to the regard and consideration of civilised men. There is no region in the world of such small extent, and, indeed, no region whatever, which can claim to be the Holy Land of two such faiths as Hindúism and Buddhism, which, between them, probably number half of the whole human race as their adherents. Though Ajodhya be now little more than a collection of modern temples, and though the great Buddhist city of Sahet-Mahet lie in ruinous heaps, yet the memory of the great part which she has played in the religious history of the world should secure tender treatment for Oudh, even at the hands of conquerors of alien creeds and of other blood.

The meagre records of Ptolemy, who visited India towards the end of the first century of our era, possess an undoubted interest for the antiquarian, but they are too obscure, and their interpretation too difficult, to be more than briefly alluded to here. From Mr. Benett's epitome of them it may be gathered that of the three peoples among whom he describes the country as divided, the Tanganoi, who held the country between the Ghaghra and the hills, were an aboriginal tribe, nowhere else mentioned in history, and whose name now only survives in the Tánghans, or hill ponies, of lower Naipál; the Maraemdai, in central and eastern Oudh, were of Seythian origin; while of the Amanichai, who occupied a narrow strip along the Ganges to the south, nothing certain is known, but it is plausibly conjectured that from them the town of Manikpur, near Allahabad, derives its name. Some ascribe it to the "nbiquitons Manik Chand of Kanauj," who lived at the end of the twelfth century, but such a date is

far too modern for some of its remains. Among the towns mentioned by Ptolemy, Baraita, or Baraila, is identified by Mr. Benett with the modern Rai Barel, and Sapolas, or Sanotas, with Sravasti or Anothya

About the year 100 a.p. the Sravasti dynasty was overthrown by Samundra Palls, Kung of Patna—who, according to the legend of Ajolhya, cheaked Virtamaltitys out of his kungdom in the disguise of a jogi—after a desperant struggle which seems to have laid the country desolate For centuries henceforward nothing is heard of Oudh, and it may be conjectured that the greater part of the country relayed

south, embracing what is now Fundhid and Sultinpur, was termed Banaudia, or the forest of Oudh Between 800 and 900 An the Thirus, a bill tribe of Mongolian origin, began to assert their power They partially cleared the northern forest, and were the document race in what is now Gonda and Bahrach at the time of Salir May'd's invasion Further south of the Ghághra than the immediate neighbourhood of Ajodhya they do not seem to have ever pentrated. They appear to have been a some sort of subjection to a Somburni family of Rájpats who were ruling at, or near, the rums of Sravasti early in the eleventh century Sohl Dec, otherwise Sohel Dál, the conqueror of Salir Mas'úd, and last of his line, had his head-quarters at Sahet-Jlahet.

"Shell Dil came in hot from the class a few minutes before sunset, and has princess, fearing that the close of the day would prevent his esting his evening meal, eart up to the roof of the house the wide of his joungles brother, whose surpassing beauty detained the sinking sun. Till the supper was ended, the damed stood and the god a stacked, and then, as she left her post, a sudden night ensued. The prince inquired why there had been no twilight, and the gully passion which arose from his discovery of the truth was followed by his punishment in the total destruction of his fort during an appling temperat. Did listoried fact underlying the story is the subversion of this small northern kingdom by Sri Chandradeo, the Ráthor monarch of Kanauj, during the last quarter of the eleventh century." The battle in which Sohil Deo was defeated is said by Mr. Carnegy to have been fought at Satrikh, the burial-place of Salár Sahu, in what is now the Bárabanki district. The Ráthors appear to have followed up their victory by a general expulsion of the Buddhists from the Trans-Ghághra districts, and the family of Sohil Deo are said to have fled to Jumla in the territory of Naipál. It may here be added, on the authority of General Cunningham, that during the first half at least of the seventh century the kingdom of Kanauj itself was held by Buddhist kings. They were succeeded by dynastics of Tomár and Ráthor Chhatris, probably of Brahmanie tendeneies. The later Rathor kings were certainly Brahmanical, as is evident from the war waged against the Buddhist dynasty of northern Oudh by Sri Chandradeo. Jai Chandr, the last of his line, was vanquished in a battle at Banáras by Shaháb-ud-dín Ghorí in 1194 A.D., and is said to have been drowned in the Ganges. This defeat finally erushed the power of the Kanauj kingdom.

Until about 1050 A.D. the whole of Oudh, except the trans-Ghaghra tract, seems to have been under the successive dominion of the kings of Patna and Kanauj. But somewhere about that period, the Bhars, an aboriginal tribe, who are still to be found in Faizábád and Sultánpur, revolted from the Kanauj dynasty, and extended their power considerably beyond the present limits of the province. Their sway culminated under the joint rule of two famous brothers, Dál and Bál, who held forts at Karra and Kálinjar, and are said to have mastered the country even as far south as Malwa. The record of their erushing defeat, and of the entire overthrow of the Bhar power by Nasír-nd-dín Muhammad, Emperor of Delhi, in 1246 A.D., is to be found in "Farishta." This event appears to have been succeeded by a morcellement of the whole country into petty Rájpút chiefships, which, under more or less subjection to Muhammadan governors, and in spite of frequent struggles with raval Muhammadan settlers, have to a great extent maintained their ground up to the present day The greatest degree of antiquity claimed for their present settlements by most of the Chhatris of southern Oudh is twenty-two generations. It may be noted that twenty-one generations, of thurty years each, would almost exactly cover the period from 1248 A.D. to the present time.

It is something of a relief to turn from these disjointed fragments of information to a more connected narrative. The wild expedition of Syad Salár Mas'ád Oházi, almost, if not quite, the earliest Mohammsdan invanon of Oudh, is also that regarding which our Knowledge is lesst baeagre. The story is told in the "Miráta-Mas'ddi," a translation of which is appended to the second volume of Sir Henry Ellioti's "Muhammadan Historicas". He calls it an "historical ro-mance," witten by Abdur Rahmán Chisthi, founded on an older book by Molla Muhammad Gharmar, who was in the service of Salár Mas'dá Imaself, and also of his father, Salár Salar Mas'dá Imaself, and also of his father, Salár Salu, hoth of whom he sarraved General Cunningham expresses his opinion that the work, "although a local band expresses his opinion that the work, "although a local production of the traditions current in the reign of Jaháng fir, is probably correct in the general outlines." A hine summary of the story may not inappropriately be inserted here.

Synd Salár Mar'ad was born at Ajmfr, in the year 408 Hipn=1014 a.b His father, Salár Sahu, was a general in the Gharnavite army, and his mother was sister of Saláa Mahmád himself. The favour shown by the latter to his mephew gave ruse to so much jealousy against him at the court of Gharni, that he found it expedient to start, at the age of eighteen, on an invasion of India, at the head of some twenty thousand zealous Moslems, eagor to slay and plunder, if they could not couvert, the infale Hindl. After a campaign in the Panjáh, Syad Salár marched upon Sairith, "the most flourishing of all the towns and cities of India." On the strength, apparently, of this somewhat vague description, General Cunningham identifies Sairth with Vesáth, and old name of Ajodhya. It seems, however, at least as probable that the present Sairth, some twenty miles cast

better than that of Ajodhya with the known movements of the army, while it contains the well-known tomb of Salár Sahu, father of our hero, who is said by the story itself to have died at Satrikh, and in whose honour an annual fair is still held there. Here Mas'úd took up his quarters, and sent out detachments to conquer the surrounding country. Salár Saif-ud-dín and Miyán Rajjab, kotwál of the army, were despatched against Bahraich, and as they were unable to obtain provisions, a supply of which had to be sent after them, we may infer that the intervening country was somewhat sparsely inhabited. Syad Azíz-ud-dín was sent against Hardui, but fell in battle at Gopamau on the banks of the Gumti, where his memory is still revered under the title of the Lál Pír. Mas'úd himself "continued to reside with great magnificence at Satrikh, enjoying the pleasures of the chase."

Satrikh is nearly half-way between Bahraich on the north, and Karra and Mánikpur on the south. The chiefs of the last two places now sent an embassy, with presents, to advise Mas'úd to retire. They urged, as was undoubtedly the fact, that he had no manner of business there, that the country had been theirs from time immemorial, and that it had been spared by Sultán Mahmúd himself, who had penetrated on one occasion as far as Kanauj. "But you," the message continued, "without any respect for the rights of property, establish yourself in a country that does not belong to you. The action is unworthy of a great mind like yours. It is an infinite sorrow to us that you should be the only child in the house of your father, and that he should have no other descendants. Consider, we pray you, the right. Satrikh is a pleasant place, but it is not fitting that you should remain there. We have ninety thousand picked soldiers"—this, it may be safely affirmed, was a lie—"the princes of Bahraich and other places will come to our help on every side, and you will find yourself in great difficulties. You had better take the prudent course of retiring of your own free will."

However great may have been the mind of Mas'úd in other respects, one cannot help suspecting it to have been somewhat deficient in sense of humonr, for the only effect upon him of this most respectable, most reasonable, most unimperachable message was to make him "rage like a firere hon," and threaten destruction to the infidels who had sent it. It was soon after jomed by his father, from Kābular, near Kashmir Spies were captured on the Sarpi or Chighra river, who were conveying letters from the Raiso of Karra and Mankpur to those of Babrasch, calling on the latter to fall on the Musalmáns from the north, while the former attacked them from the south Marvid, having ascertained by means of his own spies that the chiefs of Karra and Mankpur were quite unprepared to resist an attack, despatched his father against them Salfa Sahn tool, them by surprise, destroyed their forces, "putting thousands of unbelievers to the sword," and sent the two chiefs themselves in chains to Mas'úd, who passed them on to Salf-nd-dfa at Babrach.

of Karra, and Kuth-Haidar at Manilpur.

Meanwhils, Saif-ud-din found himself surrounded by enemies, and wrote for reinforcements Mass'ad, with difficulty, and on the pretext of a hunting excursion, obtained have from his father to proceed to Bahraich in person. The mere news of his arrival was sufficient to quiet the unbelievers, "whose dimness of perception alone had caused the rising" He hunted in the neighbourhood of Bahraich, and took a fancy to a surajkund (tank of the sun) temple, on which he fixed his desires for a dwelling-place. There was a stone image of the sun, called Bálarukh, on the edge of the tank, the fame of which had canned Bahraich to flourish Here, every Sunday, flocked crowds of worshippers, who had a habit of rubbing their heads on the pedestal or feet of the idol, which practice much distressed the monotheistic piety of Mas'ud, and caused him to pray that he might some day convert these benighted pagans from the worship of the material to that of the spintal sun He had reached Bahraich on the 17th of the mouth Shábán, 423 Hijri=1032 A.D., and shortly after his arrival heard of the death and burnal at Satrakh of his father, whereat he wept bitterly, and remarked that he now knew what it was to be an orphan.

The Raís of Bahraich, who were probably for the mest part Thárús, with perhaps a sprinkling of Bhars and Banjáras, now seut Mas'úd a message couched in terms similar to those which had been used by the ambassadors from Karra and Manikpur, warning him that they were seventeen in number, and that they had two millions of cavalry and three millions of infantry under arms. The oriental mind appears to have been then, as now, without adequate perception of the eloquence of understatement.

Malik Nekdil was sent to discuss affairs in general with the Ráis, and to take note of their numbers. He made deceitful proposals of peace, which a majority of the Thárú chiefs had the good sense to reject. One of them, Rai Kavan, assured him that he did not yet understand what the rainy season of Bahraich was like, and that the climate would soon do their work for them. After this, "the unbelievers all began to talk like fools," and Malik Nekdil returned to Mas'úd, while the enemy advanced, and encamped on the Kasahla, probably the Koriála, river. A council of war decided Mas'úd to take the initiative. He advanced in a north-westerly directiongetting, probably, some good tiger-shooting on the way—defeated the enemy, and then fell back leisurely on Bahraich.

Rai Jogi Dás, of the Hindá Koh, sent a messenger with presents to Mas'úd. He was favourably received, and informed that if his master would embrace Islám, he might enjoy his country securely. Other Ráís also paid visits, but without ceasing to be hostile. They found a valuable ally in the Thárú chief Sohar Deo, Sohel Dál, or Sohil Deo, as he is variously called—it is by the latter name that he is still locally remembered—by whose advice they prepared large quantities of fireworks, and of poisoned spikes to be fixed in the ground when the Musalmán cavalry were about to charge. In two months the Ráis were again encamped, "with innumerable forces," on the banks of the Kasahla, and again sent word to Mas'úd, "that if he wished to save his life he had better leave that country and go elsewhere, as the land belonged to their fathers and ancestors, and they were determined to drive him from it." His reply

was of the briefest and most simple:—"The country is God's, and the property of hum on whom He bestows it. Who gave it to your fathers and ancestors?" The audicaous casuistry of this argument, which at once reduced the question at sesue to first principles, much impressed his opponents. A second council of war decided Mas'dd to remain on the defensive, but the enemy drove off his cattle, and forced an attack. Many "noble Turks" suffered martyrdom from the fireworks and the insidious spikes. The less was great on both aides, and a third of the Missalima army persibed. Another quest interval, however, followed, which Mas'dd, Another quest interval, however, followed, which Mas'dd at the sirafkund, under the shade of a lunge mahus tree which particularly affected. His meditations were disturbed by a fresh gathering of the foe, and he made up his mind for the worst. Assembling his forces, he adraved all who cared for their lives to retreat to the Panyib, but such as were desirous of martyrdom were left at liberty to remain. They all wept, and rowed never to desert him. "In fact, it was like the day of judgment, or even more tolemn." On the 18th and 19th of the mooth Rajab-ul-Munjiph.

On the first and 18th of the mount injure-naturalist. We have a construction of the Musalmans were slain, and among them Saif-ud-din. The bodies of the faithful were cast into the efrapland, "in the hope that through the odour of their marry-dom the darkness of unbelled might be expelled from that spot "—which strikes one as heing a slightly equivocal apparation. Mas'dd then "remonsted his mare of sacred blood," charged the enemy, and put them to flight. But Sohil Deo, and a few others who had reserved their troops, attacked the body guard, which was all that was now left to him, and on Sunday, the 20th of Rajah 424 Hijfe-Il thi June 1033 a n, at the hour of evening prayer, a chance arrow perced the main artery-in the arm of the Prince of the Faithful His sun-like rountenance numediately became pale as the moon. Repeating the text in prise of marty-lom, he dismounted, was carried under his favourite module traction of the carried under his favourite module traction of the carried under his favourite module traction of the peace by Sohil Deo, to whom, however, Man'sid appeared

the same night in a vision, and foretold his violent death, which came to pass as already related in this chapter. So ended this singular invasion, and Islám was in abeyance in Ondh for one hundred and sixty years, until the conquests of Shaháb-ud-dín Ghori, in 1193 A.D., enabled him to place Kntb-ud-dín Aibak as his representative on the throne of Delhi.

It was probably about the middle of the thirteenth century that the present Dargáh of Syad Salár was built. visited about 1340 A.D. by Sultan Muhammad Toghlak, after his suppression of the revolt of Ain-ul-Mulk, and is still the scene of a large annual fair held on the first Sunday in Jeth. Mas'úd is said by tradition to have condescended, while at Satrikh, to take to himself as wife the daughter of a converted Teli, or oilman, who dwelt at Radauli, in what is now the Bárabanki distriet, and on the great day of the fair-said to be the anniversary of the martyr's marriage as well as his death—a representative of his wife's family regularly arrives from Radauli, with a bridal couch and other offerings for the use of his shade. The Dargah is a massive, battlemented building, in the centre of which stands the tomb itself, inside a dark and narrow eell, entered by a single door some five feet high. Till a year or two ago, there was no other means of ventilation, and as into this cell the pilgrims erush together all day long in successive batches of as many as a vigilant police, armed with knotted handkerchiefs to drive back the crowd, and assisted by barricades, will admit, the state of the atmosphere towards the close of a hot day in May may be more easily imagined than described. Some eight or nine years ago more than twenty people were crushed and suffocated to death, after which police supervision somewhat mitigated the crowding, but was powerless perceptibly to improve the vitiated atmosphere. Recently, however, an energetic Deputy Commissioner, among other "modern touches here and there," which redeem the shrine, if not from "nothingness," at least from annihilation of its worshippers, has succeeded in getting a window opened in the wall of the holy of holies, the application to which of a thermantidote considerably cools and purifies the heated borrors of the atmosphere within The curious part of the matter is that though the khádims, or servants of the ahrine, vehemently objected to this immovation before it was made, the pigrims have quite secepted the bekilt pankle as part of the served apparatus, and call the current of air which proceeds from it the breath of the martyred saint. They have even begin to place on it offerings of pice, cowies, and flowers, and in two or three years time these will doubtless form a recognised source of income to the khádims, and be mortgaged, fought over, and med for, with as much carnestness as if the origin of the tribute were lost in the mist of antiquity.

The fair itself is essentially a poor man's fair, and is attended by low caste Hindsiquite as largely as by Mühammadians Thas is a currous illustration of the lastity of Hindsi religious conceptions, considering that the one object of Salár Mas'a'd's life was the destruction of their fath and the slaughter of its adherents. This anomaly is noticed in Siceman's "Tour in Oudby" and is there explained on the theory that thinking Hindsis regard the ursader as baring been an instrument of divine vengeance, to whom power was given over them for their sims, while the ignorant masses hold "that the old man must still have a good deal of interest in heaven, which he may be induced to exercise in their favour by suitable offerings and personal applications to his shrine" It is, perhaps, a more probable explanation this

It is, at any rate, certain that they speak of the personage whom they come, as they put it, to interview, as "Bála Bádshh," or "King Bála", and it is not easy to see how, except by some such confusion as this, the name

should have come to be applied to the Musilman hero and Ghází
The crescentade of Salár Mas'ád has been dwelt on at what may seen undue length, mainly because it was the first impact of Islâm on Onlh, and has left more traves, and is known in foller detail, than any subsequent invarion; pratty. also, to be perfectly candid, because its scenes are more familiar to the writer. Its hold on the popular imagination is testified to by the fact that "the Musalmáns of Oudh are apt to associate with Syad Salár every object or tradition of antiquity to which they can ascribe no certain origin."

It is a somewhat humiliating confession, but it is probably a true one, that of the history of Oudh, from the time of Syad Salár's expedition to the appointment of S'ádat Kháu as Súbahdár of the province in 1720 A.D., a period of nearly seven hundred years, we have next to no real information. Writteu Hindú records there are almost none, and from Muhammadan chronieles little can be gleaned beyond such unfruitful items as that this governor was superseded by that, or that the infidels of such a place revolted, and were put down with great slaughter. Local Hindú traditions there are in abundance, but it seems almost impossible to connect them with any degree of certainty with such facts as are to be gathered from the Muhammadan historians. Thus we learn from the latter that in 1226 A.D. Malik Nasírud-dín was appointed as governor of Oudh, and "overthrew the accursed Bartúh (Bhars?), under whose hands and swords more than one hundred and twenty thousand Musalmáns had received martyrdom"; all of which was, no doubt, very vivid and real to him, and to the poor Bartúh, whoever they were, but is not quite so much so to us. In 1243 A.D. the Emperor Alá-ud-diu sent his uncle Nasír-ud-dín as governor of Bahraich, where he "devoted himself to peaceful pursuits, and the improvement of the condition of his subjects . . . In that country and in the hills he fought many battles against the insidels. Under his kind rule Bahraich attained great prosperity." This Nasír-ud-dín afterwards became Emperor, and it was he who again defeated the Bhars under the brothers Dál and Bál, as already related, in 1246 A.D. Or perhaps these two defeats of the Bhars may be really one and the same, and a confusion of twenty years have erept into the chronology. Or, again, the victory which is spoken of as if it followed immediately on his appointment as governor, may not in reality have occurred till twenty years after that event, when he had become Emperor. Oudh and Bahraich were evidently two distinct governments or fiefs. The latter, meluding Gonda, seems to have been always held singly, owing doubless to its suched position, cut off from the rest of the province by a great unfordable river. The former was sometimes held-jointly with Karra, sometimes with Baddum, and sometimes with Zafarbbdd.

It would be easy to accumulate such items as these, were it worth while to do so. But as mere isolated events they are useless They might serve as Lindmarks, if we were in possession of general information as to the movement of society and popular life in Oudh Taken by themselves, they are as worthless as would be an assortment of milestones in the absence of a passable road on which to erect them Local details of the fortunes of particular families are plentiful enough, but scarcely any generalisations wide enough to be entitled to a place in a provincial history can be arrived at It must suffice to say that for about a century and a half after the conquests of Shahab-ud-din Ghori, though Musalman influence in Upper India was steadily becoming consolidated, yet Musalman settlements in Oudh were few and insignificant. But from about the year 1350 Ap the tide of Mnhammadan immigration set steadily in, and continued with short intervals until nearly the middle of the eighteenth century. The course which it followed lay chiefly from the north-west to the south-east of the province,

while in Kheri, Bahraich, and Gonda to the north, and Rai Bareh and Pratabgarh to the south, they were few and isolated

CHAPTER IV.

THE NAWABI [1720-1856].

THE wheel of fortune was whirling at the very top of its speed in Upper India during the early part of the last century. Adventurer after adventurer rose like bubbles to the surface of the seething cauldron, floated there for a moment, and then vanished into an obscurity from which, in most cases, they had no apparent claim to have arisen. few, of tougher texture or more favourably environed than the rest, contrived to keep themselves with more or less permanence at the top of affairs. One of the most distinguished of these was S'adat Khan, founder of the modern dynasty of Oudh. His original name was Muhammad Amín, and he came of a noble Syad family which derived its descent from the Prophet himself through the Imám Músa Kázim, and had long been settled at Naishapur in Khorásán. described by Alexander Dow as "the infamous son of a yet more infamous Persian pedlar," but this vigorous language may perhaps be to some extent explained by the fact that S'ádat Khán's grandson Shúja'-ud-daulah had refused to grant certain salt contracts to the historian who used it. Mirza Nasír, father of Muhammad Amín, had been in the service of the Emperor Bahadur Shah, second son of Aurangzib, and on the news of his death, Muhammad Amin, in his

turn, set out for India He was well received by the then Emperor Farukhsıyar, who appointed him commandant of his body guard, and afterwards made him governor of Agra. We need not do more than mention the murder of Farukh. siyar, in 1719 A D . by the Syad brothers Abdullah Khan and . Hosen Ali, who were then de facto masters of the empire; the subsequent elevation of Muhammad Shih to the throne; and his release from the tyranny of the Syads, and the overthrow of the latter by means of a conspiracy, in which Muhammad Amin was a principal agent As a reward for his services, he was appointed Subahdar of Oudh in 1720 A D , under the title of S'adat Ah Khan, Burhan-ul-Mulk, and seems to have been occupied for several years in establishing some kind of order in his province. In 1736 he defeated the Mahrattas under Ban Rio, in the Doab, and about the same time his daughter married his nephew Muhammad Mukim, better known to fame as Safdar Jang, who, on his death, succeeded him in the governorship of Oudh S'adat Khan took a conspicuous-and, according to what is perhaps a calumny of Dow'e, a treacherous-part in resisting the celebrated invasion of Nadir Shah of Persia in 1738-39. He was taken prisoner at Karnál, and died before the year was out * S'adat Khan's policy in Oudh seems to have been to cherish the peasantry, and to keep in check the encroachments of the larger landholders, and he was probably the first to make the power of a comparatively strong and central government felt throughout the province. Ajodhya and Lucknow were the places at which he chiefly resided, and, having assumed for his crest the fish which is still, so to speak, the arms of Oodh, he changed the name of the well-known fort of Lucknow from Kila Lakna (so called after the

The story goes that S'adat Khan and his great rival, Azzim-ul

founder, one Likna, Ahir) to Machhi Bháwan, or the fish-Though he continued, after his appointment as Súbahdár, to play a prominent part in the politics, or rather, in the intrigues of the empire, yet he identified himself more closely with the province, and spent more of his time there than any previous governor had done. He was a keen but unsuccessful candidate for the office of Wazir of the Empire. an honour which was reserved for his successor to attain. Ho left a large treasure, said to have amounted to some nine millions sterling, three millions of which he is related to have offered to Nádir Sháh as a ransom for Delhi. offer, however, was not accepted, and the city was sacked. His hoards must have been raised by unserupulous exactions, but these seem to have been confined to the rich. object was the aggrandisement of his own family, in which he certainly succeeded, in spite, if the story may be trusted, of his own ignominious death by poison.

It may here be convenient to give a list of the eleven rulers who composed the Oudh dynasty, showing their dates and mutual relationships:—

	1	S'ádat Ali Khán, Burhán-ul-Mulk				1720-1739.
						1/20-1/00.
- 2	í,	Mansúr Ali Khán, Safdar Jang, 1	nepn	1612	กทน	
		son-in-law of No. 1 .				1739-1756.
:	3.	Shuja'-nd-daulah, son of No. 2				1756-1775.
	1.	Asaf-ud-daulah, son of No. 3.				1775-1797.
	5.	Wazir Ali, reputed son of No. 4				1797-1798.
- (G.	S'adat Ali, half-brother of No. 4				1798-1814.
-	7.	Ghází-ud-dín Haidar, son of No. 6				1814-1827.
- 1	В.	Nasír-ud-dín Haidar, son of No. 7			:	1827-1837.
. :	9.	Muhammad Ali Shah, brother of	No. 1	7		1837-1842.
1	0.	Amind Ali Shah, son of No. 9	•			1812-1817.
1	1.	Waiid Ali Shah, son of No. 10	_			1847-1856.

Muhammad Mnkím, under the style of Mansúr Ali Khán, Safdar Jang, succeeded his uncle and father-in-law. He was the first "Nawáb Wazír," a combination of his two titles of Nawáb of Oudh and Wazír of the Empire. This latter honour, as also the title of Mír A'tish, or Commander of the Artillery, was bestowed upon him by the Emperor Ahmad Sháh, who succeeded Muhammad Sháh on the throne of Delhi in 1749.

Safdar Jang took an active part in the external politics and

wars of the empire against the Mahrattas, and also against the Duranis under Ahmad Shah Abdall, who were defeated in the Panjab in 1748 With the assistance of the Robillas. he drove the Mahrattas out of the Doab in 1851 Of his relations with the people of Ondh, we know but little. He completed the city of Faizahad, the foundation of which had been begun by S'adat Khan, and divided his time between it and Lucknow. Faizáhád is still spoken of hy the country-folk as "Bangla," the origin of the name being that, before the erection of the modern city, S'adat Khan had a shootingbox or bangla (anglier, bungalow), on the banks of the Ghaghra, which may still be seen by the curious in the compound of what was the Dilkhusha palace, but is now the residence of the Oppum Agent. Safdar Jang's most trusted instrument was Raja Newal Rai, a fighting Kayath, who was killed in an engagement with the troops of the Nawah of Farukhábád, with whom the Nawah Wazir's own treachery had embroiled him. He had induced Kaim Khan Bangnah, who was Nawah of Farukhabad in 1746, to attack his own

pacifying his brother Ahmad Khau with a pension, went off to Delhi, leaving Newal Ras in charge of both Oudh and Farukhábád. Ahmad Khán, who at first sat down tamely under his migries, was roused to action by the stinging repreaches of an Afchin woman, who had been insulted by one of Newal Ray's soldiers. He collected an army, defeated and billed Newal Rai, who marched to resist him, on the banks of the Kalinadi, crossed the Ganges, and was soon master of Oudh. Safdar Jang hastened to the scene of action with a large force, accompanied by Súraj Mal, the Ját chief of Bhartpur, hat was completely defeated by Ahmad Khan with very inferior numbers. This victory left the Afgháns for a short time in full possession of Oudh and Allahábád. They soon, however, fell out among themselves, and Safdar Jang did not scrople to call in the Mahrattas, with whose aid Ahmad Khan was driven into Kumaon, cooped up under the hills, and forced to capitulate.

Shortly before his death, Safdar Jang lost the favour of the Emperor Ahmad Khán owing to his share in the murder of a cunuch named Jawíd, of whom the queen-mother had been enamoured. He found himself superseded as Wazír of the Empire by Ghází-ud-dín (grandson of Nizám-nl-mulk, the successful rival of S'ádat Khán), who had been a protégé of his own. He retired to Oudh in disgust, and died in 1756. About all that is known of his internal policy is that he made a Hindú, Newal Rai, his minister, and that he commenced the construction of a bridge across the Gumti at Lucknow, which was completed by his grandson Asaf-ud-danlah. The mausoleum of Safdar Jang at Delhi, whither his remains were removed for interment, is well known as one of the finest works of the kind in India.

Shija'-ud-danlah, his son and successor, had married in 1743 the Bahu Begam, a Persian lady, whose grandfather had been Aurangzib's head cook, and whose wrongs were to be afterwards immortalised by the eloquence of Burke. claim to the succession was disputed, but unsuccessfully, by his cousin Muhammad Kuli Khán, Governor of Allahabad. who was supported by Ismail Khan, Kabuli, who had been the chief military adherent of Safdar Jang. The wretched nominal Emperor Alamgir II. was at this time a virtual prisoner in the hands of his tyrannical Wazír, Ghází-ud-dín, and his son Ali Gohar, the Crown Prince, was a fugitive from Delhi, wandering about India in search of support. In 1758 he joined Shuja'-ud-daulah and Muhammad Kuli Khun in an invasion of Bengal, where English influence had a year before been made paramount by the victory of Clive at Plassy, or, more correctly, Palasi. And now Shuja'-uddaulah was guilty of what was probably the greatest crime of his life. He treacherously lingered behind Muhammad Kuli and Ali Gohar, seized the fort of Allahabad, and on the return of his cousin to recover it, captured and put him to death. Ali Gohar, meanwhile, on receipt of a moderate sum from Clive, withdrew across the Karamnása, a small river which served as a rubicon between Bengal and the upper provinces, and again fell into the hands of Shuja'-uddaulah. In November 1759 the unhappy Alamgir was murdered by Ghází-ud-dín, and for some months there was no recognized Emperor of Delin. Alt Gohar, however, claimed the title, and appointed Shūgi-nd-daulah, whose prisoner he practically was, Wazir of the Empire, an honour which, as previously mentioned, had been lost by Enidar Jang shortly hefore his death. When Kásm Ali, who had been set up by the "ing" of English adventurers who then ruled and exploited Bengal, as Nawáh of Murahdábád in supersession of Mir Játar, fanlly broke with ha masters, he fied from Patna to Shūgi-nd-daulah, who had again, after a brief separation, got hold of Al Gohar, dias Shás Alam A fresh invasion of Bengal followed, which was defeated at Bakaár on the 23rd of Geber 1764.

Shura'-nd-danlah new retired to his own territory, while Shah Alam joined the English. It was proposed by the Calcutta Council to confer on the nominal Emperor all the Wazír's possessions, except Gházípur and Banáras, which were to be granted to the Company. Shah Alam, indeed, went so far as to issue a farman, dated 29th of December 1764, assigning "the country of Ghazipur, and the rest of the Zamindari of Rajah Balwant Singh belonging to the Nizimat of the Nawah Shuja-nd-daulah." to the Company, on account of the expense and danger caused them by the latter waging war "unjustly, and contrary to our royal pleasure!" This arrangement, however, was disallowed by the Court of Directors as being operous and profitless, and in 1765 Shuja'-ud-daulah was recognised as in possession of Oudh, Gházípur, and Bináras, Allahabad and Karra being reserved for Shah Alam The despatch of the Court of Directors forbidding the intended transfer of Oudh to Shih Alam has always been deemed a laudable instance of their moderation. It is not surprising, at any rate, that Shūji'-ud-daulah should have regarded its effect in that light, though ignorant of the cause; for it was received just at the time when, after being defeated in an engagement near Karra, he had thrown himself on the mercy of the victors, from whom he could have little expected such easy terms. It is worthy of note, as Sir H. Lawrence has pointed out, that even under circumstances when he might have been supposed

likely to agree to anything, Shúja'-ud-daulah insisted, and Clive eonsented, that "trade and factorics" should not be so much as mentioned in the treaty—a pretty significant proof of the dread with which the Company's mercantile operations were regarded.

In 1768 the agreement of 1765 was confirmed at Banáras, and the Nawáb undertook to limit his force to 35,000 men, of whom 10,000 were to be cavalry, 10,000 infantry, 500 artillerymen, and 9,500 irregulars. Of these the infantry alone were to be armed and trained in European fashion.

In 1772 the Mahrattas threatened Rohilkhand, and Shúja'ud-daulah entered into an agreement with the Rohillas for
their expulsion, in consideration of being paid four hundred
thousand pounds. It was about this time that an altereation
took place between Hastings and Sir Robert Barker, who was
commanding a brigade sent to eo-operate against the Mahrattas, regarding the choice of a diplomatic agent with the
Wazír. Hastings, writes Sir H. Lawrence, "earried his
point after an angry correspondence, the commencement of
that acrimony which prevailed in the discussion of Oudh
affairs during Mr. Hastings' administration, and which has
been so prominent a feature in most of the discussions regarding that province." It is, perhaps, some little consolation to reflect that if we too, in this our day, have been guilty
of controversial bitterness, we are, after all, only treading in
the footsteps of our fathers who were before us.

In September 1773 Hastings and the Wazír had a personal conference at Banáras, at which a new treaty was concluded, declaring that, whereas Sháh Alam, contrary to the treaty of the 16th of August 1765, granting him Karra and Allahabad for his expenses, had assigned those districts to the Mahrattas, his rights were forfeited, and transferred to the Wazír for a payment of fifty lákhs, or five hundred thousand pounds. It was also stipulated that Oudh should pay for all such troops of the Company's service as she, or rather her despot, required, at the rate of Rs. 2,10,000 per mensem for each brigade, consisting of two European and six sepoy battalions, with one company of artillery. Shúja'-ud-daulah had already conceived the design of crushing the Rohillas,

and took the opportunity of this meeting with Hastings at Bankras to ascertain whether he might count on English support in the attempt. He alleged that they were about, under the lead of Háfiz Rahmat and other Sardárs, to seize Etáwah, which he could not permit, especially as they and not paid any part of the forty lákha which they had agreed on as the price of the expulsion of the Mahratias, and offered to pay forty lákha to the Company for the loan of a brigade which he was to support humself, at the stripulated rate of Rs 21,0000 monthly until it was discharzed.

His proposals were accepted by Hastings, and the result was the battle of Bidul Nifa, foughton the 23rd of April 1778. The Rohillas were defeated, after a gallant struggle, by the English force under the command of Colonel Champion, while English force under the command of Colonel Champion, while the Nawh's troops were very active in plundering the camp of their vanquished enemies. The whole transaction, exaggerized though the subsequent attorcities have probably bent partially desired the subsequent attorcities have probably sharp with the subsequent at the properties of the British power in Edna. In expansion of Shipis'-ind-Joulah's share in the matter, perhaps all that can be urged is, that it was his interest, as a matter of policy, to crush such turbust meighbours, that he was a Shin, while the Rohillay were Sunnis, and that he had on his sale such moral force as the anction of Shih Alam and the support of Hastings could convey—all of which, however, does not amount to very much

It had been agreed by Hastangs and the Wasir, during the Ranfars conference of 1773, that a permanent British Resident should be appointed, and in that capacity a Mr. Middleton was sent by the Governor-General to Lucknow. By the time, however, that the Hohlla was was concluded, the opposition party, headed by Francis, were in a mijority in Council, and one of the first assertions of their power was the supercession of Middleton by an agent of their own selection named Birstow. This bone of contention was the cause of quarrels which lasted for years, and of repeated shiftings of the rival Residents. In 1776, Hastings recovered his majority by the death of Monson, and promptly recalling Bristow, sent Middleton back to Lucknow. In 1780, Bristow was reinstated by the express order of the Court of Directors. In 1781 Hastings again displaced him, restored him in 1782, and finally ousted him in 1783. There was certainly no lack of personal acrimony at this period in the treatment of Oudh affairs.

But we have anticipated the course of events by several years, and must go back to 1775. Shuja'-ud-daulah was taken ill during that year, while engaged in the settlement of Rohilkhand, and died at Faizábád, of which city his tomb, the Guláb Bárí (or rose-garden) is one of the chief ornaments. He was the first of his line whose remains were not earried westwards. Whether his death was due to natural causes or not, is uncertain. Mr. Carnegy mentions two conflicting rumours on the subject; one, that he was worried to death by the triumphant opposition in the Calcutta Council; the other, that he was wounded by a poisoned dagger while insulting the modesty of a daughter of the Nawab of Farukhábád. Of the two, the latter seems the least unlikely; the former probably originated in the lively imagination of some ardent partisan of Hastings. Shuja'-ud-daulah was a man of undeniable ability, and, Colonel Champion to the contrary notwithstanding, of marked personal courage. He was perhaps even less troubled with scruples than most Indian rulers of the time. Dow's description of him, though, as before pointed out, that of an enemy, is worth quoting. He speaks of him as "extremely handsome in his person, about five feet eleven inches in height, and so nervous and strong that with one stroke of the sabre he can cut off the head of a buffalo. He is active, passionate, and ambitious: his penetrating eye seems at first sight to promise uncommon acuteness and fire of mind, but his genius is too volatile for depth of thought, and he is consequently more fit for the manly exercises of the field than for deliberation in the closet. Till of late, he gave little attention to business. He was up before the sun, mounted his horse, rushed into the forest, and hunted down tiger or deer till the noon of day. He then returned, plunged into the cold bath, and spent his afternoons in the harem among his women. Such was the state of Shuja'-ud-daulah's mind till the late war

instead of with ball.

(i.e. the campaign ending with the hattle of Baksár) Stung with the loss of reputation, his passions have taken another course. His activity is semiployed in disciplining his army, and he now spends more time at the comptour of his innances, than in dallance with the ladies of his seraglio. His authority is therefore established, his revenues increased, and his army on a respectable footing. But with all his splended qualities, he is cruel, treacherous, un-

principled, and decentful" principled, and decentful"

His business capacities were undoubted By 1768, four years after his defeat at Baksär, he had pand off all his debts, and had a full treasury and foursehing revenne. He reduced and, with French and, remodelled his army, and founded an arsonal at Partibled It was Englis pelacusy of the progress he was making which led to the treaty of 1768, lumiting his army to thirty-five thousand men. Lake Satlar Jang, he had a Handd minister, Bent Parketter of the progress of Bahadur, Brahman Such writers as Franklin and Scott, who were not, his Dow, prejudeed against him by a private grudge, speal of him as "an excellent magistrate, a lover of justice, and anxiously desirous for the prosperity of his country . wise and digmided in character, affable, humane, and generous . . . Sincerely beloved by his own subjects, even the sons of Háfiz Lahmat wept at his own subjects, even the sons of Hair handan weps as his death." This last item sounds apocryphal, unless, indeed, their tears were tears of joy, but we may, at any rate, agree with Sir Henry Lawrence, that Shuja'-ud-daulah was "an able, energetic, and intelligent prince, and that he possessed at least the ordinary virtues of Eastern rulers." He was only forty-sis years of age at his death, on the 26th of January 1775, an event which marks the close of an epoch in the external history of Oudh. The first three Nawabs had all been pre-crimently soldiers. Stdat Khan and Stdar Jang had met the Persuna, the Döránis, and the Mahrattas in the held. Shipa'-nid-asulah had crossed swords with the English, and the tale is still told in Outh of how he would have been the victor at Enksis' had not his cunners played him false, and loaded with trusses of straw

But with the accession of his son Asaf-ud-daulah, fourth Nawáb and third Wazír of the Empire, a great change came over Oudh polities. With Shúja'-ud-daulah there had been but little interference from Calcutta, and what there was, was owing mainly to his initiative. But his son, during the twenty-two years that he occupied the masnad, was little more than a passive instrument in the hands of successive Governors-General. His share in Delhi affairs was almost confined to a well-timed offering of men and money in 1776, which liberated Sháh Alam from the tyranny of Zábita Khán, which was nearly as oppressive to him as that of Ghází-ud-dín had been to his father. For this service he was rewarded with the Wizárat of the Empire.

was rewarded with the Wizarat of the Empire.

The Calcutta Council began their relations with the new Nawab by assuming that the death of Shaja'-ud-daulah had put an end to all engagements entered into with him. After four months of discussion, Bristow negotiated a fresh treaty, which affirmed friendship between the contracting parties, and provided that the Nawab should employ no Europeans without the sanction of the Company; that neither party should consent to any proposals made to it by the Emperor of Delhi against the other; that Karra and Allahabad should be confirmed to the Nawab, and Banaras, Jaunpur, Ghazipur, and the other possessions of Chait Singh—yielding an annual revenue of twenty-three lakhs—should be ceded to the Company. The monthly cost of a brigade was raised from Rs. 2,10,000 to Rs. 2,60,000. All arrears due by his father were to be paid up by the Nawab. The Company, father were to be paid up by the Nawáb. The Company, on its part, was to defend Oudh, Karra, Allahabad, and the recent acquisitions of Shúja'-ud-daulah in Rohilkhand and the Doáb. These terms were to be in force during the lifetime of Asaf-ud-daulah. In addition to the permathe lifetime of Asar-ud-daulah. In addition to the permanent brigade quartered on Oudh by the Faizabad treaty with Shuja'-ud-daulah, a "temporary" brigade was imposed on his successor in 1776 or 1777, and from time to time several detached corps were added, at a further aggregate cost of twelve lakhs a year. Besides the Resident, whose appointment had been agreed on by Hastings and the late Nawab, a Major Palmer, as Company's Agent, at a moderate salary of

Rs 2,20,000 a year, was also sent to Lucknow, whither the Oudh capital nad now been permanently removed from Faizibid by Assf-ud-damlah, with the object, it is beheved, of escaping from his mother, the Bahu Begam, who continued to reade at the latter place

Of the ill-feeling between these two, mother and son, the mistaken interference of the Francis majority in the Council, in the first place, and Hastings' subsequent unscrupulous repudiation of their engagements, in the second, were the principal causes The anti-Hastings junto began the mischief by putting the Bahu Begam, Shuja'ud-daulah's widow, in possession of her deceased husband's treasures, as well as of an enormous sighir, comprising Salon, Parsadipur, Jais, Karra, Tinda, Wazirgauj, &c. dc. To these treasures it does not seem that she had any right, either rational or customary, though she did, indeed, produce a will, which was probably false, and which, whether true or false, would certainly never have been enforced in any native state. All that she was really entitled to was maintenance in a manner befitting her dignity, and this there is no reason to suppose that she would not have obtained from her son By this alienation, Asaf-ud-daulah was deprived of the power of paying off his father's debts to the Company, as the treaty of 1775 bound him to do, and as a natural consequence, he soon fell into arrears with the current demands for the pay of the troops. As might have been anticipated, he endeavoured to set himself right by plundering his mother

The Court of Directors, in a letter addressed to Hastings in 1783, wrote as follows —

"In 1775, the Resident at the Warfr's Court not only obtained from the Began, widow of the late Shija'and-daulah, on the Nawhi's account, tharty lakks of rupes, half of which was to be paid to the Company, but also the forbearance of wenty-are lakks, for the repayment of which she had security in land, on the Nawhi's agreeing to renounce all further claim upon her, and to this agreement the Company were guaranteed."

In acknowledgment of these fifty-six likbs, the Nawab

gave his mother a written undertaking, dated the 15th of October 1775, renouncing all further claims upon her, and confirming her in the above-mentioned jághírs. The collections were to be made by her own agents, and she was to retain her unints at Ajodhya and Faizábád. This agreement was gnaranteed by Bristow on the part of the Company, and however weak her claim might originally have been, the Begam was now fairly justified in considering herself as seenre as the solemn engagements of her son and the Company could make her.

A period of nearly six years followed, during which the internal affairs of Oudh went on from bad to worse. The introduction of European officers into the Nawab's army, and the discharge of a number of irregular troops, led to a serious mutiny, which was not suppressed without considerable loss. Asaf-ud-daulah abandoned himself to dissipation, leaving the management of affairs to his minister, Murtaza Khán, who, was soon assassinated by Khwájah Basant, a eunuch in command of the army, who had got up a party in favour of S'ádat Ali, younger brother of the Nawab. Khwajah Basant was promptly executed for the murder, and S'adat Ali, who was destined to become himself Nawah some ten years later, fled for safety to British territory. "Thus, in one day," to quote Sir H. Lawrence, "the Wazír lost his minister, his general, and his brother." The former was replaced by Haidar Beg Khán, a creature of Hastings, a man of considerable vulpine astuteness, and considerably less than no character. Meanwhile, arrears of subsidy accumnlated rapidly. "The regular subsidy," as Sir H. Lawrence tersely puts it, "was originally twenty-five lákhs and a half; the Francis junto raised it to thirty-one lákhs and two-fifths, but what with the expense of the temporary brigade, extra troops, and numerous officers employed with the Oudh army. as well as various miscellaneous accounts, the demands, during seven years of Mr. Hastings' administration, averaged one hundred laklis annually, while in spite of constant screwing, the receipts only averaged seventy lákhs; leaving in 1781 a deficit of two and one-tenth crores of rupees (=£2,100,000). To meet this frightful item, there was a

materially decreased revenue." The pay of the Nawhl's servants and the allowances of members of his family were heavily in arrears. The ladies of the harem were in absolute want of food. While, to complete the picture, Colonel Hannay, who had been appointed farmer of the revenue of Bahranch, Gonda, and Gorahbpur, in 1778, made such good use of his time and opportunities that he "dropped off gorged" in 1781, with, if Burke's information, which is accepted by Marshana, may be trusted, three hundred thousand pounds in his pocket. The terror which this man established in the country and in the mind of the Nswib, may be imagined from the terms in which the latter wrote to Hissings regarding a rumour that he was again to be quartered upon Outh—

"Colonel Hannay is melined to request your permission to he employed in the affairs of this quarter. If, by any means, any matter of this country dependent on me should be entrusted to the Colonel, I swear by the holy Fronhet that I will not remain here, but will go from hence to you. From your kindness, let uo concern dependent on me be entrusted to the Colomel."

And this language, be it remembered, was used by a man whose letters to Hastings were usually of the most meetly submissive type. Whether owing to this letter or not, Hannay was not sent back to Oudh. But he left behind him plenty of mintators, though on a less gignatic scale. These men, whether forced into the Nawhi's servine as recuno farmers, or on multiary duty, seem to have been the curse of the country, which they plundered with a thoroughness and audacity that were truly Verrine. They ill-treated and rack-reated the minidates in the one case, and, in the other, interfered with the course of trade, established markets of their own, sholishing those which were already in crisience, and collected the customs on their own account. Small wonder that the revenue dwindled.

in 1778 the Nawab had petitioned for removal of part of the troops quartered upon hun, as they were not needed, and were runnously costly. This request was not granted until 1781, when, at a personal conference between Hastings and Asaf-ud-daulah at Chunár, it was agreed, on the 19th of September:—

- (1.) That all English troops, except one brigade and one extra regiment, were to be withdrawn from the Nawáb's territories; and
- (2.) That "as great distress has arisen to the Nawab's Government from the military power and dominion of the jaghirdars, he be permitted to resume such jaghirs as he may find necessary, with a reserve that all such for the amount of whose jaghirs the Company are guarantees, shall, in case of the resumption of their lands, be paid the amount of their net collections, through the Resident, in ready money."

At the time when this treaty was made, the Company's finances were in an exceedingly bad condition, and in order to better them, Hastings practically sold to the Nawab permission to break his engagements, which had been guaranteed, whether rightly or wrongly, by the Company, for an immediate supply of fifty-five lakhs of ready money, and a stipulation for the payment of an additional twenty lakhs. The well-known outbreak at Banaras provoked by Hastings' outrageous treatment of Chait Singh, had occurred in the previous August; and on the utterly frivolous pretext, supported by the famous affidavits made before Impey, that the Begams had taken part in this émeute, the work of confiscation was begun.

No need to dwell here upon the oft-told, shameful tale. In justice, however, to Asaf-ud-daulah, it should be stated that, whether or not he had made the first proposal for resuming the jághírs—(the annual value of which was nearly thirty lákhs, or three hundred thousand pounds)—there can be no doubt whatever that when it actually came to the point, he was exceedingly loth to carry it out. Middleton's own letters to Hastings make it perfectly clear how reluctant he was to take such a step. Middleton himself hesitated to apply the pressure requisite to force him to sign the orders for resumption. Nothing but Hastings' imperious resolve and stringent commands compelled the unwilling Resident to goad on the wretched Nawáb to the execution of this nefarious scheme. Middleton wrote to Hastings that in conse-

quence of the resumption of all the jaghirs, so much sgainst his inclination . . a settled melancholy has seized upon the Nawab, and his health is reduced heyond conception." Indeed, the orders for resumption were not issued by Asafud-daulah, for he could not by any pressure be induced to sign them, but by Middleton himself, and were only enforced by a military demonstration. During the early days of 1782 the work of spoliation was carried out at Faizabad, by means of moral torture applied to the Begams themselves, and physical torture applied to their treasurers. The house, on the south bank of the Ghaghra, in which the disgraceful scene was enacted, is now known as the Commissariat bungalow. Haidar Beg Khan, the minister, according to Middleton's well-known letter to Sir Elijah Impey, "supported him nobly" in his arduous task of terrifying two secluded old native ladies and a couple of aged ennuclus. Seventy-six lakhs (£760,000) were extorted, and all the jaghirs resumed, but of the latter a great part was subsequently restored, and held by the Bahu Hegam until her death, at a very advanced age, in 1815. To have their village included in the Begam's jaghir was about the greatest stroke of good fortune that could befall the inhabitants thereof, for they thus obtained protection from the extortions of the revenue farmers and other leeches who harassed and dramed the greater part of Oudh

Famuliah Khan, the Böhilla Nawáb of Rámpur, who had been spared by Shipā'-aud-danlah, was another of the vertices of the tyranous necessity under which Hastings beloured of filling the Company's treasury. He was bound by treaty not to maintain more than five thousand troops, of whom three thousand were to be furnished to the Nawáb of Oulh on demand. In November 1780, Hastings ordered the Nawab to call on Fairullah Khan for fave thousand troops for the defence of Behar. The unhappy man promitly and humbly offered three thousand. For this meek refusal to comply with an unwarrantable demand, Hastings asserted to americation of all has estates to Oulh, and he was only restored on payment of fifteen likhth (2150,000), a sun equator has when the superstance of the same cannot be seen for three years after the

spoliation of the Begams, Oudh was under the merciless serew of Haidar Beg Khán, acting under the imperious menaces of the Governor-General. But the patient could not be made to bleed freely enough. Finally, after the failure of his own nominees, Middleton and Palmer, Hastings fell back on Bristow, on whom he bestowed almost unlimited powers. Better proof could hardly be found of the depth of shameless meanness to which, with all his great qualities, Hastings was capable of descending, than a comparison of the private instructions which he gave to Bristow, and his subsequent public condemnation of Bristow's conduct in earrying them out. The instructions ran thus:-The Resident must be the slave and vassal of the Minister, or the Minister at the absolute devotion (sic) of the Resident . . . It will be necessary to declare to him (the Minister) in the plainest terms, the footing and conditions on which he shall be permitted to retain his place, with the alternative of dismission, and a scrutiny into his past conduct, if he refuses." Little more than a year later, Bristow was for the third time removed from Imeknow by the Governor-General on the publicly recorded ground that he, "after an ineffectual attempt to draw the minister Haidar Beg Khán into a conspiracy with him to usurp all the powers of the Government, proceeded to an open assumption of them to himself."

Really, if his treatment of Oudh had been a fair sample of the whole of Hastings' Indian career, it would hardly be too much to say that no whit of Burke's invective could have been excessive or exaggerated.

"Owing to the weakness of the Nawab's government," no troops were withdrawn as had been provided by the treaty of 1781, until a visit paid to Lucknow by Hastings in March 1784, when the province was relieved of a detachment. About the same time he caused the restoration of a part of the confiscated jaghirs, and altogether does not seem to have inflicted very much injury on Oudh upon this occasion. Indeed, the state of the country was sufficiently deplorable to have excited even his compassion, and was in every respect worse than it had been under Shúja'-ud-daulah. Hastings himself,

in a minute written at Calentta in May 1784, previous to his visiting Lucknow, admits that the province "had fallen into a state of great disorder and confusion, its resources being in an extraordinary degree duminished", and expresses a hope that he "may possibly be able to establish some plan by which the province of Gudh may be in time restored to its former state of affinence, good occler, and prosperty". How utterly demorahsed its actual condition was may be gathered from the following account by Bristow, written in December 1789 ---

"Despotesm is the principle upon which every measure is founded, and the people in the interior parts of the country are ruled at the discretion of the Amil or Faujdar for the time being; they exercise, within the limits of their jurisdiction, the powers of life and death, and decisions in civil and other cases, in the same extent as the Sovereign at the capital The forms prescribed by the ancient institutions of the Mughal Empire are unattended to, and the will of the provincial magistrate is the sols law of the people; the total relaxation of the Wazir's authority, his mattention and dislike to business, leave the Amils in possession of this dangerous power . I can hardly quote an instance, since the Wazir's accession to the massad, of an Amil having been punished for uppression, though the complaints of the people and the state of the country are notorious proofs of the violences daily committed; it is even become unsafe for travellers to pass except in large bodies; murders, thefts, and other enormities shocking to humanity are committed in open day."

He adds that Amils were selected solely by favour, or capocity to pay a large sum in advance, and "entered upon their trust runed in reputation" and pocket. They bulled the weaker zamindárs, and were cowed in their trun by the more powerful, whose forts covered the country. Almás Ali Khán, the famous ennuch, who for many years farmed the south-east portion of Oudh, hving—though this estimate must have been greatly exaggerated—"not less than seven hundred in his districts". It was customary for an Amil to keep some near relative of each zamindár as a hostage; "a

great Amil will sometimes have three or four hundred of these hostages, whom he is obliged to confine in places of security."

Captain Edwards, who was examined as a witness at

Captain Edwards, who was examined as a witness at Hastings' trial, deposed that "in the general aspect that the country bore, and the cultivation of the country, it was infinitely better cultivated in 1774 than in 1783 . . . In Shúja'-ud-daulah's time the country was in a very flourishing state in merchandise, cultivation, and every article of commerce, and the people then seemed to be very happy under his government, which lately was not the case, because the country in reality, in the year 1774, appeared in a flourishing state, and in the year 1783 it appeared comparatively forlorn and desolate."

The main cause of the unhappy change, described with such eurious infelicity of phrase, is not far to seek. An Asiatie, or, indeed, any ruler, when the slave of a powerful external Government, whose only object is to get money from him, and which, so long as that object is attained, guarantees him against the results of his own oppression and misrule, is tolerably certain to lose interest in his affairs, to "let things slide," and to seek refuge in sensual pleasure. This was the course of every Nawab or King of Oudh from Asaf-ud-daulah onwards, with the two exceptions of S'ádat Ali, and his son Muhammad Ali Sháh, of whom the first was a man of extraordinary natural force of character, and the seeond was favoured by the good early training he had received from his father, the quict and decorous life he had led for many years previous to his accession to the throne, and an exceptionally good Resident, Colonel Low. The result must almost inevitably be a total collapse of administration, and particularly of land revenue administration; a tendency to shirk the trouble of supervising a large number of small landholders, and to hand them all over in a mass to the first large revenue farmer or powerful official who will pay, or undertake to pay, heavily for the privilege of plundering them at discretion. This was what was done by Asaf-uddaulah, and the growth of "mushroom" Taluqdars began during his reign, owing chiefly to the tremendous drain upon the resources of Oudh established by the Calcutta Council

under Hastings, and continued with but httle actual, though with some nominal, diminition under his immediate successors

The first of these was Lord Cornwalls, and to him, in 1786, the Nawab addressed an entreaty that his burthens might be lightened by the withdrawal of the troops from Fattingarh and Cawapore This request was refused, on the ground that it could not safely be complied with. Negotiations between Lord Cornwallis and Haidar Beg Khan went on for some months, and on the 15th of April 1787 the Governor-General wrote a letter to the Nawab, pointing out the superiority of the British troops to his own, and reminding him that their pay was expended in Oudh, which had enjoyed peace—such as it was—while the rest of India was disturbed. He promised, however, that future demands should not exceed the expenses actually mourred by the Company in their relations with the province, which amounted to "fifty likhs of Fazzábád rupees" The force was to be increased or decreased hereafter as circumstances required, and the Resident was no longer to interfere in the internal affairs of Oudh "For several years past," wrote Lord Cornwallis, "the inhabitants of your Excellency's dominions, from motives of self-interest, have appealed to this Government, and this has been a source of miury to the affairs of your Government I am determined to put a stop to this practice, and to disregard their applications; but, as the connection between the two governments is universally known, strict attention to justice on your part will add credit and renown to both."

The substance of the letter was discussed with Hisbar Bog Khán, and on the 21st of July a florid reply was received from the Navab, still dwelling on the great weight of expense which his Government had experienced every year from these troops, and on the negotiations which had passed with "former gentlemen" on this subject; but agreeing to the continuance of the troops "with a rise to preserve his Lordship's goodwill and satisfaction," and to the annual payment of fifty likhe. A portion of the balance due to the Company was remitted.

On the 25th of July 1788 a commercial treaty was signed, providing that neither party should claim any exemption for the goods of their respective subjects from duties which were to be levied at rates of two and a half to five per cent. on permits granted by the respective Governments. The exaction of transit duties by zamíndárs was forbidden, under The office of Governor-General's Agent was abolished, an economy of one hundred thousand pounds a year, which seems a somewhat high price for the province to have paid for the services rendered to her by Major Palmer and his establishment; the claims of private creditors of the Nawab were refused recognition; and sundry monopolies which had been acquired by European adventurers under colour of the Company's authority were abolished. Altogether, Lord Cornwallis did a good deal in the way of pruning the most flagrant of the evil growths by which Oudh was being suffocated, and it is possible to study the history of our relations with the province during his term of office, without feeling any very overpowering sense of shame; which, considering the events of the previous decade, is, comparatively at least, saying a good deal.

But as far as Asaf-ud-daulah was concerned, the mischief was done. Whether he might, under happier auspices, have turned out a respectable ruler, is of course an open question. His liberality and munificence are still proverbial in Lucknow, as is testified by the following slightly profane couplet, which the Banyas are wont to repeat on

opening their shops in the morning:-

Jis ko na de Maula, Tis ko de Asaf-ud-daulah:

which may be rendered,

Who from Heaven nought receiveth, To him Asaf-ud-daulah giveth.

He spent money lavishly on public buildings, the principal of which were among the chief ornaments of Ineknow, such as the Daulat Khána, the Rúmí Darwáza, Hasan Bágh, the Bibiapur Kothí, the Chinhat Kothí, the Bara Imámbára, the Aish Bagh, the Char Bagh, and the Residency. The Martimère College, also, was built during his reign by General Claude Martin, a French officer in his service. But, whatever his potential good qualities, Asaf-ud-daulah had, by the time of Lord Cornwallis' Governor-Generalship, become utterly debauched and demoralised, and totally heedless of his affairs, which, being left to themselves, naturally grew worse and worse The revenue farmers, as they grew powerful by draining the country of its wealth, shock off their allegiance to the Nawab and defied his authority. Asaf-nd-daulah's own excuse for his reckless abandonment of husiness was that he had been rendered desperate by the insatiable demands of the Calcutta Council, who, however divided on other points, could always unite in any scheme for the plunder of Oudb, and only differed occasionally as to the choice of agents-a Middleton or a Bristow-for its execufion.

Hadar Beg Khán ded in 1795, and with him expired such little vitality as still largered in the administration of the province. The finance were in a desperate condition when Sir John Shore, who succeeded Lord Cornwalliess Governor-General, visited Lucknow in 1797, and—by way of relieving them—established a fresh drain of five likhs and a half, yearly, for the support of two additional regiments. In roturn for this concession, he bestewed "a sight of good advise" on Assi-Luck-daulah, which the latter was incapable of appreciating. It was not even stated that these cettra troops were to be kept in Oudh at all, and the demand was made in momphance with the Company's orders," owing to the late very great increase in their military establishment."

The only other result of Sir John Shore's risit was the appointment, as minister, of Talarrul Hosen, who had been the Nawik's representative at Calcutta. He appear to have been an intelligent and respectable man; but the Nawik would have preferred Almiss Ah Khän, and for once it is probable that the Nawik was right. Steman writes of him thus:

"Miyan Almas was the greatest and best man of any note that Ondh has produced. He held for about forty years

districts yielding to the Oudh Government an annual revenue of about eighty lákhs of rupees (£800,000). During all this time he kept the people secure in life and property, and as happy as people in such a state of society can be; and tho whole country under his charge was, during his lifetime, a garden." He died a poor man, "for his immense income he had expended in useful works, liberal hospitality, and charity. He systematically kept in check the Taluqdárs or great landholders; fostered the smaller; and encouraged and protected the best classes of cultivators, such as Lodhs, Kurmis, and Káchhis, whom he called and considered his children. His reign over the large extent of country under his jurisdiction is considered to have been its golden age."

Such was the man who was set aside in favour of the worthy, but comparatively insignificant Tafazzul Hosen. Sir John Shore had given his consent to the appointment of Almás Ali, but revoked it on discovering an old order by Lord Cornwallis against his being employed. Had this order not been passed, or not been discovered when it was, the subsequent course of Oudh history might have been materially different. For Asaf-ud-daulah died very shortly after Sir John Shore left Lucknow, and it was mainly owing to the representations of Tafazzul Hosen that his putative son and successor, Wazír Ali, was set aside after a few weeks in favour of S'ádat Ali, second son of Shúja'-ud-daulah, and brother of the late Nawáh.

The news of Asaf-ud-daulah's death reached Sir John Shore at Calcutta, and he ratified the succession of Wazír Ali, reputed son of the late Nawáb. But reports soon came in apace of his illegitimacy, profligacy, and hostility to Engl h interests, and the Governor-General again set out for Lu. mow to dispose of the matter on the spot. He was met on the way by Tafazzul Hosen, who was full of Wazír Ali's transgressions and enormities, and whose zeal for his deposition was, perhaps, partly due to the fact that he had formerly been tutor to S'ádat Ali, in whose sight he hoped to find more favour than in that of the violent and intractable young Nawáb.

Sir Henry Lawrence felt "bound to record his opinion that

Wazír Ali was unjustly treated," and that his "spurions brth would not by Juhammadan Law have interfered with his succession, and never would have weighed with the English authorities, had he not rendered hunself obnoxious to them by dearing to degrade Twarzul Hosen, who was considered as 'the representative of English influence.'" Mr. Eastwick follows suit, and writes that Warfr Ali was deprired of a kingdom upon evidence on which "a court of English law would not have decided against him a question of a few pounds"

To us it seems that the question of Wazír Ah's legitimacy or illegitimacy was one of very secondary importance, and that Sir John Shore was perfectly justified in taking into consideration his anti-English leanings as a part of the larger question of his general fitness or unfitness to rule the province That he should have bitterly disliked all things English is not surprising, considering what he had seen of English influence in Oudh, and was certainly not to be imputed to him as a moral failing Still, the fact remained that Oudh was under English influence, and was obviously destined so to continue, and Wazir Ali's hostility to that influence was, so far, a disqualification for the task of presiding over the affairs of a province that was subject to it. In other respects, moreover, there was no room for doubt that be was totally unfit to govern, and, indeed, as his sub-sequent conduct showed, hardly fit to hire. However Eng-lish influence over Oudh had been acquired, it was an existing fact, and Sir John Shore, being in possession of it, was bound to use it for the best interests of the province. That he did so use it in setting aside Wazir Ali and substituting S'ádat Ah, the subsequent career of the latter leaves as little doubt as the terms of the treaty which Sir John Shore exacted from him, as a condition precedent of his elevation, leave that his motives in making the selection were not unmixed.

Since he had fled from Oudh, as already mentioned, in fear of the consequences of Khwajah Busant's unsuccessful conspiracy, S'ádat Ah had been living in British territory, and was at this time at Banáras. It was there that the intention of raising him to the masnad was announced to him by the Resident, Mr. Cherry, and a draft treaty presented to him for his acceptance, the substance of which may be summarised as follows:—

- (1.) The subsidy to the Company was to be raised from fifty-six to seventy-six lákhs per annum, payable by monthly instalments of Rs. 6,33,333 5. 4., commencing from the date of his accession (which took place on the 21st of January 1798); the arrears of the subsidy were to be at once discharged; a yearly allowance of a lákh and a half was to be paid to Wazír Ali through the Resident; and twelve lákhs were to be paid at once as nazarána, or douceur, to the Company, who had "incurred a considerable expense in their exertions in establishing his right."
- (2.) In the event of the instalments falling into arrears, such security was to be given as should be deemed satisfactory, and "since by this treaty the amount of the subsidy is considerably increased, and many other permanent charges upon His Excellency are incurred . . . it becomes necessary, on a comparison of his disbursements with the assets of his country," to make reductions in expenditure; and S'ádat Ali agreed to act to that end in consultation with the Company.
- (3.) No correspondence with foreign powers was to be carried on by the Nawáb without the knowledge and concurrence of the English Government; and he was to entertain no Europeans without its sanction.
- (4.) The commercial treaty of the 25th of July 1788, which had been hitherto neglected, was to be duly enforced for the future.
- (5.) The reputed children of Asaf-nd-danlah were to be maintained by S'ádat Ali.
- (6.) The fort of Allahabad was to be made over to the Company, with the sum of eight lakhs to be spent on its fortifications—the Company, be it observed, paying ghat-fees—and three lakhs were to be made over to them for strengthening the fortifications of Fattiligarh.
- (7.) In return, the Company agreed to maintain not less than ten thousand troops in Oudh. If at at any time the

force amounted to more than thirteen thousand, or less than eight thousand, an increased anbudy was to be paid, or a decrease allowed accordingly.

The treaty contained no provision for the good government of the province, and, except for the look of the thing, the omission was not, perhaps, under all the circumstances, of much consequence.

Such as it was, it was accepted by S'fdat Ah, who was in no position to haggle about terms, and on the 21st of January 1798 he was proclaimed as Nawish Wast's at Lacknow, whither he had been escorted by British troops. The treaty was formally signed on the 21st of Fobruary. Wast' Ali had maintained a threatening attitude during the course of these negotiations, but no outbreak took place, owing, it is only fair to say, in great measure to Sir John Shorr's perfect cochiess and tast, for which he was publicly thanked by the Court of Directors. One can only wish that the success of the cause in which these fine qualities were displayed had been signalised by the rooclusion of a somewhat less consided treaty. A great darbir of all the Lucknow Court was held at the Blutaur palace, at which Wair Ali was informed of the order for his deposition, and sent off to Bandras under escort. With his subsequent adventures, culminating in the assassimation of the Bandras Resident, Mr. Cherry, Oudh history has little further concern.

S'ádat Ali uhented from his father Shúja' val-daulah both his taste for pleasure and his business especity, but in him the latter was far more marked and developed, and the former less so Ins character seems to have been very generally misunderstood, and it was n character worth understanding rightly, for there was a great deal of it. Ho was about forty years of ago when raised to the manned, and had previously been known as a jorial spirit, fond of wine and hunting, but at the same time, prudent and economical. From that time forward, however, he was a churged man. He deeply felt his responsibilities, and struggled gallantly against the difficulties of his position to fulfi his duty to the privince. His coulader was often miscontruct by those who unjerfectly comprehended his situation and the

needs of the country. But the people of Oudh, at least, appreciated him, and he is still remembered, even by the large landholders—whom to keep in cheek was one of the chief aims of his policy—as the best, wisest, and strongest administrator that the province has ever known. He underwent, in fact, "conversion," and solemnly vowed at the shrine of Hazrat Abbás to abandon his life of pleasure, and to devote himself to the task of government; and this vow he certainly kept to the end of his life.

But his circumstances, especially at first, were most unfavourable. The treaty which he had signed bound him to pay more than three-quarters of a million annually for the use of ten thousand of the Company's troops. This he seems to have done without fail, for the treaty forced upon him in 1801 contains no mention of any arrears. But he had also to contend against the earth-hunger of Lord Wellesley, who reached India shortly after his accession, stimulated by apprehensions, which for a period of about ten months were probably genuiue euough, of an invasion of northern India by Zamán Sháh, son of Ahmad Sháh Abdálí, the redoubted vietor of Pánípat. Lord Wellesley began by calling on S'ádat Ali to disband a great part of his own troops, of whom Asaf-ud-daulah had left some eighty thousand, and to substitute for them an increased British force. This proposal was very distasteful to S'ádat Ali, but objections were useless against the master of many legions and few scraples, the robust vigour of whose policy ran little risk of being weakeued by any excess of urbanity in his mode of expressing it. The Nawab at last threw out a hint of abdicating, at which Lord Wellesley eagerly caught, assuming as a matter of course that his abdication would be in favour of the Company. This, however, was by no means S'ádat Ali's intention, and he refused to resign unless he was to be succeeded by one of his own sons. Governor-General put on record some very strong language concerning the "duplicity and insincerity" of the Nawab, but the latter would not yield, and Lord Wellesley was not prepared forcibly to depose him without some better pretext that he had yet been able to obtain. For the charge o

duplicity there was really no foundation, for the Resident, in his letter describing the interview at which the proposal to abdicate was made, expressly states that the Nawib dwelt on the fact that his son would succeed him, and the dignity be continued in his family. Yet Lord Wellesley's reply to this letter was accompanied by a draft treaty for the Nawab's acceptance, in which no mention whatever was made of his children except as recipients of a pension, and by which the entire civil and military administration of Oudh was to vest in the Company. It was not surprising that S'adat Ali refused his consent to a proposal which, he declared to the Resident, "would bring upon him such indelible disgrace and odnum that he could never voluntarily subscribe to it." It is impossible to read the correspondence which ensued It is impossible to read the correspondence which ensued between Lord Welleely and the Nawish without feeling that the latter had very much the best of the argument, as a mere question of farmess and reason. The Governor-General appeared to have conruced himself that S'sdat Alt was in-capable of doing any good thing whatsoever; and if that con-viction had been correct, he would surely have been justified viction and bear correct, he would surely have been justified in relieving Oudh of him, always providing that he was prepared to substitute a better government than that which he removed. But where Lord Wellesley seems to have been wrong, was in his axiom of S'édat Ah's worthlessness. He had before him, perhaps the ablest and most enlightened native ruler then living, and failed to recognise him.

Vanquished in argument, Lord Weltesley threw his aword into the scale A British force marked into Oudh, without the consent of the Nawib, whose local Odicers were ordered by the Renderit to receive it, and supply provisions for its use. This order they obeyed. The Nawib's troops were varily reduced, an invalous task which was well carried out by Colonel Scott, and twelve battalons of the Congrany's infantry and four regiments of cavalry substituted, at an additional cost to the Oudh exchequer of fifty-four likits annually. The total amount of the subsidy was thus increased to £1,900,000, while to balance this greatly sugmented demand there was a saving of only £165,000, effected by the reduction of a great part of the Oudh troops. The

Nawab, not very unnaturally, wrote to the Resident, dwelling on the difficulty of meeting this enhanced charge, and asking where the money was to come from. Lord Wellesley promptly declared that this was a confession of inability to satisfy the Company's demands, that the subsidy was no louger safe, and that it must be secured by the eession of "such a portion of the Wazír's territories as shall be fully adequate, in their present impoverished condition, to defray those indispensable charges," or, in other words, of rather more than half of the whole of his dominions. It was in vain that S'ádat Ali explained that he had merely wished to ask for the advice of the Resident, which he had been enjoined to take, and had frankly put his perplexities before him; and pointed out the regularity with which the subsidy had hitherto been paid. Lord Wellesley deputed his brother Henry Wellesley to Lucknow, and under threat of complete deposition, the treaty of the 10th of November 1801 was extorted, by which Rohilkhand, Farukhábád, Mainpuri, Etáwah, Cawnpore, Fattihgarh, Allahábád, Azimgarh, Basti, and Gorakhpur, were eeded to the Company in perpetuity. The revenue then yielded by these districts amounted to Rs. 1,35,00,000, which, including stamps and excise, had risen in 1846-47 to more than Rs. 2,11,00,000. It is now considerably over Rs. 3,00,00,000. The principle on which the districts to be ceded were chosen was to isolate the remaining dominions of the Nawab by surrounding them on three sides with a ring of British territory, while to the north, as before, they were shut in by the mountains of Naipál. Henceforward, with one or two trifling exchanges, the dimensions of the province continued as at present. The other provisions of the treaty were that the subsidy should cease for ever, and that no future charges should he made for the protection of Oudli. The Nawab was to retain only four battalions of infantry, one regiment of Najibs, two thousand cavalry, and three hundred artillerymen. Possession of the reserved dominions was guaranteed to him and to "his heirs and successors." as also full exercise of authority within the same; and the Nawab engaged to introduce a good system of administration; in concert with the Company's officers. The ceded

districts were to be made over at the commencement of the year 1209 Faslis=22nd of September 1801, though the treaty was not actually signed till the 10th of Norember, and the subsidy was to be continued untd actual possession was obtained. The navigation of the Ganges and other boundary livers was declared free.

And here, perhaps, it may not be mappropriate to point

contained five main divisions, viz. :-

(1) Uttara Kosála, or the trans-Ghághra districis, now known as Bahraich, Gonda, Basti, and Goralhpur.

(2) Sillians, or Sillians, consisting of the lower range of hills to the north of Uttara Kosala, as above defined, now

belonging to Nainal, with the Tarai at its base.

(3.) Fachburnstti, which may be roughly described as the country between the Ghighra and the Gumti, bounded on the east by a line drawn from Ajothya on the former river to Sulfanpur on the Lutter, and on the west by a line drawn through Nimhkir in the Sitapur district so as to connect the same two rivers considerably nearer their sources. This division must have recladed about a third of the present district of Farabiad, a small portion of the north of Sulfopur, greater part of Barabanki, and sections of the Lucknow and Sitapur districts.

(4) Yarabrith, or the country between the Ghághra and the Guntt east of the line from Ajodhya to Sultiopur, including two-thirds of the modern Farabbid district, the north-eastern corner of Sultiapur, and parts of Aumgarh and Jaunpur. How far it extended castwards, it is difficult, if not unjowable, to determine with any precision.

(5) Arbar, or the country about Pratabgarh, extending southwards from the Gunut to the Sar river. Arlar, it may be noticed, is still the local name of the large pargana and

tabeil of Pratabgarh.

From the pre-historic period to the time of Aklar, the limits of the province and its internal divisions seem to have been constantly changing, and the name of Oudh, or Awadh, seems to have been applicable only to one of these divisions or Sarkárs, nearly corresponding to the old Pachhimráth. The title of Súbahdúr of Oudh is mentioned as early as 1280 A.D., but it can only have denoted the governor of the tract of country abovo defined. The Oudh of Akbar was one of the twelvo (or fifteen) súbahs into which he divided the Mughal Empire as it then stood, in the year 1590 A.D. As constituted at the end of the sixteenth century, the Súbah contained five Sarkárs, viz. Awadh, Lucknow, Bahraich, Khairábád, and Gorakhpur, and these, again, were subdivided into numerous maháls and dastúrs. It seems to have been of nearly the same extent as the present province, and to have differed only in including Gorakhpur, Basti, and Azimgarh, and in excluding Tánda, Aldemau, and Mánikpur, or the territory to the east and south of Faizábád, Sultánpur, and Pratábgarh.

The wars and transactions in which Shuja'-ud-daulah was engaged, both with and against the East India Company, led to the addition of Karra, Allahábád, Fattiligarh, Campore, Etáwah, Mainpúri, Farukhábád, and Rohilkhand, to the Oudh dominions, and thus they remained until the treaty of 1801 with S'adat Ali, by which the province was reduced to its present dimensions. Since then, only a few slight alterations of frontier have taken place. Khairigarh, Kanchanpur, and what is now the Naipal Tarai, were ceded in 1816, in liquidation of Ghází-ud-dín Haidár's loan of a million sterling towards the expenses of the Naipal war; and at the same time the pargana of Nawabganj was added to the Gonda district, in exchange for that of Hándia, or Kawai, which was transferred from Pratabgarh to Allahabad. The Tarai to the north of Bahraich, including a large quantity of valuable forest and grazing ground, was made over to the Naipal Darbar in 1860, in recognition of their services during the Mutiny, and in 1874 some further cessions, on a much smaller scale, but without any apparent reason, were made in favour of the same Government. Except then for about thirty years during which it included Shuja'-uddaulah's acquisitions, the limits of the province have not differed very materially from the time of Akbar to the present day.

By the treaty of 1801, S'adat Ali found himself left, after three years of trouble and humilation, with half the domi-nions he had inherited, but with no subsidy to pay, an efficient military force, and comparatively little veratious interference to contend against. For the remaining twelve years of his life, he devoted himself to the task of administration. His chief difficulties were due to the turbulence of the large landholders, whether Rájpút chiefs or successful revenue farmers, of which latter class a plentiful crop had, as before mentioned, sprung up in the time of Asif-ud-daulah. He was perfectly aware, as Almas Alı had been' before him, that the province could only be governed as an organic whole by keeping a strong hand on the large Taluqdars, and he was fully determined to crush all lawranguars, and no was four determined to trush an anless recusants. For this purpose it was necessary to use
freely the troops at his disposal. This process was highly
distasteful to the English officers in command, who called it "collecting taxes at the point of the bayonet," a phrase which sounds dreadful enough, but really only describes what was, under the circumstances, an inevitable operation. Sympathising, like most Englishmen of their class, with a turbulent landed aristocracy as aguinst a central government, they threw as many obstacles as possible in the way of carrying out the work of suppression, and S'adat Ali complained bitterly that after having given away half his Lingdom for the use of the Company's troops, he was subjected to humiliating remonstrances from commanding officers whenever he asked for their assistance in putting down refractory and recusant landholders However, in spite of all obstacles, the work was thoroughly done for the time, and the authority of the Government maintained.

rity of the Covernment mannamed.

Sadat Ali resumed many of the rent-free grants which had been capriciously lavushed by Ansf.ud.daulah, and a good deal of taluqdaff niskar. "He upheld," writee Clouds McAndrew, "the Government right to the whole assessment, which was mouzakeur (a.e. mayored village by village, and not in the lumply, making an allowance for each measast

called dehí nánkár. Besides the nánkár, which was origincalled dehi nankar. Besides the nankar, which was originally allowed to the malguzar (revenue payer) only, the proprietors enjoyed their sir land," up to 10 per cent. of the cultivated area, at favourable rates. He abandoned the vicious ijarah system of getting in the revenue through irresponsible contractors, and adopted the amani or trust mode of management, i.e. instead of letting out a district in farm for a fixed sum to the highest bidder, leaving the latter to make what he could out of it, he required his collections to account four their collections without hinding them lectors to account for their collections, without binding them to pay any fixed amount. That the latter system, if genuinely carried out, is far the best for the people, needs little demonstration. But to prevent embezzlement, strict supervision stration. But to prevent embezzlement, strict supervision of the collectors was necessary. And that supervision S'hdat Ali bestowed. Constant reports of the proceedings of every chakladár (collector of a chakla or circle) were submitted by the news-writers appointed for the purpose, and he himself constantly tested the correctness of these reports by personal local inspection. "The result of his administration," again to quote Colonel McAndrew, "was that the people became contented and prosperous, much waste land was broken up, and a very general conversion of rents in kind into rents in money took place in the more populous parts. Of the province. At his death S'édet Ali left behind him of the province. . . . At his death S'adat Ali left behind him

the name of the friend of the ryot, and a full treasury."

He has been accused of undue parsimouy, a charge which any successor of the lavish Asaf-ud-daulah could hardly escape. S'ádat Ali was certainly not a man to throw away money, or even to spend it without getting the money's worth, But on fitting occasions he could be liberal enough, as witness the long list of public buildings which he erected, and especially the Dilárám, Dilkhúshá, Músa Bágh, Haiyát Baksh and Núr Baksh Kothis, the Khás Bázár, and the Dargáh of Hazrat Abbás Ali.

Colonel Sleeman, who travelled through Oudh when it was still comparatively a terre vierge, and who probably knew more of the province as it was under native rule than any other Englishman, writes of S'ádat Ali that he was "a man of great general ability, had mixed much in the society of

British officers in various parts of British India, had been well trained in bahits of business, understood thoroughly the character, institutions, and requirements of his people, and above all, was a sound judge of the relative ments and capacities of the men from whom he had to select his officers, and a vigilant supervisor of their actions, . . . Men who served him ably and honestly always felt confident in his protection and support. He had a thorough knowledge of the rights and duties of his subjects and officers, and a strong will to secure the one and enforce the other. To do this, he knew that he must with a streng hand keep down the large landed aristocracy, who were then, as they are now (1850), very prone to grasp at the possessions of their weaker neighbours, either by force, or in collusion with local autho-In attempting this with the aid of British troops, some acts of oppression were, no doubt, committed; and as the sympathies of the British officers were more with the landed aristocracy, while his were more with the humbler classes of landholders and cultivators, who required to be protected from them, frequent misunderstandings aroseacts of just severity were made to appear to be acts of wanton oppression, and such as were really oppressive were exaggerated into unboard-of atrocities" He would not tolerate peculation, and did not besitate to make a detected peculator disgorge, but "he never confiscated the estates of any good and faithful servants who left lawful heirs to their property" An honest and able officer might count confidently on being maintained in his position, and had no need to make haste to be rich, eq. Hakim Mehndi at Muhamdi, and Bálki Dás and bis son Rai Amr Singh at Buhraich, were continued in office for many years consecutively, and made their respective districts like gardens.

Such was the Nawab S'adat Ali, whom Lord Well-sley

had wished to set aside as meapable.

He died in 1914, leaving a treature which is variously stated at three, and at fourteen, millions sterling. The

million and a half sterling, and it is not impossible that he may have put by half that sum annually. The rent (revenue) of good land in his time according to Dr. Butter's Report on the southern districts of Oudh, written in 1837, was from one to one and a half rupees per (standard) bigha. "Now," he adds, "the assessment is two, three, or four rupees per bigha, and can seldom be fully levied without ruin both to ryot and zamindár." Though the revenue demand was so much lower under S'ádat Ali's régime than under that of his successors, it is probable that he actually received considerably more than they did, the costs of collection and the amount intercepted by oficials being much smaller than

they became later.

Shams-ud-daulah, eldest son of S'adat Ali, had died before him, and his children were therefore excluded from inheritance under the rule of Muhammadan law known as Mahjub-ul-irs, in favour of the second son, Ghazí-ud-díu Haidar. The latter had never been on good terms with his father, and had been left much in the hands of servants. One of these, Agha Mír, otherwise known as Mulitamad-uddaulah, who had been his khánsámán, was made minister, in supersession of Hakím Mehndi, who had been S'ádat Ali's right-hand man for several years before the latter's death. The cause of his fall was that he strongly objected to the iuterference of Colonel Baillie, the then Resident, and induced the Nawab to remonstrate against it to the Governor-General. Ghází-ud-dín, however, became frightened at the notion of an open rupture with the Resident, and backed out of his remonstrance, pleading that he had been misled and misinformed by his minister, who was deprived of all his offices and much of his property, and was for a time imprisoned. On his release he went into British territory, and in 1824 was living in magnificent style at Fattihgarh. This, however, was not his final departure from the stage of Oudh politics, and he will be heard of again in the following reign. Aglm Mír plundered his master in almost every possible way, and is said to have appropriated half a million sterling which was entrusted to him for expenditure on public works.

It was not long before the Calcutta Government, then presided over by Lord Moira-who afterwards became Lord Hastings-began to tap the treasure which had been left by S'ádat Ali In 1814 a million was borrowed for the Naipal war, at six per cept , the Resident being instructed to "make it appear as a voluntary offer on the part of the Nawab" A second million was borrowed in the following year, but this debt was liquidated in 1816 by the cession of Khairigarh and the strip of Tarai country under the Naipal hills, ex-tending from the Koriala on the west, to the border of Gorakhpur on the east Mr Eastwick represents this cession as a very doubtful benefit, and says that the Tarai became a stronghold for all the rebels and banditis of eastern Ondh. But it must, in fact, have very considerably strengthened the Oudh Government, and led to the suppression of the Banjáras and other hill tribes who had long been a thorn in the north side of the province. In addition to his money loan, it should be added, Ghází-ud-dín had furnished a fully equipped regiment of cavalry at his own expense, and supplied a large number of elephants which did very good service, and many of which he never saw again. These loans were not negotiated without a good deal of discreditable intrigue, in which the Residency Munshi scems to have duped both the Resident and the Nawab, and all further interference in the affairs of the latter was peremptorily forbidden by the Governor-General The prohibition, however, had been uttered before, and was destined to be uttered again.

In 1819 it struck Lord Hastings that Ghárlud-dín, if created a king, would be a useful counterpose to the Emperor of Delhi. He secondingly induced has to coin money in his own name, and to assume the title of Sháh. This was perhaps the most sterilo stock of the sterilo secence of diplomacy that was ever conceived or executed. The title never took much root out of Lucknow, and though Ghárlud-dín and his four successors were all triciar kings, their rule is far more commonly spoken of by the country folk as the Nawbh' than as the "Sháhl" as the Nawbh' than set he "Sháhl" as the Nawbh' than the "Sháhl" as the Nawbh' than set he "Sháhl" as the Nawbh' than set he "Sháhl" as the Nawbh' than the Nawbh' tha

We get an interesting glimpse of Oudh as it was in 1824

from Bishop Heber's journal. He entered the province from Cawnpore in October of that year, and went straight to Lucknow. He found the peasantry universally armed, and at Newalganj a body of them were besieging some of the King's troops who were escorting Rs. 30,000 of treasure to Lucknow. Warlike though they were, however, he found a crowd which was collected round a dying elephant of the King's, "civil and communicative," and saw, to his surprise, that the whole country between Cawnpore and Lucknow, with the exception of occasional barren wastes, was under cultiva-tion. He had heard much before entering Oudh of the hatred of the English name which prevailed in Lucknow, but of this he himself, though he went about the city almost wholly unattended, could see nothing. He was politely entertained by the King, who asked him to breakfast, and whom he describes as a tall, long-backed man, who "had evidently been very handsome," and whose features were still good and his countenance pleasing. His manners were gentlemanly and elegant. He was well versed in Oriental philosophy and philology, had a taste for mechanics, and had, when younger, been fond of hunting. He was popular with the few who had access to him, and was never accused of any personal violence or oppression, but was averse to public business, and quite in the hands of his minister, the exkhúnsámún, Agha Mír. The latter is described as "a dark, harsh-looking, hawk-nosed man, with an expression of mouth which seems to imply habitual self-command struggling with a naturally rough temper . . . and exceedingly unpopular."

The Bishop, on leaving Lucknow, marched to Shahjahán-pur through what is now the Hardúi district. On the whole, he thought the anarchy and distress of Oudh over-rated. He saw no mud forts or stockades, and the people whom he met with north of Lucknow were not so universally armed as those to the south. Between Lucknow and Sándi he found the country "as populous and well-cultivated as most of the Company's territories." Things, he was told, had improved somewhat since the aid of our troops was withheld from the King's officers. This, of course, was just the kind of thing

he was likely to hear in Lucknow. He, however, "could not help observing that there really is a greater appearance of case, security, and neatness among the middling and lower classes of the Company's subjects than among those of the King of Ondh.

As a fact, there was probably a good deal more wealth in Outh at that time than in our own surrounding districts traxton being lighter, and being expended inside the province. But the reputation of possessing it was too dangerous to admit of much exhibition of its outward and visible suras such as handsome clothes or elaborate houses.

The amini system of revenue collection, which S'adat Ali had worked as successfully, soon fell anto disuse under his son. It required close supervision, which Ghazi ud din Haidar had neither the will nor the power to bestow. The tidrah, or farming system, soon revived, with all its attendant abuses The Taluquárs again began to assert themselves, and to make head against the local officials, who, being unable to exact the full revenue demand from the large landholders, tried to make up the deficiency by piling it on the small zamindirs and copareners. These latter soon fell into arrears under the increased pressure put upon them, and were then in many cases handed over to the nearest Taluqdar who would undertake to pay the sum demanded, but who had generally no intention of paying it for more than a year or two, and was willing to submit to a temporary loss on the chance of ultimately evading or obtaining a reduction of the demand. The zamindars in such cases generally retained their sir, and sometimes their dehi minkir as will; but there was a constant struggle, conducted in a spirit of watchful realousy, going on between them and the Taluquist, and their lest chance of Leeping their heads above water lay in being always ready to resist his encroschments. If, again, an open rupture took place between a Taluquar and a Nazim or a Challidar, and the latter, with the aid of the King's troops drove out the former, the ejected party considered himself in a state of war with the Government, took to the jungles, and thought nothing of laying waste his own estate and harrying any tenants who presumed to cultivate it, until

by this exhaustive process he compelled the Názim to restore him to possession. The state of things, in short, set in which lasted, with perhaps one short interval, for the forty-two years between the death of S'ádat Ali in 1814 and the deposition of Wájid Ali in 1856, and which was in full vigour and development when we annexed the province in the latter year. The short interval above alluded to was during the reign of Muhammad Ali Sháh, 1837–1842.

The cause of all this miserable imbroglio was the utter

absence of anything worthy the name of revenue administra-tion, and of any sufficient control over the turbulent landholding classes, and more especially over the large Taluqdárs. The copareenary bodies were lawless enough, but they seldom openly resisted the Government officers, except when rallied for the purpose by a Taluqdár in support of his own interest. This cause, indeed, was in full working for some eighty vears, from the time of Asaf-ud-daulah onwards, with the exception already mentioned, during the reign of Muhammad Ali Sháh, and, much more markedly, during the twelve years from 1802 to 1814, when the indefatigable industry, elear perception, and great business powers of S'ádat Ali turned the tide for a time. It is not strange that both turned the tide for a time. It is not strange that both before and after that period the revenue had a constant tendency to fall off. It was only the large treasure left by S'ádat Ali which enabled his successors to carry on so long as they did. The difficulties which had been made about granting the aid of the Company's troops during his reign, to suppress local disorders and to enforce payment of revenue, much increased, and were, indeed, far more reasonable, in the time of Ghází-ud-dín Haidar. The Resident insisted, and the King and Minister admitted, that he had a right to be satisfied of the justice of the claim which he was asked to enforce by military aid. But the Resident was not in a position to hear both sides But the Resident was not in a position to near noth sides fairly, he being at Lucknow, and the defaulter, perhaps, in a jungle fort; and commanding officers had neither opportunity nor, in most cases, the requisite knowledge to enable them to arrive at any sound opinion. As the morale, too, of the Oudh Government deteriorated, it found such scrutiny into

the merits of its revenue demands grow more and more distasteful, and the Minister, Agha Mir, began to increase the King's troops to enable hunself to dispense with the aid of the Company's. Towards the end of this reign, the treaty of 1801 to the contrary notwithstanding, the Outh force amounted to over sixty thousand men, of whom twenty thousand were regulars, and the rest undisciplined Najibs, who "found themselves" in their equipments. To do the British Government justice, it should be said that at this time they were really willing and antious to support the King's authority so far as they justifiably could. In November 1824 Lord Amherst wrote to the Resident that our troops were to be "actively and energetically employed in the Oudh territory in cases of real internal commotion and disturbance" In July 1825 the Resident, Mr Ricketts, was censured for not sufficiently carrying out these orders, and informed that the Governor-General was "succeedy disposed to maintain the rights of the King of Oudh to the fallest extent," as guaranteed by the treaty of 1801. It was added, however, that the British Government was "clearly entitled, as well as morally obliged, to satisfy riself, by whatever as wen as moraty oninged, to starty reset, by winatever means it my deem necessary, that the aid of its troops is required in support of right and justice, and not to efficient injustice and oppression." This rider practically cancelled the preceding declaration in something like four cases out of five in which the aid in question was likely to be demanded. For, however mischievous might be the turbulence of Talug-dárs, the Oudh Government was pretty sure to have contrived by its mismanagement to put itself as much in the wrong as its rebellious subjects.

Glisti-ud-dia liadar ded on the 20th of October 1827. He had lent to the Company three millions and a half out of his father's treasure. It was during his reign that the system was begun of making the interest on these least payable in perpetuity to the King's somisers, who were guaranteed the protection of the British Government. It led to great abuse, and created a chass of persioners who were constantly arraying themselves against the King's authority under cover of that of the Resident. The character of the contract of the character of the contract of the contract

the King may be sufficiently gathered from this brief account of his reign. He was not an ill-disposed man, and, at the time of his accession, was inclined to spend his father's accumulations freely on public works. But his want of business habits prevented the money from heing properly applied, and he does not seem to have been judiciously treated by the then Resident, Colonel Baillie. He soon sank into a life of voluptuous indulgence, and never emerged from it. He had, indeed, little motive to exertion. He had no lack of money; his position on the throne was secured by the presence of the Company's troops; and he was totally without the strenuous force of character and love of hard work for its own sake which were so conspicuous in his father. Perhaps the hest that can be said of him is that he filled the post of roi fainéant not ungracefully, and was never personally guilty of any barbarity or outrage. But

"'Tis not mildness of the man that rules Makes the mild regimen,"

and so the people of Oudh found to their cost during the reign of Ghází-ud-dín Haidar.

Nasír-ud-dín Haidar, son of Ghází-ud-dín, and seventh Nawab, differed from his father mainly in being considerably more debauched and disreputable. The father had heen an outwardly decent hedonist and voluptuary, but the son was under no restraints of any sort or kind, and it is probable that his character was not unfaithfully depicted in that highly coloured sketch, the "Private Life of an Eastern King." "Any one," we are told, "was his friend who would drink with him," and "his whole reign was one continued satire upon the subsidiary and protected system." He inherited from his father the valuable services of that accomplished swindler Agha Mir, and almost the only mark of good sense which he evinced during his reign was to discharge him, and attempt to make him disgorge his ill-gotten gains. The ex-minister, however, contrived to evade this drastic process under cover of British protection, and, taking up his abode at Campore, started a printing press for the dissemination of attacks on the government which he had so long maladministered. His son was an intimate of the Nana Sahib,

administered. His son was an intimate of the rang canny, and took an active part under him in the Mutiny.

Agha Mír was succeeded by one Farl Ali, who shortly gave place to Mebnd Ali Khān, better known as Hakim Mehndi, who had, as already mentioned, been hving at Fatths garb ance his banishment by Ghakrind-din. He had great among the substitution of the substituti for his time and surroundings, indifferent houst. His character, however, is behaved to have been stained by one racter, nowerer, is hearest to have been same by occupiences erme. In 1817 he offered Ghází-ud-din to pay a lákh of rupees more than Ras Amr Singh, manager of Babrasch, bad paul for that district in the preceding year. His offer was accepted, and the contract made over to him. He and his brother went down to Bahraich, where they were met by the Rai. They solemnly sworo that they would do him no injury, but after putting him off his guard by comhim no injury, but after putting him off his guard by com-pinnents on his skilful management, and getting all his papers from him, they had him strategled at night, in his tent, by a couple of Mughal troopers. His property, amounting, it is saud, to fifteen or twenty likhs of rupces, was seized by the Hakim, who reported at Lucknow that his victus had possened himself to escape readition of accounts. But it so happened that one of the murderers, whose name was Bible Beg, had, in the struggle, thrust one of his fingers into Amy Singh's mouth, who had bitten it off in his determinent. angers into anic single amount, who that other it on in an dying agony. And there it was found by the deal maist relatives when they came to perform the funeral coremoies, one of which consists of putting a spage of inte, or hely basal, into the mouth of the corpse. Then the whole story came out, and Agha Mir, who was at that time the likelie's rival in the Kipp's favour, was earer to have him tried for the crune. But

> "oft 'tis seen The wacked prize itself bays out the law."

and judiciously-applied bribery having allenced all accusers, Bahraich was for the next three years rack-ranted by the successful erumnal. Such is the story as told by Sleeman. The episode of the butten-off finger sounds spectylah, and

it is just coneeivable that the whole story may have been fabricated by, or at the instigation of, A'gha M'r. But the probability seems in favour of its being substantially true, and the writer has been assured of its correctuess by trustworthy residents of Bahraich. The scene of the nurder, a little north-west of the town on the Náupára road, is still pointed out. The house, in the centre of the town, where Amr Singh lived, is now a dispensary.

town, where Amr Singh lived, is now a dispensary.

Whatever the real facts of the case may have heen, Hakim Mehudi came hack from exile about 1831, in April of which same year Lord Bentinck paid a somewhat notable visit to Luckuow. The Resident had reported in 1828 that "the country had reached so incurable a state of decline that nothing but the assumption of the administration could preserve it from utter ruin." Lord Bentinck now warned the King at a personal interview, and also hy a written communication, that if his administration were not reformed from within, the task would have to be undertaken hy English officers. The notion of assuming the administration of Oudh was, and had for some years been, familiar to the Government of India. In July 1831 Lord Beutinck reported to the Court of Directors that:—

"Unless a decided reform in the administration should take place, there would be no remedy left except in the direct assumption of the management of the Oudh territories by the British Government. . . . Acting in the character of guardian and trustee, we ought to frame an administration entirely uative. . . . The only European part of it should be the functionary by whom it should be superintended, and it should only be retained until a complete reform might be brought about, and a guarantee for its continuance obtained, either in the improved character of the reigning prince, or if (he be) incorrigible, in the substitution of his immediate heir, or, in default of such substitute by nonage or incapacity, by the nomination of one of the family as regent, the whole of the revenue being paid into the Oudh treasury."

The Court of Directors, in reply, authorised him to take over the management at once, unless a marked improvement had taken place during the interval. But their final despatch was not dated until the 16th of July 1834, and Lord Bentunck considered the reforms effected by Hakim Methad, of whom he had a very high opmon, sufficient ground for granting the Oudh administration a feesh lease of life. The new minister land about him rigorously in his attack

on the "tortuous, ungodly jungle" of misrule and corrup-tion, which, centreing in Luckhow, overspread the province like a network. He reduced expenses, and especially the salaries and pensions of undeserving favourites. He sys-tematised the revenue collections by introducing the practice of exchanging written agreements concerning the amount to be paid by each malguzar. Civil courts and police arrange-ments were to some extent reorganised. It has been asserted that he was desirous of seeing the province taken under British management. It is possible that he may have dropped a hint to that effect to some credulous English visitor. But that he could really have had any such wish is visitor. But that no count really have has any such what wholly incredible. He was emphatically a strong man, with a belief in himself, and in his own power of saving the country from misgovernment. Why should he have wished to abdicate a power which, while it lasted, was almost absorbed to a power which, while it lasted, was almost absorbed to a power which, while it lasted, was almost absorbed to a power which, while it lasted, was almost absorbed to a power which, while it lasted, was almost absorbed to a power which, while it lasted, was almost absorbed to a power which while it lasted was a limited to a power which while it lasted was a limited to a power which while it lasted to a power while the lasted to a power while the lasted to a power which while it lasted to a power while the lasted to a power while the lasted to a power while it laste lute? His pay as minister was Rs 300,000, equal in itself to that of the Governor-General of India, while his perquisites, which included a deduction of one-fourth from all official salaries, were supposed to amount to Rs. 1,700,000 more—giving him a total annual income of £200,000 sterling. What could be have expected to receive in the way of pension from the British Government after Outh had been annexed which could have in any way compensated him for the loss of such a position?

He worked nobly, and accomplished much during the short, period, less than four years, that he held office. But he was not free from the vice of arrogance, and his want of courtey to the men with whom he had to deal, and the hardness with which he carried out retrenchments which often presidhardly on individuals, made him excessively unpopular, and contributed to his downfall. A reforming minister in Outh necessarily had the whole army of corrupt edicitis and courtiers to contend against, and in this instance the King himself as well, and the natural difficulties of his situation were intensified by his lack of suavity. From Lord Bentinek he could obtain no active support, and "benevolent neutrality" was not enough to maintain him in his position. After a brief term of office, he was displaced, on some absurd pretext of want of respect for the Queen-mother, and super-seded by one Roshan-ud-daulah, who is represented by some writers as an abandoned quack, a mere average specimen of the large class of "fools aspiring to be knaves" who surrounded Nasír-ud-dín; but by Mr. Shore, the author of a now somewhat rare work, "Notes on Indian Affairs," who was wont to understand his subject, is spoken of as "a welldisposed man, who is fully aware of the dangers of the path now followed, and who would gladly change its course and introduce a reform; but he wants nerve to face the storm which would be raised by those who profit by the present extravagance; so he is content to let things go on in their present train." However blameless may have been his tendencies, it is at least certain that under him such improvements as Hakim Mehndi had effected were speedily undone, and so far as the prosperity of the country depended on the efficiency of the central power, it markedly deteriorated from this time.

Of the state of the province during the latter part of Nasir-ud-din's reign, the most detailed account which we possess is that given by Dr. Butter in his "Outlines of the Topography and Statisties of the Southern Districts of Oudh," written in 1837. As this work is now somewhat rare, a few excerpts from it may be given here.

a few excerpts from it may be given here.

Dr. Butter attributes "the present miserably depressed state of agriculture" partly to the prevalent insecurity of life and property, and partly from the diminution of rainfall resulting from "the extensive changes of the season and winds which have been remarked within the last twenty-two years, all over the Indian peninsula and seas," and the steady denudation of the north-western forest tracts. In the time of S'ádat Ali, the execution of three and five years' leases and counterparts for the payment of revenue was

general, and the conditions agreed upon were strictly observed. But since his death, no lease for more than one year had been granted, and the demand was usually fifty per cent. higher Consequently, cultivators were so impoverished as to be dependent on makingans even for their seed, and were hardly ever out of debt. From the rapacity of the chakladars, the only means of escape was the command either of influence at court, the possessors of which were known as zor-welas, or of a body of fighting men strong enough to resist their encroachments Some of the large landholders lent hundreds of armed retainers for this purpose. The smaller zamindaris in Faizabad and the north of Sultanpur were being rapidly absorbed by Darshan Singh of Mahdona and Harpal Singh, the Gargbanes Thabur of Khapradih. The ryots of these parts, according to Dr Butter, were longing for the occupation of Oudh by the Company. This, however, is an assertion of which anything like proof is so difficult, and which has been so emphatically denied by trustworthy writers, that it could be wished that Dr. Butter had more distinctly stated the grounds on which he made it. That the sufferers from special acts of oppression may have desired annexation is probable enough, but that there was anything like a general wish for it on the part of a majority, or even a considerable minority of the people, ie, to say the least of it, extremely doubtful.

The numbers and quality of agreeditural cuttle had markedly diminished and deteriorated during the previous twenty-are years. The area of the diski jungles had been much narrowed by the increased demand for firewood during the same period, and that of the phile, or marks, by frequent drought. The price of oxen varied from his to thirty rupees the pair, but they had become so few that it was usual to see men and women working at the willrope instead of bullocks. Except along the Sai river, and in jurits of Fairabid, where justice was still abundant, the country cittle were extrainly small, thun, and sclfy, and the price of ghi, which was formerly twenty size, had risen to one or and a half for the rapee.

Wells were less frequently constructed than of old, and for

forty years hardly a pakka tank had been built, except the Súraj Kund made at Darshannagar by Darshan Singh, and the Bhárat Kund at Bhadarsa by Bakhtáwar Singh. The existing tanks held less water, and dried in October instead of in December, so that their utility for irrigation was much reduced. Except in Baiswára, Salon, and the immediate vicinity of Faizábád, arborienlture was much decaying; few new groves were planted, and many old ones eut down.

The chief articles of manufacture were salt, soda, saltpetre, gunpowder, arms, cotton cloths, dye-stnffs, blankets,
sugar, paper, and glass. The only exports of any importance
seem to have been salt and saltpetre. Hardly any grain
appears to have left the province in ordinary times, and
exportation was legally prohibited whenever the price of
wheat rose as high as twenty sirs for the rupee. The
chief imports were cotton, silk, copper, brass, arms, and
horses.

Apart from Lucknow, the province, or as it would, since 1819, be more strictly correct to term it, the kingdom of Oudh, was divided into eleven chaklas, viz. Sultánpur, Aldemau, Pratábgarh, Paehhimráth, Baiswára, Salon, Ahládganj, Gonda-Bahraich, Khairábád, Sándí, and Rusálábád. In theory, each chakla should have been in charge of a separate chakladár, but it was not uncommon to put two or more chaklas under one officer, who was commonly called the Názim. Thus Darshan Singh for many years held the four first-mentioned districts under his sole anthority.

The post of ehakladár shared the tendeney of all Indian office to become hereditary, though it carried with it no other income than the margin of difference between the sum which its holder contracted to pay into the treasnry and the amount which he could succeed in collecting. Chakladárs spent eight months of the year in tents, and wherever their camp was pitched, their followers, military, eivil, and miscellaneous, stripped the roofs of the neighbouring villages wherewith to make for themselves a temporary shelter. Each pargana, or subdivisiou of a chakla, of which, including Incknow, there were seventy in all, was in charge of a Faujdár on twenty-five, and a Díwan on fifteen, rupees a month, salaries which,

as may be imagued, were supplemented by perquisites, authorised and otherwise. The assessment of the year was divided into nine kuts, or instalments, one of which fell due on the appearance of each new moon between Kuár (September) and Jeth (June). The penalties for default were sale of the defaulter's property, imprisonment in irons of himself and his family, and, in the last resort, torture. Powerful zamindárs, however, were generally ready to fight, and altriushes and cannonading were of frequent occurrence. "During the regin of S'adat Ali a single cannonalot could not be fired by a chakhadár without being followed by immediate inquiry from Inckow as to its cause; now, a chakhadár may continue firing for a month without question."

The army, excepting Colonel Roberts' brigade, was "an ill-paid, undisciplined rabble," divided into some forty-fire rapidian, or regiments, each of which, when complete, was about twelve bundred strong. The pay of the private soldier was three rupees a month, which was always kept much in arrears, and he had to find himself in arms and ammunition. His only uniform was a turban; as regards the rest of his dress, he was allowed to consult his own fancy. First cannonments paraded, drill, leave of absence—except such as individuals granted themselves—and pensions were all slick unknown. In Shig's—di-daulis's time, the juy of the sepoy was seven rupees, and he was provided with mittorm and a freioct. Some degree of discipline, two, was maintained, and grants of land were assigned to those who were wounded or otherwise disabled.

S'édat Ah enforced the responsibility of samindars for all the same has death, no court of justice has been held by the Nawish, and the chaladars attend to nothing but fanace. Nothing is said about a murder or a robberty; and consequently crime of all kinds has become much more frequent, especially within the hast sasteen years." (Bang robbertes and discottes were very common, and travellers with on their way armed to the teeth, "but such has always leven the custom of Outh." The only oases in this moral desert were

to be found where power and good intentions were united in the person of some influential landholder, such as Shankar Singh, Rája of Tiloí in Salon.

The administrative state of the country in 1837 is summed up by Dr. Butter in the following scathing terms:—

"A sovereign regardless of his kingdom, except in so far as it supplies him with the means of personal indulgence; a minister (Roshan-ud-daulah) incapable, or unwilling, to stay the ruin of the country; local governors,—or, more properly speaking, farmers of the revenue, invested with virtually despotic power,—left, almost uncheeked, to gratify their rapacity, and private enmities; a local army ill-paid, and therefore licentious, undisciplined, and accustomed to defeat; an almost absolute denial of justice in all matters, civil or criminal; and an overwhelming British force distributed through the provinces to maintain the faith of an ill-judged treaty, and to preserve—Peace."

Considering, however, the extent to which the negation of the principle of Government in its judicial and protective aspects was creeted into a system, and the almost unbounded scope which was allowed to eupidity, revenge, malice, and almost every evil passion, by the absence of legal restraints, Dr. Butter thought that "the limited amount of erime attributable to private and individual motive that occurs in this country must be considered as highly creditable to the natural humanity, love of justice, and forbearance of its inhabitants. That rapine, burnings, and murder accompany the circuits of its revenue officers, and the predatory expeditions of the chieftains who rule the eastern and wilder districts, is the misfortune, not the fault, of the people, and the inevitable result of that maladministration which permits the accumulation of irresponsible power in the hands of unworthy persons, who, as private individuals, might prove harmless members of the community, but in whose unprepared and undisciplined minds the possession of unlimited power has produced its well-known and barbarizing effects."

The above is certainly not a flattering account of the state of the administration during the later years of Nasír-ud-dín. Auyone who wishes to see the opposite side of the

picture, as painted by an ardent opponent of annexation and a firm disbeliever in the excellence of English rule as it then existed, will do well to consult a paper, siready referred to, by Mr Shore, on the "Present State and Prespects of Oudh," written in May 1835, about two years before the King's death. It was then generally believed that the province would be annexed within three or four years at most, and the measure was earnestly deprecated by Mr. Shore on the ground that the people were at least as well off, and much more contented, than was the case in our own territors. Some of his assertions may be open to question, and his criticisms on English methods of administration certainly do not err on the side of lemency It is difficult, after a perusal of Dr Butter's report, to concur in the opinion perusal of Dr Butters report, to confur in the opinion that "on the whole, the people of Oudh are not worse governed than our own subjects," or that its "eivil and eriminal administration is certainly not worse than ours," though the latter, whatever it may be now, was certainly far enough from perfection in 1835. It must be remem-bered, however, that Mr Shore's remarks apply principally to western Oudh, while Dr Butter was concerned only with the south and east. And, taking the country as a whole, the former was probably correct in his contentions that the mass of the population were "for more lightly taxed than those of the British dominions," and that they were "governed by their hereditary rulers, and benefited by the expenditure in the country of the revenue that is raised, instead of being subject to a few foreigners, by whom as much wealth as possible is carried out of the country."

"In every part," he continues, "are to be found respectable landholders and heads of villager, of various elegence of rank and wealth, forming the chain between the ligher and lower classes, instead of, as in our provinces, the whole being reduced to the equality of a nation of papers. They are not cursed with confiscation have or with special commissions, nor with salt, opium, or other monopolers; they have not one system for realizing the demands of povernment, and another for individuals; . the people are not excluded from every office which a man of integrity could

accept; and, without exception, there is not a single class which does not possess more wealth and property than the corresponding class in our own provinces. Such, and I fear not any inquiry properly conducted, is a true picture of the state of Oudh at this moment; and yet we are told that the people are sighing for the blessings of the British-Indian rule! When the voice of the people shall be really heard,—not that of courtiers and men in power, but of the landholders and peasantry,—they will be found to unite in one cry of 'Of all miscries keep us from that.' So far from their entertaining any such feeling, I can inform my readers, that in one part of the Doáb, not many months ago, the people, farmers and peasantry, held quite a rejoicing on hearing a report that that part of the country was to be transferred to the King of Oudh."

Pondering which conflicting opinions of two able and disinterested observers, the candid reader will probably arrive at the judicious, if not very startlingly original conclusion, that there was a good deal to be said on both sides of the

question.

Meanwhile the career of Nasír-ud-dín was drawing towards its close. Colonel Low, who was Resident at the time, advocated setting up another member of the royal family in his place; but nothing was done. The belief was general in Oudh that the country would be taken over by the Company on the death of the King, and he himself is supposed to have had no dislike to the idea of being the last of his line. Such a course would have been highly acceptable to the horde of parasites who professed to govern the country, and who knew that in it lay their best chance of escaping a scrutiny into their malversations. Moral certainty, or even strong suspicion of peculation would, by a native government, be deemed amply sufficient ground for making them disgorge; while English officers would, as they were well aware, require legal proof, which it was almost always impossible to obtain.

Such was the state of things when, on the night of the 7th of July 1837, the sudden death of Nasír-ud-dín was reported to the Resident. The unsuccessful attempt on the

part of his adoptive mother the Pádsháh Begam, widow of Ghazí-ud-dín, to place his putative son Munna Ján on the throne, is too well known to be related here. The of the throne, is not went above to been strikingly told by Colonel Sleeman A scene more wild, more utterly buarre, than the Farhat Baksh palace presented from midmight till morning, can hardly be imagined. The dead body of the late King lay in one chamber, his uncle and successor, the respectable but decrepit Nasir-ud-daulah, sat cowering in fear of his life in another, while a furious mob of matchlockmen and dancing girls filled the Lat Barahdarri, or great hall of state, with mad acclamations in honour of Munna Jin and the Padshih Begam Their triumph, however, only lasted for a few hours of frantic excitement. The guns soon opened fire, the palace was stormed by a handful of the Company's sepoys, and the insurgents field, leaving some forty or more of their number dead on the ground Nasir-uddaulah, whose elevation to the throne in the event of the late King's death had been previously determined on, was proclaimed under the title of Muhammad Ali Shih, and in a faw hours more, all was quiet It is generally behaved that Nasir-ud-din died of poison.

At it is also generally believed that Masir-auddin dued or porson. And it is also generally believed that Munna Ján was really his son, and was only repudated by hun to annoy the Padabhi Begam, with whom he had for some years been on had terms, and who was passionately foul of the bid. The boy himself seems to have been quite as vicious and unmanageable as a son of Nasir-auddin might have been expected to be, and his natural tendencies had been seedulously tostered by the Begam. The paur were speedly deported to Cawnpore and thence to Chunăr, where they were kept in confinement, and perhaps no two prisoners of state ever deserved less compression. Colomel Low and his assistants, Captanna Pation and Statespear, behaved edmirably throughout. Their cooliness and address both saved their own lives and probably prevented a general massacre. So ended the reign of the most contemptible speemen of the Ould dynasty.

of the most contemptible specimen of the Oudh dynasty.

According to the principle of Minhammadan law stready mentioned under the name of Mahjub-ul-irs, Muhammad

Ali Shah was the rightful heir to the throne on the death of Nasír-ud-dín without legitimate descendants. For, Shams-uddaulah, as aforesaid, had died during the lifetime of his father S'adat Ali, whose eldest son he was, and hence his children were excluded from the succession. His own elevation, however, seems to have been wholly unexpected by Muhammad Ali Shah, and on the eventful night of Nasír-ud-dín's death he had signed a Persian declaration, drawn up for the occasion by Colonel Low, by which he agreed to consent to "any new treaty for the better government of the country that the British Government might think proper to propose to him." In accordance with this agreement, Lord Anekland obtained the new King's signature to the so-called treaty of the 18th of September 1837. This document eertainly deserves the commendation bestowed by General Sherman on Mark Twain's celebrated map of Paris-"it is but fair to say that it is in some respects a truly remarkable" treaty. It recited that the Company was bound by the treaty of 1801 to defend Oudh, and had done so; and that the King was bound to maintain only a limited number of troops, and had infringed the obligation; that the treaty of 1801 was carried out with difficulty and required modification; that restrictions on the strength of the Oudh force might be relaxe, on condition that part of it was put under British officers: that the sixth article of the aforesaid treaty required the establishment of an improved administration in the reserved territories of Oudh, but provided no remedy for neglect of the requirement, which neglect had been "entinued and notorious," and had "exposed the British Government to the repreach of imperfectly fulfilling its obligations to the Oudh people."

From these premises, it was deduced, as a "just and proper" consequence, that the King might maintain such force as he liked, subject to reduction when deemed excessive by the British Government; and that part of this force, not less than two regiments of cavalry, five regiments of infantry, and two companies of artillery, should be organized and disciplined by British officers, as part of the Oudh establishment, at an annual cost to the King of not more than sixteen

lákhs of rupees! The year being one of great scarcity, and the pay of the King's troops and establishments heavily in arrears, the organization of the new force was postponed for eighteen months, to the lat of March 1839. This force was to be employed whenever the King, with the concurrence of the Resident, deemed thecessary. But it was to be "clearly understood that such force is not to be employed in the ordmary collection of revenue".

Thus, after S'alat Ah had given up half his territory on the distinct treaty simulation that no forther subsidy or military payments should be required of him or his successors, and that the whole cost of defending Ondh against external stack or internal commotion should, in consideration of this cessors, be borne by the Company, Muhammad Ah Shids was now called upon to contribute £160,000 yearly for military expenses on the strength of an agreement signed by hift, when aroused in the middle of the inght and offered the crown, to accept a new treaty "for the better government of the country."

The treaty further provided that the King was to take measures, in concert with the Resident, for improving the police, reveaue, and judeial administration. In the event of his failing to attend to advice, "and it (which God forbid) gross and systematic anarchy, oppression, and miyrale should hereafter at any time prevail within the Gudh dominions, such as seriously to endanger the public tranquility, the British Government reserves to itself the right of appointing

occurred, for so long a period as it may doem necessary, the surplus receipts in such case, after defruying all charges, to be peal into the King's treasury, and a true and faithful account rendered to His Mijesty of the receipts and expenditure of the territories so avamed. In this event the Governor-General in Council would "endeavour to maintain as far as possible (with such improvements as they may admit of) the native institutions and forms of administration within the assumed territories, so as to facilitate the restoration.

tion of those territories to the Sovereign of Oudh, when the proper period for such restoration shall arrive."

This engagement was entered into with great reluctance by Muliammad Ali Sháh. But the Home Government, to their credit, altogether refused to sauction it, and directed restoration of the previous relations as they existed under the treaty of 1801. The abrogation of the treaty was never formally notified to the King, who was merely told that any expenses already incurred in organizing the subsidiary force would be borne by the Company, and no outlay required from him. Such was the history of this enrious "treaty," which, though never ratified, was constantly referred to in subsequent discussions, as if it had been of binding force. It consisted of two distinct parts, the first of which would have involved a manifest breach of faith, while the second erred only in the excessive consideration for the King's rights with which it approached the question of reform. The latter portion probably expressed the views of Colonel Low; the former may be ascribed to the Calcutta Council.

With the accession of Muhammad Ali Shah, commenced a period of something like administrative reform. The new King was an old man, who had long lived a retired life, but who, when young, had been well practised in the conduct of affairs by his father S'ádat Ali, and was now prudent, parsimonious, and generally decent and business-like. The court was no longer a paradise for fiddlers and buffoons. Roshanud-daulah was discharged from the post of Minister, and succeeded by Hakim Mehndi, who now, for the second time, eame back from exile to assume the reins of office. Had be still been the man he was only six or seven years before, much might have been effected in the way of reform, for he had now the influence of the King on his side instead of against him, as had been the case with Nasir-nd-din. But time had told heavily upon the old statesman, and both his brain and hand had forgotten much of their cunning, Death overtook him before he had time to do much towards improving the administration, and he was succeeded by Zakír-ud-daulah, who was also a man of ability. He, too, however, shortly died, and the post of Minister was bestowed

on Hakim Mehndi's nephew, Manowar-ud-daulah, an honest man and good sportsman, but an indifferent administrator. who remained in office for only two years, after which he retired, and went on pilgrimage to Makka. It was by him that the great tomb of Hakim Mehndi at Lucknow, to the east of Golagans, was erected He was succeeded by Sharfud-daulah, a nephew of Zakir-ud-daulah, a business-like and respectable man, who remained in office for the rest of the short and uneventful reign of Muhammad Ali Shab, which came to an end in May 1842. Its chief features were en attempt to revive the amani system of revenue management over a portion of territory paying 35 labbs of rupees, and the erection of the great Husenábád Imámbám, as a burnt-place for the King This institution was largely endowed out of the interest payable on investments in the Company's loans. Other works constructed during this reign were a magnificent tank near the Husenibid Imimbira, and a mosque, intended to rival the Jama Massid of Delhi, but which was never completed A curious Salkhanda, or seven-stoned tower, was also commenced, but never rose beyond the fourth story. Minhammad Ali Shah had found only £700,000 in the treasurv at his accession out of the accumulations of S'adat Ali. on which his two predecessors had drawn lavishly. He himself was careful and saving, and after expending some eighty låkhs in Company's paper and charitable funds, left £783,000 to his son and successor Amjad Ali Shih.

This succession was another illustration of the doctrine of Mahjáb-ultra, Muntáca-dalanha, son of Asphar Ali, the elier brother of Amjad Ali, being excluded, owing to his father's death during the lifetime of Muhammad Ali Shih. Shart-da-dalah, who was Minister at the time of the late King's death, resigned after two month's experience of Amjad Ali's capines, and was succeeded by a favonich numed Imilial Blosen, under the tith of Amja-ul-dalah This person was removed after five month; exhibition of his incapacity, and Manovar-nd-daulah, who had now returned from his pidgnings to Makla, both the office for seven months. The mighty tide of Lucknow intrigue then swept him away, and Anfiso-dadahah was recalled. Such

work as was done in his time was performed by his deputy, Syad-ud-daulah, "a low person who has rapidly risen from penury to power by the prostitution of his own sister." The choice of such a miserable dilettante as Indád Hosen was not due to the absence of a better candidate. Sharf-ud-daulah was ready and willing to take office, but the Kiug's personal dislike for him debarred him from employment.

Sir Henry Lawrence, writing in 1845, sums up the situation as follows:—

"The condition of Oudh is yearly becoming worse. The revenue is yearly lessening. There are not less than one hundred thousand soldiers in the service of the zamíndárs. The revenue is collected by half that number in the Kiug's pay. In more than half the districts of Oudh are strong forts . . . surrounded with dense jungle . . . Originally the effect of a weak or tyrannical government, such fortresses perpetuate anarchy. The amils and other public officers are men of no character, who obtain and retain their position by court bribery. Only the weak pay their revenue; those who have forts, or who, by combination, can withstand the amil, make their own revenue arrangements. Throughout the country nothing exists deserving the name of a judicial or magisterial court. The news-writers are in the pay of the amils, generally their servants; nevertheless, not less than a hundred dacoities or other acts of violence attended with loss of life are annually reported; how many hundreds, then, pass unnoticed! . . . In short, the government of the country is utterly palsied; its constitution is altogether destroyed; no hope remains. Were any vitality left in Oudh, the country has, during the last twelve years, had a fair opportunity of recovering. If the system of a King, a Minister, a Resident, and a protecting army, could subsist without ruin to the country so ruled, it has had a trial. The scheme cannot be said to have failed for lack of good instruments. The Oudh rulers have been no worse than monarchs so situated usually are; indeed they have been better than might be expected. Weak, vicious, and dissolute they were" -some of them, not all-" but they have seldom been cruel, and have never been false. . . . Among her ministers have

been as able individuals as are usually to be found in the East, and there have not been wanting good men and true as Residents. It is the system that is defective, not the tools with which it has been worked. We have interfered the variety of interference. We have interfered directly, and we have interfered in the contract of the commission, but it has invariable failed.

"One great error has been our interference in trifles, while we stood aloof when important questions were at issue Another crying evil has been the want of any recognised system of policy in our negotiations with the Lucknow Court. Everything seems to have been mere guesswork and experi-ment . . . The King, the Minister, and the Resident have each had their turn. One or other has been alternately everything and nothing. , Each member of the triumvirate could vitiate the exertions of one or both of the others, any individual of the three could do incalculable evil, but the three souls must be in one body to effect any good. Such a phenomenon never occurred, there never was province of India always to be harried and rack rented for the benefit of one family, or rather, to support in idle luxury one individual of one family r. Forbid it, justice! forbid it, mercy! Had any one of the many Governors-General who spoiled Gudh remained a few years longer in other, he might have righted her wrongs. But unhappely, while several have been in ner wrongs I but unanplay, wante several have been in authority long enough to wound, not one has yet had time to bind up and heal. Hastings began the 'stand and de here' system with the Nawabs. More moderate Governors sucsystem with the Assats More must rate diversion's unceeded, who felt ashamed to persecute a family that had already been so pillaged. They putted the monarch, but they toget that unsafereded mercy to him was crucily to he subject milhous. Let the management of the province be assumed under some such rules as those which were laid down by Lord W. Bentined. Let the administration of the down by 1000 is abstitute. Let not a ruper come country, as far as possible, be native. Let not a ruper come into the Company's collers. Let Outh be at hat governed, not for one man, the king, but for him and his people."

No apology is needed for this long excerpt, so instinct with the clear vision of a statesman, and with the righteous indignation of an honest man. While the words were being penued, Amjad Ali's frivolous reign was drawing towards its close. He died in February 1847, leaving as memorials of his better impulses the iron bridge across the Gunti, and the metalled road between Campore and Lucknow. He was succeeded by his son Wajid Ali, the last, and, with the exception of Nasír-ud-dín, perhaps the most despicable of his line. Ever since the unhappy Afghan expedition of 1838-39, Ondh questions had been kept in abevance by wars and rumours of wars in different parts of the empire-in Sindh, the Panjab, and Central India. In 1847 there was a breathing space, and in November of that year Lord Hardinge visited Lucknow, and administered to Wajid Ali the sort of warning, now become somewhat hackneyed, which had been so often addressed to his predecessors. The young King, however, was not so much accustomed to these admonitions as he afterwards became, and is said to have been too much affected to speak, and to have been able to signify his good intentions only on paper. He was allowed two years' grace—it would have been of as much, or as little, use to allow him a couple of centuries-in which to cleanse his Augean stable, and informed that if a marked improvement were not visible in that time, the result would be the assumption by the Company of the management of Oudh. Previous to this warning, for the first few months after his accession, Wajid Ali had held a few darbars, and made some faint show of attending to public business, but he had abandoned the effort before Lord Hardinge's visit, and never resumed it. Colonel Sleeman, as Resident, reported in 1849 that no improvement whatever had taken place; but the second Sikh war, the Burmese war which followed, and political complica-tions in southern and central India, at Satara, Nagpur, Jhánsi, Tanjór, and elsewhere, once more staved off the inevitable. In his diary of February 1850, Colonel Sleeman recorded that "the King has natural capacity equal to that of any of those who have preceded him in the sovereignty ot Oudh since the death of S'adat Ali in 1814, but he is the

only one who has systematically declined to devote any of that capacity, or any of his time, to the conduct of public affairs." He was a poctaster in a small way, and dabbled, in his lottier moments, in music and painting, and if he had had to can his living by these accomplishments he might have passed mister in a crowd as a decent member of society. But the proverbula "pumphin as a shade tree" could hardy have been a greater fashire than was Wajid Ali as King of Outh.

The three recognised branches of the Oudh constitution were, as Sir H. Lawrence, in the lately quoted extract, remarks, the King, the Minister, and the Resident. But, besides these, there was generally a fourth personage at work, viz. the great revenue contractor, or Nazim, whose milluence, whether for good or evil, was extremely effective. Several of these men have lett traditions, either of benevolence or destructiveness, which still survive in the country. Greatest and best of the class was Mis an Almas Ali, who tiourished under Sheja'-ud-daulah, and his successors Asafud-dautah and S'adat Als, and of whom mention has already been made his mantle seems, to a certain catcut, to have descended on highing steineds, who was contractor of Muhamds from 1804, and of Abarrabad from 1800 up to the time of his disgrace and basishment in 1819. His influence in both these districts—the present Libert and Situpur—was benchcial. He vastly increased cultivation, and doubled the rent-roll without resort to oppression. But in 1816 he obtained the contract of Babraud, and, as is generally believed, murdered his predecessor Em Amer brigh in the manner already described. Whether he was guilty of this crime or not, his management seems to have been permenous. He remed the recent 12½ per cent all round, as, two annas in the rupeo—or uncerghth. Whether he would had be remained longer in other, base mended his ways, and pro-duced as good results as he had shown in Muhamdi and Ahairabad, is uncertain. Out of the money which he had obtained by the death of Rai Ame Singh, he brited the confidents of the then minister, Agha Mir, to persuade the latter to postpone the attempt to get him punished

really beggar all description. All representations made by the Resident were useless to secure the punishment of these ruffians, who were, Colonel Sleeman tells us, "by all I saw eonsidered more as terrible demons who delighted in blood and murder than as men endowed with any feelings of sympathy for their fellow creatures; and the Government, which employed such men in the management of districts with uncontrolled power, seemed to be utterly detested and abhorred." Raghbar Dyal Singh went off into British territory, to evade demands for balances, and nothing was done towards punishing him until Colonel Sleeman assumed charge of the office of Resident in January 1819. He induced the King to proclaim him an outlaw, and to offer a reward of three thousand rupees for his arrest, if he failed to come in within three months. "He never appeared, but continued to carry on his negotiations for restoration to power at Lucknow, through the very agents whom he had employed in the scenes above described." These latter, Bihari Lal, Gauri Shankar, Karm Hosen, and Maháráj Singh, had been arrested by Colonel Sleeman's influence and sent into Lucknow, with abundant evidence of their guilt. But they promptly purchased their way out of prison, and were never punished. Soon after this, Raghbar Dyál engaged in a contest with his brother Man Singh for the estates which had been acquired by the latter and Darshan Singh in Sultanpur and Faizabad, by means of deeds of sale, or bainamas, which subsequently became notorious in our settlement courts. Mán Singh, however, proved too strong for him, and drove him out.

Raghbar Dyál had been succeeded in the contract of Gonda-Bahraich by his uncle Incha Singh, who obtained it at a reduction of four lákhs. He, also, absconded before the end of the year, and was followed by Muhammad Hosen, who raised funds to meet an enhanced demand by the murder of Rám Datt Pánde, the great agricultural capitalist of the Gonda district, all whose property he seized. This crime was committed less than a year after Colonel Sleeman had passed through the district, attended by both the future murderer and his victim. Muhammad Hosen sent in a report to Lucknow to the effect that Rám Datt had defaulted

on account both of his own estate, and of other landholders for whom he had given security, and when pressed for a settlement of his habilities had attacked the Nazim and his men, and been killed by the latter in self-defence. The real facts were that, only eight days before he was murdered. the banker had advanced Rs. 80,000 to the Nazim, and had declined to increase his pledges of security until he had further consulted the landholders for whom he had given them. The minister, however (who was "certainly an accessory to this murder after the fact, while there are strong grounds to believe that he was so before the fact"), by order of the King, presented Muhammad Hosen with a dress of honour. and conveyed to him the thanks of His Majesty for having crushed so notorious a rebel! The Resident, with the assistance of the British magnetrate of Gorakhpur, Mr Chester, cheited the truth, and Muhammad Hosen was pressed so hard that he came back from Gorakhpur where he had taken refuce, and surrendered himself at Lucknow Being, however, a Syad and a Shia, he could not be convicted of murder for the killing of a mere Hinds, and was acquitted by the mujtahid, or judge "No Shia," writes Colonel Sleeman, "could be sentenced to death for the murder even of a Sunni. at Lucknow, much less for that of a Hundu If a Hundu murders a Hindfi, and consents to become a Musalmin, he cannot be so sentenced; and if he consents to become so after sentence has been presed, it cannot be carried into exc-Such is the law, and such the every-day practice." It only remains to be added that none of the plundered property of the banker was ever recovered by his family.

The Gond, district had had the advantage of being in great part included in the Bahu Begam's jóghír, until her death in 1815. It suffered heavily under Raghbar Dyrk, though less so than Bahraich. Colonel Sleemin found but a small pertion of it under tillage, and the letter classes of cultivators, Kurmís, Murkos and Káchhis, almost non-cuistant. In 1854 Captain Orr reported that it was fast recovering under the beneficent rule of Sulhan Lal, Kayáth, who was de facto Nikim, though nonunally only the Nikim's Niki, or deputty. He had an excellent lieutenant in Bhys Shioratan

Singh, Chauhan, an old gentleman who is still alive and much respected. Sadhan Lal paid seventeen lakks of rupces for Gonda and Bahraich, and governed leniently.

Crossing the Ghághra at Faizábád, Colonel Sleeman found himself in the Sultanpur Nizamat, which included, besides the modern district of Sultánpur, Aldemau, Jagdíspur, Pratábgarh, and Pachhimráth, by which latter is here intended. not the old division of that name, which comprised more than a fifth of Oudh, but the modern pargana, lying to the south and west of Faizábád. This huge tract, as well as Dariábád and Radauli, amounting in all to more than one-third of Oudh, had been held by Darshan Singh, and after him by Mán Singh, for several years previous to 1847. In that and the preceding year, Raghbar Dvál was in possession of Gonda-Bahraich, and thus the family held between them, with practically unlimited powers, more than one-half of the province. In 1847, A'gha Ali, the "Aghai Sahib," was appointed Názim in place of Mán Singh, nominally on the amini principle, but it was perfectly well understood that he was to pay a fixed sum. Spasmodic attempts had been made from time to time since the death of S'adat Ali to enforce the amání system which he had worked so successfully, but they had always failed. Ghází-nd-dín, within two years of his father's death, reverted to the ijara method, and though he allowed trust management to be re-adopted at the instance of Colonel Baillie, it was given up in despair after two years' trial. Under Nasír-ud-dín, Hakím Mehndi being minister. and Mr. Maddock and, after him, Colonel Low, Residents, the effort was renewed, but again abandoned after two years, Under Muhammad Ali Shah, while Sharf-ud-daulah was Minister, and Colonels Low and Caulfield, Residents, lands vielding an annual revenue of thirty-five lákhs were made amini, and both Sharf-ud-daulah, and his successor Aminud-daulah, seem to have done their bost to make the system work, but without success. The ijóra system, however, does not seem to have been much abused under this king, for Colonel Low recorded in 1841 that any farmer who paid his revenue regularly might feel confident of being allowed to retain his farm, and some motive was thus pro-

vided for concileation and good management In 1847, Lord 146 Hardingo had urged Wajid Ali Shih to try amini collection maningo ma ungen respective of it was now to be seen in Aglas once more, and a systemen of the season was the season in Such All's management of Sullanpur. So far as the change was anything more than nominal, it seems to have been for the worse. Nothing like a fixed assessment for a term of years was attempted, and ereer collector was really bound to pay a fixed sum. The more frequently changes took place among the higher officials, the greater were the profits of the Lucknow parantes, whose influence made and number Nazims and Challadárs There were the same abuses of authority, tha same rack-renting, the same uncertainty, the same limbery and corruption, under both systems, and Major Troup, Captain Bunbury, and Captain Patrick Orr, of the Oudh auxiliary force, and Captain Alexander Orr, of the police, were all agreed that while the Gorernment was far more robbed, the condition of the bescutt. are still acced under emile, emile, management as it was then worked, then it had been management as 4 and such as a Algha Ali saved himaduct paragras that a union which is got an execution of the trouble and expense of collecting the resence by a ers the sounce and expense of convenies are received by free resort to the device known as lab, i.e. collection by troops on their own responsibility. Role was of two acts, throops on their own responsibility. The first was when the distinct or unconditional, and small. The first was when the distinct of unconditional, and small. a commandant gave a pledge to collect and toy, either to the Nazim or to his troops, a fixed sum assessed on the estate whatever he might be able to collect. To difference numerors no unique se aims to convect. Its uniterrate between the two was analogous to that between if iss and consern one was not assurence to care or event year and and in unagement, and, so far as the runt-parse was and conserned, was practically very little. The fidelitim plot of alone was theoretically accepted at the Lucknow fragulty as equiwas inconstraint merchana as an amount of the had the same raisens to an actual unique-mount one more than two appropriated effect, for the troops might be fully trusted appropriate from their pay and of an estate, if they had 10 sell erry living their pay ant of an estate, if they not so sail erry tring thing on it to do so. A more runness or demorshmen gright would be hard to conserve. The only reason for its sloption was its conserve to the Mirm, and the troops had so alternative but to accept the lake or remain ungail

A'gha Alt had immense power throughout the Sultingur

Nizamat. He had the control of a considerable military force, including two of the komakhia, or auxiliary regiments, which were the least inefficient troops in Ondh. All his Chakladárs were his relatives. Thus, A'gha Haidar, Chakladár of Sultánpur, and A'gha Hosen, of Aldemau, were his brothers; Ata Ali, collector of Pachhimrath, and Syad Hosen, of Radauli, were his uncles; and they all played into his hands. The chief Taluquars of Baiswart were at this time, i.c. 1849-1850, under Huzúr Tahsíl, i.e. they paid their revenue directly into the head-quarters treasury, and not through the medium of the Nazim or Chakladar. This privilege, which was shared by the Mahdona estate of Mán Singh, saved its possessors from arbitrary official exactions, and the enltivators were not liable to forced labour. was, however, as a matter of course, distasteful to the collectors, and in 1851 A'gha Ali stipulated that it should he abolished throughout his Nizamat. The gross revenue of Sultanpur was estimated, roughly speaking, at thirtysix lákhs, of which nine lákhs were pavable on account of Huzur Takeil estates. Of the remaining twenty-seven lakks which passed through his hands, A'gha Ali "accounted for" seventeen likk to the Government, and retained the other ten for administrative purposes. Out of the seventeen laklis accounted for, came the samihota, or pay of troops, and all costs of repairs to forts, erection of cantonments, aumunnition, and feed of cattle and elephants. The real amount expended on this latter item was almost nil, but the nominal sum was very considerable. Another lákh probably found its way into the Nazim's coffers in the shape of simic, or extra items, in addition to the regular revenue demand, and of fees from firaris, or absconded landholders, who were returned in the accounts as paying nothing, but who really paid very highly for protection. The maintenance of the news-writers, who had been hitherto kept up as a check on collectors, was regarded as an unnecessary precaution under amoni management, and discontinued. That this, how ver, was no great loss may be gathered from the glimpse which we have already been afforded of the efficiency of the members of this body who were attached to Raghbar Dyal's

camp during the perpetration of his worst atrocities in Bubraich

A'gha Ah's management was unfavourably contrasted with that of Darshan Singh by Captan A. Otz, of the Outh frontier police, in a letter to the Resident, dated "Camp, Farafabd," 9th of January 1855, one passage of which may be outset.—"

"Raja Darshan Singh is cited as having levied, under yara, the highest amount of revenue, i.e. thirty-two likhs

"Aghar has levied, on aman, thirty-six likhs" (from which sum, however, as Captain Orr himself remarked elsewhere, nine likhs should be excluded which were paid into

the Huzur Tahsil direct)
"Darshan Singh taxed rich and poor in equal proportion.

"Agin; fears the one and ruins the other "Darehan Singh was the sworn enemy of dacoits and

thierrs
"Aghai has ever spared them.

"Darshan Singh never gave, on the average, less than twenty-two likes to Government

"Aghai gives nominally seventeen

"Dirshin Singh made for himself by oppression a vast estate, styled the Bunami, assessed at two liklis and a half, and yielding from five to six liklis, but ruled it "—as fir as more cultivators were concerned—" with the utmost lementy

"Achis, instead of sering on hands, seried on hard cosh," Probably no people ever got less a turn for their money in the way of administration than did the people of Outh at this period. Out of the large funds at his direct, of Schmidt his maintained four depatters, one in each district, on alarties of from Rs. 150 to Rs. 200 a month, and fifty or suff stabildies or paneoglies, on from Rs. 150 to Rs. 200 exc. Such order and stability assented were musty due to strong Talopdies, who, when not at war with the Government, found it expedient to keep their estates will cultivated, and their tenants contented. They had at least the ment of "redecining the country from the dead level of powerlies slaves under an all-powerful Sultan." They formed a breakt water behind which it was possible for the artisia to be also.

alive the germs of manufacturing industry, and the justice which they administered, rude though it was, was at least preferable to the article dispensed under the same name by the King's officers. The town of Sháhganj, under the protection of Darshan Singh and Mán Singh, contained large numbers of traders and artisans, who are said to have been more secure there than anywhere else in Oudh. An enlightened self-interest, too, prompted them to protect their humble, low-caste cultivators, so that their estates were well tilled. But this was quite compatible with the grossest oppression towards the small proprietors whose villages they had incorporated in their taluqas. Two such men were found by Colonel Sleeman in the hands of Mán singh. They had refused to sign bainámas, or deeds of sale, of their property, which he demanded from them, and had been six or seven years in confinement, "I have never," writes Colonel Sleeman, "seen enmity more strong and deadly than that exhibited by contending co-sharers and landholders of all kinds in Oudh,"—a sentence worth remembering with a view to assertions, in the course of recent controversies, that the zamíndárs did not value the recognition of their rights.

The Taluqdars of Baiswara were Rajput lords, not upstarts, and in writing of them in his monograph on the Rai Bareli claus, Mr. Benett remarks that—

"The chieftain and his retainers were the only unit of Hindú society susceptible of development nor were signs wanting that the throne was soon to fall before the rising national life. The central executive was already paralysed, while the ties of family and clan were widened and consolidated on a territorial basis. Had we stayed our hands, it is possible that even now a Hindú Rája would be ruling a Hindú nation from the ancient seat of Hindú religion and empire (Ajodhya), on a throne supported by a landed aristocracy lately developed from the hierarchy of chieftains, whose ancient ranks had been enforced by the addition of all that was most vigorous in the late regime. . . . The mistake which vitiates almost all our political theories in India, is that we are the successors of the Muxchmán emperors; were we only that, we should not be here

now. The vital fact is that we have, or at any rate think we have, succeeded, where the Muhammadans, in their strongest days, never attained complete success, in taking the places or the local princes, and in substituting our own for native law and organization. The Commissioner has supplainted not so much the Narim as the Rail."

It may seem strange that the baroon were so often ablosuccessfully to reast the King's troops. The was unmitplied to the fact that their retainers had much stronger motives to light for them than the Nazim or Chaladar supplied to his soldiers. If a Talinghar's retainer was killed in his lord's quarrel, his tandy was provided for by a grant of land rent-free, called marreat. But it one of the King's speaks was killed in action, his lamily, though nominally antitled to a gratuity equal to his pay for two months, and not even obtain the arrears of pay actually due to him, and of disabled by wounds, he was at once dismissed without a pension. From men with such prospects, derotion was scarcely to be expected.

All through Sultanpur and Basswira, Colonel Sleeman found the large Talugdars on bad terms with the Nazim, and in Unso and Hardus, the most samindari districts in Oudb. the small landholders were quite as turbulent in their way. In Unao, especially, they were at perpetual fend with the detested Chakladar, Bhadrinath. From Hardus, the "Diary" takes us through Muhamdi, or Khers, and thenco into Khairabid, or Sitapur. These districts, as already mentioned, had been held by Hakim Mehndi for several years previous to his first bantshment in 1819. For the greater part of the following thirty years they were hold separately. Muhamdi had suffered most of the two, having fallen into the hands of worse contractors. It had had no less than fifteen using and two amone collectors, and the revenue had sunk from seven laklis, with the people contented, to three likhs, with everybody miserable Not one tenth of the soil was in cultivation, or one-tenth of the normal villages inhabited. Raja Lone beigh of Mithards, whose conduct during the Muting afterwards carned him perulas infamy, was the great man of the district, and was increasing his possessions after the

usual manner. Khairábád was comparatively quiet and better eultivated. Here Nawáb Ali, Taluqdár of Mahmúdábád, was extending his estate by means less undisguised, but seareely less efficacious than had been employed by Darshan Singh and Mán Sing in Sultánpur and Faizábád. Like them, he kept his own lands well eultivated, and was not disliked by low-caste tenants, though detested by zamíndárs.

The four great evils of rural Oudh at this period are represented by Colonel Sleeman to have been:—

- (1.) Depredations of refractory Taluquárs;
- (2.) Rack-renting by contractors;
- (3.) Dissensions among landholders, created or fostered by contractors;
 - (4.) Depredations of troops and camp-followers. The first of these seourges needs no elucidation.

Of the second, an instance may be quoted from Captain Alexander Orr. The assessment of Chandausi, a zamíndárí in the Sultánpur Nizámat, was ollicially supposed at Lucknow to amount to Rs. 5,338. A'gha Ali, however, assessed it at Rs. 7,200, and further imposed demands for Rs. 1,500 as his own nazarána, Rs. 1,200 as that of A'gha Haidar, his brother and chakladár, Rs. 1,100 as that of Bande Hosen, A'gha Haidar's deputy, and lastly, Rs. 113 as the due of Rám Baksh, Díwán of Bande Hosen, making a total of Rs. 11,113, or considerably more than double the Lucknow assessment. Nor was this an isolated instance.

To turn to the third item in Colonel Sleeman's list, so long as Rajpats remained united, the King's officials could do nothing with them. The warrior caste certainly possesses, or did possess, a capacity for coherent action which is wanting to Brahmans; and where they were powerful, Nazims and Chakladars could only make head against them by taking advantage of their disputes among themselves, or creating quarrels where they found none ready made. According to old Bakhtawar Singh, brother of Darshan Singh, who had been deputed by the Darbar to accompany Colonel Sleeman on his tour, there were more well-to-do landholders in Oudh in the time of S'adat Ali than were to be found in 1850; but they

did not dare to hold their heads so high, the local officers did not dare to hold their heads so mgh, the local officers lemg all able meb, and anjorated by a wing of one of the Company's reguments, and some good guns. Since then, the strength of the Talingdars had been asting and that of the Government saming, while the aid of the Company's troops had been gradually withdrawn. Landholders kept to armed men where formarty they had let only one, and spen on their maintenance the rents which they withheld from the Government The chief object with which they kept up their armed retainers was to resist all attempts at reduction of their informally granted manter allowances. None of these grants had been sanctioned at Lucknow since the death of S'adat Ali in 1814 a.D. corresponding to 1222 Pash: but they had been made and acquesced in, by a usurpation of authority on the part of Nazims and Challaddes, to the amount of some forty laths. In 1850, orders were issued for the resumption of all such grants of any later date than 1222 Fash, but it was, of course, impossible to carry their out, and their only effect was a change in the nomenclature employed in the revenue accounts. Henceforth the sanctioned grants were known as " nunlar san bais," or the allowances of the year twenty-two, and the subsequent in-formal reductions as "Ioms request," or deficiency of assets.

With regard to the opposition and plundering which the people had to endure at the hands of trops and camp-followers, without any wish to exage rate them, and vice with a readmest to be liver that the sins at the Oudh Government have often been punted in black erook are than they descred, it is still impossible to doubt that the suffering from this source was really very great. Colond Slevium was certainly not prejudiced against native governments as such, and though it has been said, probably with some degree of truth, that he looked at things "through Darbar ajectales," and unconsciously exaging rated the convention of the Taliquier, the very people, whom he met on the roads being tutored what to say to him, yet three can be no ground for suj josing that the Qualh Government would go out of its way to make the conduct of its own trops are seranted appear only

or oppressive than it actually was Yet it would be difficult to imagine more lameutable seenes of tyranny and hardship than those which he describes as of constant occurrence, whenever the Najíh corps, of which there were thirty-two, were moved from one cantonment to another. And they were incessantly on the move; for if kept long in one place, they created an artificial famine. "The line of march," he writes, "of one of these corps is like the road to the temple of Jagannáth." Captain Orr speaks in equally strong terms of this subject, and of the begárí, or forced labour system, he writes:—

"The Chamár, Lodh, Kurmi, and all inferior eastes are the prey of all, eaught at every hour of the day or night, made use of as beasts of burthen, beaten and abused, treated as if incapable of feeling pain or humiliation, never remunerated, but often deprived of the scanty elothing they may possess."

This is one of the few points on which it is possible to feel a satisfactory assurance that the poor are better off under British than they were under native rule.

Even so mere a sketch in outline as is here attempted, of the condition of Oudh during the few years previous to aunexation, would not be complete without some portraval of the state of affairs at Lucknow as well as in the provinces. Colonel Sleeman's "Diary" is full of digressions relating to the affairs of the court. His well-known character and personal leanings toward any tolerable native government are sufficient guarantees for the freedom from adverse prejudice of the picture which he draws in these oft-repeated touches. It leaves no doubt that Wájid Ali, though not naturally a stupid or unamiable man, was as deeply sunk in the slough of sloth and effeminacy as it is possible for any man, even an oriental prince born in the purple, to be, or that he was surrounded by as profligate and insatiable a set of knaves as any such prince ever succeeded in attracting to hunself. A strange life it must have been that he led in that "enchanted Armida palace" of his, where we catch glimpses of him through the motley crowd of singers, fiddlers, sorcerers, cock-fighters, negroes, cunnels, and buffoons, who hovered round him, like moths round a very flickering and



were so profusely lavished. The shopkeepers of Lucknow were probably wealthier than those of any other town in India except Calcutta. Manufactures of all sorts of costly and useless products flourished luxuriantly. With the traders and artisans of Lucknow, annexation could hardly be otherwise than unpopular, and the decay of these classes during the last twenty years, though inevitable, has been pitiful in the extreme. Artificially stimulated by the lavishness of the court, they have necessarily declined since the stream of expenditure has been stayed. Probably the only class in Lucknow to whom annexation was not altogether distasteful was that of the ministers and other officers who had amassed large sums by peculation, and were not wholly unwilling to see the source of their gains stopped for the future on condition of secure enjoyment of what they had already acquired.

CHAPTER V.

ANNEXATION.

The annexation of Oudh has perhaps incurred more uniparing condemnation than any other act of the East India Company's Government since the days of Warren Hastings. It has been denounced, under the name of "Dacety in Excelses," as the List and most glaring, if not the worst, illustration of the Dabbouse policy, and as one of the proximate causes of the Stuting. Some trial account, often though the tale has been told already, should perhaps be given here of the circumstances under which the step was adopted, the motives and reasons by which the persons chiefly responsible for it were actuated, and the mode in which it was carried out. Of the condition of the province during the closing years of the reign of Wajai Ali rhah a description has been attempted in the privious chapter, and it is in that condition that the measure of annexation finds its text and, indied, its soil possible instituction.

On the 21st of November 1855, General Outrain bring about to proceed to Lackmow to take over charge from Golved Sheman, Lord Dalbousse included a minute, proposing that the new Resident abould be instructed to make "an enjury into the present state of that country, with a view to determine whether its affairs still continue in that state in which Colonel Sleeman from time to time described them to be; whether the improvement which Lord Hardinge peremptorily demanded seven years ago at the hands of the King, in pursuance of the treaty of 1801, has in any degree been effected; and whether the duty imposed upon the British Government by that treaty, a duty recognised by Lord William Bentinck in 1831, and reiterated by Lord Hardinge in 1847, will in truth any longer admit of our honestly indulging the reluctance we have felt to have recourse to those extreme measures which alone can be of any real efficacy in remedying the evils from which the state of Oudh has suffered so long."

The Members of Council at this time were Mr. Dorin, Colonel John Low, formerly for eleven years Resident at Lucknow, Mr. Barnes Peacocke, and Mr. John Peter Grant. The first three briefly assented to this proposal, while the fourth recorded the grounds of his assent at some length, declaring that "in the ease of Oudh, a state wholly supported by the British Government, and bound to be wholly guided by that Government, he had never been able to understand how the policy of even temporary non-interference could be justifiable, on any other ground than that, for the time being, non-interference was better for the people of Oudh than interference. How many years ago it is since any statesman has maintained that ground, we need not stop to count."

Accordingly, by Mr. Secretary Edmonstone's letter of the 24th of November 1854, General Outram was instructed to inquire into and report on the condition of Oudh.

In obedience to these instructions, the Resident, on the 15th of March 1855, submitted a voluminous report, divided into seven heads, relating respectively to:—

- (1.) The Sovereign and his Minister.
- (2.) Revenue and Finance.
- (3.) Judicial Courts and Police.
- (4.) The Army.
- (5.) Roads and Public Works.
- (6.) Statistics of Crimes.
- (7.) Oppressions and Crnelties.

The general character of this report may be sufficiently imagined by anyone who has read the preceding chapter.

There had been, in short, no improvement, and there was no prospect of improvement, on the state of things described by Colonel Sleeman. All that could be said for the last fire Kings of Oudh was that they had been always ready and desirous to comply, as far as possible, with all demands made by the British Government relating to its own interests; and almost the only redeeming features about the adminstration were the Prontier Police, under the command of European officers, maintained at a cost of Rs 77,000 annually, and sundry matitutions kept up for the benefit of European site a yearly cost of Rs 47,000

The revenues of Oudh were derived almost entirely from the land, and its sources were four, viz

(1) Allodial lands, or Crown estates, known as khálea (2.) Huzúr Tahsíl estates, paying revenue directly to

Oovernment
(3) Districts held under contract by sidradárs, or farmer

(3) Districts held under contract by sjáradárs, or farmers.
 (4) Districts held under amání, or trust management

The transfer of khálsa lands to Talugdárs, which has been described in the Bahraich district, had been going on all over the province The Huzur Tahail system which had "always proved more successful and popular in Oudh than any other mode of management," was much abused by the Diwan Raja Balkishn at Lucknow, who was supposed to superintend it. He and his subordinates were in the habit of raising rents for their own benefit, by the threat, if their demands were not acquiesced in, of handing over the estate of the recusant to a Chaklader Of the viera and amini methods of collection, enough bas been said already. With regard to the expenditure and financial arrangements generally, the Resident found it almost impossible to obtain any statistics or information. Those who possessed any official knowledge of the subject dared not communicate it, and the Mimster, Ab Nakka Khan, demurred to furnishing any accounts, on the ground that there was no precedent for such a course. Pensions of the royal family and other stipendiaries were heavily in arrear. The annual cost of the civil and police establishments seems to have been about 38 and 124 lakhs respectively. The pay of the Minister was Rs. 114,000 yearly, and his perquisites amounted to more than seven lákhs, or a total of more than £80,000, nearly thrice the salary of the Governor-General. The total revenues of 1853 and 1854 appear to have amounted to about £1,200,000. Of this sum only between £300,000 and £400,000 found its way to the Lucknow treasury, the remainder being "aecounted for" by the Názims as expended in the districts on different branches of Government service. The amount actually disbursed was probably little more than half a million, something like £350,000 being absorbed by Názims and their subordinates, with the assistance of the Darbár officials at Lucknow.

As for judicial courts, there were none, except at the capital, and such as were there maintained were worthless, being presided over by men of no character, who treated their position simply as a means for extertion. The total cost of the judicial establishments for the whole of Oudh was less than Rs. 16,000 a year. Their efficiency was sufficiently illustrated by the acquittal of Muhammad Hosen, who, while Názim of Gonda-Bahraich, murdered the banker Rám Datt Pánde, as already related, and a host of similar, though less conspicuous, instances might be quoted. Revenue courts there were none. The charge of district police stations was farmed out by the Názims to the highest bidder.

The military force numbered about 60,000 men of all arms, costing rather more than £420,000 yearly. The komakhia, or auxiliary regiments, commanded by European officers, of which there were four, were fairly efficient. The rest were an undisciplined rabble, paid at the rate of three to four rupces a month, and always kept in arrears, but allowed to compensate themselves by living at free quarters on the peasantry. Their numbers, moreover, were merely nominal, though pay for their full strength was of course drawn by the paymasters, or Bakshis, acting in collusion with the Názims.

Roads and public works could hardly be said to exist. The only metalled road in Oudh was that between Campore and Lucknow. There were about half-a-dozen permanent bridges,

besides two spanning the Gumti at Jacknow; and General Outram, after mentioning they, adds that, "with the exception of a few Government forts, there are hierally no obepublic works in Oudh." Immense sums were charged in the accounts for the erection of royal palaces and tombs, of which but a small proportions was orthally thus expended

As for the remaining heads of the report, Crimes and Outrages, and Oppressions and Cruelties by Government Officials, little or nothing need he said. The billed and wounded in decorties and riots were estimated at about two thousand annually, and presponsible officials of all sorts continued to do after their kind, with a psychologically curious disregard of the amount of pain they might inflict in gaining their end of extoring money In conclusion, General Outram felt himself bound to declare, though with great rejuctance, his wish to uphold native states wherever possible being well known, that "the lamentable condition of this kingdom has been caused by the very culpable apathy and gross misrule of the Sovereign and his Darbar", that the improvement of administration demanded by Lord Hardings seven years before had been in no degree effected; and that there was, in his opinion, no doubt that the British Government could no longer honestly refrain from "those extreme measures which could alone be of any real efficacy," or, in other words, from taking the administration of Oudh into its own hands

At the time of the receipt of that despatch at Calcutta, Lord Dalhouse was absent at Utvlamand, and thither it was transmitted to him by General Low, who recorded hus concurrence in the opinion expressed in its concluding paracraphs, because —

"The public and shunchl oppressions committed on the people by Government officers in Oudh have of late years been constant and extreme, because the King of Outh has continually, during many years, broken the treaty (of 1801) by systematically disregarding one advice instead of following it, or even endeavouring to follow it, because we are bound by treaty (quite different in that respect from our position relatively to most of the great native states) to pre-

vent serious interior misrule in Oudh; because it has been fully proved that we have not prevented it, and that we cannot prevent it by the present mode of conducting our relations with that State; and because no man of common sense can entertain the smallest expectation that the present King of Oudh can ever become an efficient ruler of his country."

To these words, weighty from their author's long experience as Resident at Lucknow, as well as from his individual leanings in favour of native states, General Low added that he had good grounds for believing that the long delay to interfere in Oudh, after repeated warnings, had created a general impression that such interference was prohibited by orders from England; and that Oudh officials had thus less fear of the Resident or the Company, as regards internal mismanagement, than they had felt at any time previous to Lord Hardinge's visit in 1847.

From Utakamand, Lord Dalhousie wrote his monster minute of the 18th of June 1855, the greater part of which eonsists of a review of our relations with Oudh from the time of Warren Hastings, and of the information furnished by General Outram, Colonel Sleeman, and others regarding its present condition. Passing to the immediate practical question of what was to be done, the Governor-General remarked that as the so-called treaty of 1837 had never been sanctioned by the Court of Directors, regard must be had exclusively to the treaty of 1801. This latter, he pointed out, unfortunately contained no provision for the course to be adopted in the event of the Oudh Government failing to maintain a tolerable administration; and also barred the employment of English officers in Oudh by providing that the system of administration to be introduced by the Nawab was "to be carried into effect by his own officers."

So long, therefore, "as observance shall be paid to the letter, and to the obvious spirit, of the treaty of 1801, that instrument will prohibit the admission of British officers to take any part in the management of Oudh, and will ever stand as an insurmountable barrier to the employment, by the British Government, of those means which can alone be effectual to

introduce into Oudh 'such a system of administration as shall be conducted to the prosperity of' the King's 'subjects, and be calculated to secure the lives and property of the inhabitants.'"

On the assumption that active unterference in Oudh was, in some shape or other, inevitable, Lord Dalhoune proceeded to consider the various modes in which effect might be given to it. And here it will be well to quote his own wording of the alternative courses open.

"1st The King may be required to abdicate the sovereign powers he has abused, and to consent to the incorporation of

Oudh with the territories of the British Crown
"2nd. The King may be permitted to retain his royal title
and position, but may be required to vest the whole civil and
military administration of his kingdom in the Government

of the East India Company, for ever
"3rd His Majesty may be urged to make over his dominions to the management of British officers, for a time.

"4th The King may be unrited to place the management of the country in the hands of the Resident, under whose directions it shall be carried on by the officers of the King, acting with such British officers as may be appointed to aid them."

Of these alternatives, Lord Dalhouse believed the first

"The rulers of Oudh," be wrote, "however untartituit they may have been to the trust confided to them—however gross may have been their neglect, however greeous their mis-government, of the people committed to their charge—have yet over been faithful and true in their adherence to the British power. No wavering friendship has been laid to their charge
have submitted, without a nummur, to our supremacy; and have aided us, as best they could, in the hour of our utmost need."

The first proposal, then, being too severe; the fourth, insufficient, as had been pointed out by Lord Wellesley in

1799; and any merely temporary arrangement, such as was contemplated by the third, being self-condemned, as well as by the precedents of the Nizam's territories and of Nagpur; Lord Dalhousie strongly advocated the adoption of the second. To attain the end aimed at by that proposal, he advised that the King should be informed that the treaty of 1801 was at an end, owing to his failure to fulfil the obligation which it imposed upon him of maintaining a decent administration in Ondh, and that the Resident was under orders to quit Lucknow, and to withdraw the subsidiary force, unless the King gave his consent to a draft treaty which was to be laid before him. The main features of this instrument were to be the annulment of all previous treaties; the transfer of the "whole civil and military administration, with all power, jurisdiction, rights, and claims thereto belonging" from the hands of the King to those of the Company's Government; the allotment of a liberal provision for the King, and also for the members of the royal family, not being his own children; and, last and most important of all :-

a That the revenues of Oudh shall be applied, first, to the payment of the expenses of the civil and military administration of the province; secondly, to the payment of the stipends secured in the preceding Articles to the King and to the royal family; and thirdly, to the improvement and benefit of the province. The residue of the revenues, after the foregoing deductions, shall be at the disposal of the Last

India Company."

In reference to this last Article, Lord Dalhousie wrote: "For many years to come, while the reform of the administration of Oudh is still in progress, and while its natural resources are not yet fully recruited, the provision will remain altogether inoperative. But in process of time, the revenues of the country will largely increase under the management of British officers, and a surplus will probably remain, after meeting all ordinary charges of the administration, and after providing for those measures of gradual improvement to which the increase in the revenues of the province ought unquestionably to be made applicable in the first instance."

The Governor-General considered the appropriation by the Company's Government of the surplus revenues "perfeetly consonant with justice and equity, and founded upon a just consideration for the general good of that Empire of which Oudh originally was, and still is, no more than a province" But if the Court of Directors desired that the interference of the British Government should be "not only virtually, but in the very letter, a disinterested act," he was prepared to omit any such provision (which had been borrowed from the treaty with Tanjor) from the proposed compact; only stipulating that in any new relations to be entered on with Oudh, it should be at least arranged that all civil charges and all charges of troops which it might prove necessary to station within the province, should be defraved from its revenues; as it would no longer, the treaty of 1801 having been declared at an end, be needful to maintain any military force in the province, "were it not for the contemplated renewal and extension of our relations with the King of Oudh"

The Governor-General's minute was circulated in due course to the four Members of Council, all of whom expressed

opinions, more or less weights, on the subject.

Mr Dorin, the senior member, advocated the adoption of Lord Dalhouse's first proposal, for simply incorporating Oudh with British territory, and deprecated the continuance to Wand Ali Shib of the title of King.

General Low was of opinion that the Oudh Government was, "from the Frame Minister down to the meanest chaptas," so thoroughly and involvented to corrupt, that there was no remedy left but the exclusive, permanent, and direct assumption of the management of the country by the Company He protested, however, against the notion of withdrawing the Resident and troops, as dangerous and unnecessary; and in the event of the King's refusing to aign a new treaty, recommended that Lord Wellesley's precedent in the case of Sédat Ah in 1801 should be followed, and orders issued to the native collectors to pay no more revenue into his treasury.

Mr Grant's line of argument was that the British Government had always occupied towards Oudh the position of

paramount state towards subordinate province; what the Emperor of Delhi nominally was, that we had really been; that the arrangement of 1801 was not founded on any previous treaty; and that this fact was fully recognised by Lord Wellesley, who always addressed S'adat Ali as a subordinate ruler-(he might almost have added, as a convicted pickpocket)—and by S'ádat Ali himself, so much so that when he proposed to abdicate, he only requested to be succeeded by any one of his sons whom Lord. Wellesley might choose. From all this it followed that if the treaty of 1801 were set aside, the position of Oudh to the Company would still be, as it was previous to that treaty, the position of subject province to paramount state; and the King would have no rights as against us in 1855 which the Subahdar had not in 1800, except such as might be guaranteed by intermediate agreements. Thus, "the decision as to what ought to be done now must follow the decision that shall be pronounced upon the historical point of the justice of Lord Wellesley's conduct in 1801." Mr. Grant held that Lord Wellesley was justified in what he did, and quoted James Mill's opinion, that if his premises were correct, he ought to have gone still further, and annexed the whole instead of only half of the Oudh territories.

It apparently did not occur to Mr. Grant, or to any other Member of Council, that if the status quo ante the treaty of 1801 was to be reverted to, Wajid Ah might not unplausibly have asserted a claim to be restored to possession of all the territory which had been ceded to the Company under that treaty. The ceded territory, yielding a revenue of £1,300,000, was made over to the Company as security for the charges of the troops to be employed in the defence of Oudh. If those troops were to be withdrawn, and the Company was to be relieved of all responsibility for the defence of Oudh, it would seem to follow logically that the ceded districts should have been restored, on the principle of cessante caux i cessat defectur.

The Súbahdárs of Oudh, to return to Mr. Grant's minute,

The Súbahdárs of Oudh, to return to Mr. Grant's minute, never claimed, before they came into contact with the British power, to be independent sovereigns, or professed any right to retain the Súbah if it had pleased the Emperor of Delhi

to recall them. Shuja'-ud-daulah, when he espoused the cause of Mir Kasım, complained, not that the Calcutta Council had driven him out of Bengal, but that they had driven him out without the Emperor's authority "How is it," he asked, "that you turn out and establish Nawabs at pleasure, without the consent of the Imperial Court?" We stand, Mr. Grant contended, in the place of the Mughal, and our position only differs from his in the respect that we have the power to do that which it would have been his duty to do if he had been able, while he had not that power. "Our practice has accorded with no other theory," e.g. the deposition of Wazir Ah in 1798, and the setting aside of Munna Ján in 1837. "These were doubtless very proper acts on our part; but if such acts were not founded on the assertion of our having supreme dominion over the kings and people of Oudh, I ask on what doctrine they were founded, and by what reasoning they can be justified? Is it only when the People are concerned that we should hesitate to assert our supreme dominion?"

Mr. Grant preferred the first of Lord Dallousse's proposals, stanvolting no fiction, to the second, and so agreed with Mr Dorin, but differed from him in wishing to allow the King, though not his desernabilist, to retain the royal title. He condensed, as it once needless and harardors, the proposal to withdraw our troops and sever all relations; and recommended that Oath should be at once incorporated with British territory, with the King's consent if it were procurable, if not, then without it

Mr Peacocke—afterwards Sir Barne's Peacocke—discussed the question from the legal point of view, and argued that the Company had a right to compel enforcement of the treaty of 1801, so far as it had been broken by the King, i.e. in his failure to secure good government, quoting Vattel in support of his position. He preferred the second of the Governor-General's alternatives, but was of opinion that "no pecuniary benefit should be derived by the East India Company," and therefore "could not recommend that any part of the revenues of Oudh should be applied to the payment of the military administration of the province"; secong that

under the treaty of 1801 we agreed to defend Oudh in consideration of the ceded territory. He argued that, our interference being for the sake of the people, they should not be made to bear any burthen which they would not have had to bear if the treaty of 1801 had been fulfilled by the King. He therefore thought that all except military expenses should be defrayed out of the revenues of Oudh, and that the whole surplus should be spent on the province.

It is easy enough to fancy Lord Dalhonsie muttering to himself as he read Mr. Peacocke's minute that this was mere ideology; but not perhaps quite so easy, except by a return to first principles and a total abandonment of all legal grounds, to suggest an adequate answer to Mr. Peacocke's scruples.

On the 21st of November 1855, the Court of Directors despatched their reply to the questions raised by General Outram's report, and the minutes of the Governor-General and Members of Conneil. They had no hesitation in deciding that the government-or misgovernment, they were much the same thing-of the King of Oudh must be put an end to, once for all. But unless Lord Dalhousie felt morally certain that the announcement to the King that all existing treaties were cancelled, and that all connection between his Government and that of the Company was at an end unless he accepted the new treaty to be proposed to him, would at once have the effect of inducing him to accept it, the alternative should not be offered to him. With regard to the terms of the treaty to be laid before him, and the mode to be adopted of disposing of the surplus revenues of Oudh, the Court of Directors, beyond an expression of opinion that the reigning family should be very liberally provided for, maintained a discreet and significant silence. question had been expressly put to them by Lord Dalhousie in his minute, and certainly seems one which demanded an answer. But answer came there none. And this, perhaps, was hardly so odd as it at first sight seems, for the Honourable Court may have been of opinion that the matter, if left in the hands of the Governor-General, would arrange itself in a manner not wholly unfavourable to their pecuniary interests, while the issue of explicit instructions might have

been awkward, not to say embarrassing. A considerable sense of decorum always pervaded the proceedings of the Honourable Court

On the 23rd of January 1856 instructions, framed by Lord Dalhousse and concurred in hy the other Members of Council, were despatched to General Outram concerning the steps to be taken for the assumption of the Government of Oudh Troops were to be moved up to Campore, and such a force as the Resident thought requisite to be marched to Lucknow, under the immediate command of Colonel Wheler as Brigadier. If hostilities appeared imminent, the Resident was authorised to assume control over all the troops in Oudh The news of his deposition was to be broken to the King through his Minister, Ah Nakki Khán, after which the Resident was to obtain an interview with Walld Ali himself, at which he was to inform him that " the time had now come when the systematic violation of the treaty of 1801, by every successive Ruler of Oudh, from the date of its signature to the present day, rendered it the imperative duty of the British Government to declare the treaty null and void, and to proceed either to form new engagements with the King of Oudh, or to assume to itself the administration of his territories,"

The King was then to be handed a better from Lord Dalhouse, which recapitulated the relations between the British Government and that of Oudh from their beginning, in a manner which left little to be desired, except that it made no mention of the extortions of Warren Hastings, and was grossly unjust to S'ádak Alt. The letter concluded, afterdrild jenoueneing the existing misrule, and declaring that the British Government would be "guilty in the sight of God and man, if it were any longer to aid in sustaining, by its countenance and power, an administration fraught with suffering to millions," and that the violated treaty of 1801 was at an end, with an exhortation to the King to accept the proposals which would be made to him by General Outram, and a warring of the consequences of refusal.

The perusal of the letter was to be followed by the presentation of a draft treity, which the king was to be invited to sign. The purport of this treaty was much the same as had been proposed by Lord Dalhousie in his minute of the 18th of June 1855. Wajid Ali and his successors were to retain the title of "King of Oudh," and to receive an annual pension of twelve lákhs, in addition to which a body-guard was to be maintained for Wajid Ali himself, at a cost of not more than three lakhs yearly. All collateral members of the royal family who were at that time provided for by the King were in future to be maintained by the Company. Wajid Ali and his successors were to retain "full and exclusive jurisdiction" in the palace at Lucknow, and in his favourite parks of Dilkhusha and Bibiapur, but were not to inflict the punishment of death without the previous consent of the Governor-General in Council. The bitter pill of which the above provisions formed the sugared coating was that "the sole and exclusive administration of the civil and military government of the territories of Oudh shall be henceforth vested, for ever, in the Hononrable East India Company, together with the full and exclusive right to the revenues thereof."

Not in vain was the confidence of the Honourable Court of Directors.

Two draft proclamations addressed to the people of Ondh were forwarded to the Resident along with his instructions. The first was to be issued in ease the King consented to sign the treaty; the second in the event of his refusal. The difference between them consisted entirely in the recitals. In either case, it was proclaimed that the government of Ondh was henceforth vested in the East India Company, to whose officers all classes of the inhabitants were called upon to render obedience, under penalties. In conclusion it was declared that:—

"The revenue of the districts shall be determined on a fair and settled basis.

"The gradual improvement of the Oudh territories shall be steadily pursued.

"Justice shall be measured out with an equal hand.

"Protection shall be given to life and property; and every man shall enjoy, henceforth, his just rights, without fear of molestation." The Readent was instructed to afford to the King all possible explanation of the reasons for the course adopted, and to do all in his power to persuade him to sign the treaty, offering, should it seem necessary, a yearly pension of fifteen liths material of where All promises of amendment of his administration on the part of the King, and all attempts to argue the point, or to procure postponement of the assumption of his powers by the Company, were to be not by an assurance that the intentions of the Government were fixed and irreveable, and that Lord Dalhouse had been ordered by the Court of Directors, with the approval of hee Munistry, of which Lord Canning, the Governor-General cleet, was a member, to earry them into execution before learning India. In case the King referred to the "treaty" of 1837, he was to be informed that that instrument had now no existence, having been annulled by the Court of Directors as soon as it was submitted to them, and that the Governor-General regretted that, owing to inadvertience, the annulinent had not been notified to the then King of Oudh, Mulaimmad Ali Shâl. Three days, if required, were to be allowed the King for deliberation.

According to his instructions, General Gutrain communicated the intentions of the British Government to Nawish Ali Nakla Khán on the 20th of January. The Linniete expressed himself much surprised and distressed at the news, deprecated the advance of the troops as unnecessary, and "attempted to contrast the regn of the present King with those of his predecessors, and to point out the manifest reforms which were to be seen on all such set.

The Resident in reply assured him that the advance of the troops was necessary, and the resolve of the Government unalterable

On the following day, Ali Nakie Rhán ngain waited on General Outrain, according to agreement, and after reading the draft treaty and proclamation. "declared that he was authorised by the King to state that His Mapsaty was the servant of the British Government, and was, of course, ready to do what was required." He proposed, however, that the King should personally sust Lond Dalbousse, or even go to

England, in the hope of obtaining a more favourable decision.

These proposals the Resident met, as before, by a simple assurance that any reconsideration of the merits of the case was out of the question, and asked the Minister to request the King to appoint a day on which he might wait on him with the treaty.

The following day, the 1st of February, the King wrote a piteous letter to the Resident, pleading that he had "never wilfully performed aught that could offend the British Government; on the contrary, for the least of its servants, every step has been taken to please . . . everything has been done to obey whatever instructions may have been received. For instance, after the admonition of Lord Hardinge, the whole country has been placed under a new arrangement, and transferred from the *ijára* to the *amání* system; police stations have everywhere been formed; and the number of enlprits who have been punished is manifest." The letter ended with entreaties to the Resident to "intercede most imploringly" with the Governor-General to "put off the adoption of the new policy."

To all this General Outram once more gave the only possible answer. On the same day be visited the Queen-mother, the Janáb Aulia Begam, at her own request, at the Zard Kothi palace, in the hope that she, being a sensible woman, would influence the King to accede to the proposed terms. There was a dense crowd in the palace courts as he passed, but the demeanour of the people was, as usual, perfectly courteons. The Queen-mother poured forth supplications in a style similar to that of the King's letter; "entreated the Resident to inform her what His Majesty had done, and why he had incurred the wrath of the British Government"; and begged hard for delay, "during which the King might be enabled to show to the world, by the adoption of vigorous reforms, how auxions and eager he was to obey" the advice of the British Government.

Poor Wájid Ali! Poor Queen-mether! The periol of "vigorous reforms," as understood in the Lucknow palace, was passed, and not to be recalled by any entreaty.

All attempts to discuss the question were met by General Outram with the came irresustible non possion. He urged on the Begam the risk which the King would run of losing the liberal terms offered him if he refused to accept the proposed treaty, but could elect no response but profestations.

At list, on the morning of the 4th of February, at the Zard Kothi palace, an interview took place between Whild Ah and General Outram. The palace courts were almost deserted, the foot guards unarmed, the artillery dismounted, and not a weapon was to be seen among the officials who received the Rendent, who was accompanied by his assistants, Captains Hayes and Weston On the ende of the King were present his brother, Sikaudar Hayhmat, the Minister, Ala Nikki Ekhar, the Financial Minister, Raja Shikashi, and the Residency Vakil and his Deputy, Mahsi-old-daulah and Shibhad-daulah and

The King had already received through Ali Nakki fihan a copy of Lord Dalhoune's letter. He was now presented with the original, after reading which, he turned to the Resident, and put to him the same question which his mother had saked, "Why have I deserved this? What have I done?" After reading the treaty, the unhappy man "gave vent to his feelings in a passionate burst of greft, and acclaimed—

"Treaties are necessary between equals only; who am I, now, that the British Government should enter into treaties with me? For a hundred years its dynardy has flourished in Oudh. It has ever received the favour, the support, and protection of the British Government. It had ever attempted fauthfully and fully to perform its duties to the British dovernment. The kingdom is a creation of the British, down are able to make and to numake, to promote and to degrade. It has merely to issue its commands to ensure their folliment, not the slightest attempt will be made to oppose the views and wishes of the British Government; myself and subjects are its servatise."

Taking off his turbau and placing it in the hands of the Resident—the utmost token of humility which an Oriental can display—he declared that it was not for him to sign a treaty, that for him there was nothing left but "to seek in Europe for that redress which it was vain to find in India."

Three days later, on the 7th of February, the briefest possible note informed the Resident that the King's resolution not to sign the treaty remained unaltered. Immediately on receipt of this ultimatum, the charge of the city and various departments of State was formally assumed; the civil officers who had been appointed to the Oudh Commission were despatched to their several divisions and districts under military escort; and the annexation of Oudh was an accomplished fact. This was the last important event of Lord Dalhousie's administration, and before the end of the month he had given place to Lord Canning.

Two days before this the King had issued a proclamation to all civil and military officials, and to his subjects generally, enjoining them to make no resistance to the British officers who were about to assume the administration; and prohibiting everyone from making any attempt to accompany or follow him when he quitted Lucknow for Calcutta, and Calcutta for England—his intention being to bring his case in person before the Governor-General, and to intercede with the Queen.

General Outram, who from the 7th of February became Chief Commissioner of Oudh, having commented to the Residency Vakil on the latter part of this proclamation, the King wrote to explain that his reason for issuing it was that "ever since his subjects have heard of the new arrangements they have altogether abstained from food, and have never ceased to lament and wail; hence, if immense multitudes of His Majesty's subjects should forsake the country to accompany the King, there would be a great loss to the collection of the revenue; therefore, the King deemed it necessary to forbid them."

Whether this was a mere flourish, or whether the King really believed that his own departure would be followed by a general exedus of his subjects, it is difficult to say. Probably he, in all good faith, believed himself to be a very estimable monarch. There had always been plenty of people to tell him so, and no one but a Resident, and occasionally a

Governor-General, to convey a hint to the contrary. By Lord Hardinge and Colonel Sleeman he had certainly been addressed in as plain and unflattering terms as it often falls to the lot of an Oriental prince to listen to, but the idea does not seem to have ever penetrated his mind that he had duties to perform toward his people, or that the British Government could have any ground for complaint against him so long as its own immediate interests were consulted, and its own requirements obeyed. On behalf of his view of the case it may at least be said that it would probably recommend itself to a large majority of Assatic minds I remember on one occusion discussing the subject of the annexation of Oudh with a well-to-do zamindár, a man perfectly well affected to English rule, whose father, moreover, had been put to flight, and his estate harried and laid waste by Raghbar Dyal, the infamous Nazim of Gonda-Bahraich, so recently as 1817 "Why," he asked, "had the Sarlar deposed Nawah Wajid Ali? He was a poor meel creature, a humble servant and tabidar, or follower, of the British What had he done to be so summarily wiped out?" And it appeared to be quite a new light to him to be told that the misrule and disorder of Oudh had become more than the British Government could tolerate If this is the point of view of one who was a severe sufferer from the ex-King's administration, and who gained immensely by its subversion, it is to be feared that the judgment of those who suffered and gamed less than he will hardly be more favourable. The question of whether the masses of the people of Oudh, in whose interest we believed ourselves to be acting, really desired to be incorporated with the Company's dominions, seems scarcely to have been raised at all in the course of the discussion The question was certainly one to which, in the nature of things, it would have been hard to find means of furnishing a trustworthy answer. But it seems highly probable that the result of a perfectly honest plebiscile, could such by any possibility have been taken, would not have been in favour of the course adopted That the people were generally grossly misgoverned, and often cruelly oppressed, there can be no doubt. But had they been allowed a choice, it is almost

certain that they would have chosen still to bear the ills they knew rather than to be brought under the rigid, irresistible action of a bureaucracy of foreigners, whose ways were not as their ways, whose principles and motives were generally beyond their comprehension, and whose laws and regulations, though not intentionally unjust, appeared to be hemmed in by every species of pitfall and mantrap, from which a plain man, however innocent and well-meaning, could hardly hope to escape.

However, if our policy in India is not to be determined by the soundest judgments we can ourselves form, rather than by the unenlightened notions of the masses of our native fellow citizens, we have no raison d'etre in the country. The set of native opinion must always be one of the main elements to be considered in all problems of Indian politics, yet it should not be so far the paramount consideration as to be allowed to over-ride the distinct behasts of a higher law where such can be discerned. And the question of the annexation of Oudh seems to be a case in point. ficult to rise from a study of the Blue Book of 1856, without feeling that the motives which led to the adoption of that measure were not mere vulgar last of conquest, or mere greed of pecuniary gain. There can be no doubt that Lord Dalhousie, and the Members of his Council, and General Ontram, were, one and all, firmly convinced that by assuming the administration of Oudh, they were acting in the interests of lumanity, and conferring a great blessing on several millions of people. And they were certainly right in their belief that the misrale and oppression prevailing in the province were Their confidence, or at least the confidence of Lord Dalhousie, in the positive excellence of English methods, and in the beneficent effects of English rule on the populations subject to it, was, no less certainly, exaggerated. But, having that confidence, they would smely have been neglecting their duty had they omitted to act on it when they had the power, or allowed regard for the feelings of a King like Wajid Ali Shah to outweigh what they believed to be the welfare of millions. The true test by which the policy of the annexation of Gudh must be judged is, whether the

people were, to a considerable degree, more likely to presper, people were, to a considerable degree, more usery to prosper, and to russ in the scale of envisation, under British than and to rese in the sour of crimsaton, under any practically attainable form of native rule. under any practically attainable form of marite rule.
Whether they actually have thus ruses and prospered, is a 176 Whether they actually have thus roses and prospected, is a question of fact, on which there may be, and are, differences question of tact, on which there may be, and are, differences of opinion But if the iben Government of India firmly of opinion . or opmon fur it the then torenmen of these army and housely believed, as it unquestionally did, that the only and honesty bullered, as it infigurationally did, that in ho only affective means of securing 5 balevable government to the befole of Orly seas to assume the symmatrication of the bio-concerns means of securing a toterane generalized by the bio-

propie of Juna was to assume the amministration of the funce, then were they more than justified in assuming it nes, com nere cosy more com pastured in assuming it. Human mottres are seklom wholly monited, and it may Human monres are senton wholly memused, and it may perhaps, embour uncharitableness, by doubled whether the permans, manout uncoarmanteness, be doubted whether the relative would not have been greater than it returnation to interfere would not have been greater than it was, had Judh been a country from which no surplus recentle could be derived. It may be respected that Colonel Sign could be derived it may be regretted that Colonel nich-ment's adress was not followed, and breation reduced to the mone acrees was not journed, and manipal founced to the lived of extenditure within the province. In a letter to Sir herel of exponditure within the Frontiers on the 19th of October James Hoggs, dated from Lucknow, on the 19th of October

one wrote an isotopia advantage of the occusion to anniz or Were we to take advantage of the occasion to anne in India confused Quals, or any Part of the our good name in India. connecte truch or any part of the our good name in Judia would inertiably smiles, and that good name is more value. 1852, he wrote as follows would inertially source, and that good mine is more failth.

We are now looked in the a dozen of Oodke. We are now looked in the a dozen of Oodke. We are now looked in the statement of the stat ague to us than a govern of thumbs. We are now looked us to throughout Indianas the only supartial artificators that it to throughout index as the only impartual entirename mater people scherally have ever had people generally note ever and and strong to time to the so looked up to we must begin to task ease to be so looked up to we

cense to we so sowed up to, we must origin to sink suffered from our conduct in Sinals; but that was a cont authered from our conduct in Single) for the rest of India by distant and titue gnown, and timed to the res of should be few title of sympathy. Our conduct towards I was preceded tew use of agraphing. Our conducts towards it was preceded by wars and convulsions around, and in its annexation there py wars and convutances around, and in its amendation therefore no in the problem with the problem of the probl yos nothing manufestry delibertie
11 will be otherwise avant.
Onath. Here the grant's strength is manufest, and we cannot Onds. Here the genra, suthout suffering in the stimution of "ill."

Onds. Here the genra, strength is maintest, and we count if use 14 and a State without support and to the support support and the support our rectuons was the thick middle and above taking advanshow ourselves to be high-minute, and above using auran-tage of its prooffalls recharge, by appropriating its revenue tage of its presented wearness, of appropriating its creature of self-interest to the benefit of the people and royal family of reluminity to the benefit of the people and royal family of Only. Me should soon may be it the finest girles in Imits. with the people happy, prosperous, and attached to our rule."

The course of our relations with Oudh was not destined to follow the path here sketched out for it, and the garden of India has, for good or evil, become a part, and perhaps the most heavily taxed part, of the British Indian Empire. All wishes that it had been otherwise, and speculations as to what might have been, are now fruitless; and any scheme for reversing the current of events must be regarded much as would be a proposal to restore the Heptarchy, and relegated to the region of "lunar polities." But this much, at least, may be fairly asserted, that the province from which we have taken so much, and to which we have hitherto given so little, has a historic claim to exceptionally liberal treatment; and that, should it ever come to be generally acknowledged that only by a considerable pecuniary sacrifice can she be raised from her present poverty-stricken condition, that sacrifice ought not to be refused.

Note.—It was not until this chapter was in type that I had the advantage of seeing Major Evans Bell's "Retrospects and Prospects of Indian Policy." The article on "Ondh" in that work appears to demonstrate that the treaty of 1837 had never been abrogated as a whole; that its provisions for administration by British officers on behalf of the King still held good and should have been acted on; and that reform, without annexation, was practicable and should have been nimed at.

CHAPTER VI.

pifteen months of zami'ndari' policy [1856–1857].

"Strand du parej," says Lamennais, "le présent est must sur Tevenin," and it is practically impossible to understand the present, or to deries schemes for modifying the future condition of Oudh, without a general acquaintance with the more salient features and more important issues of the controversies regarding the hard questions of the province, which have filled so many Blue Books and excited so much acrimony during the last twenty years or more. In this chapter and the next is will be attempted to give something like a connected view of the course of the discussions which since annestration, have been earrised on concerning the night in the soil of Talundárs, zamíndárs, under-proprietors, and cultivators.

The modern history of Oudh may be said to begin with a the deposition of Wijik Ali Shih, and its formation into a Chief Commissionership under Sir James Outram. The first phase of this modern history lasted little more than fifteen months, during which the administration was carried on upon the lines land down in the Government of India's letter of the 4th of February 1856. This very able State paper is an excellent embodiment of all that was best in the system of political philosophy preached and practical by

Lord Dalhousie, and was the logical outcome of his famous annexation minute of the 18th of June 1855, which has been referred to in the preceding chapter. Probably no bureaueracy, certainly no bureaueracy of foreigners, ever had the good of the people so sincerely at heart as that over which Lord Dalhousic presided. All that was noblest and most vigorous in the spirit of the English Liberalism of the day breathes through the minutes of the Governor-General, and of the ablest Member of his Council, Mr. John Peter Grant. The instructions of the 4th of February might have been written by Bentham himself in his least unimaginative mood, so clearly do they insist on the popular welfare as the one aim to be stendily kept in view, so determined are they that "everybody shall count as one, and nobody as more than one," so confident of the justice and reasonableness of the policy which dietated them.

They directed the Chief Commissioner to proceed to the formation of a summary settlement of the land revenue, to bo made "village by village with the parties actually in possession, but without any recognition, either formal or indirect, of their proprietary right." It was declared "as a leading principle, that the desire and intention of the Government is to deal with the netual occupants of the soil, that is, with the village zamindars or with the proprietary copareenaries which are believed to exist in Oudli, and not suffer the interposition of middlemen, such as Talugdars, farmers of the revenue, and such like," whose claims, "if they have any tenable claims," might be more conveniently considered at a future period. "The tenures being identical, the existence of coparcenary communities of village proprietors being certain, and the nature of the country, as well as the agricultural usages of the people, being similar, the system of village settlements in the N.W. Provinces," as laid down in the Directions to Settlement Officers, "should unquestionably be adopted."

Lord Dalhousie's trumpet, as Sir John Kaye might have said, gave no uncertain sound.

With regard to rent-free grants, it was laid down that, though such grants were to be generally maintained, "the

Government revenue should be assessed on each village or tract which constitutes a separate tenner, so that the holder, if his tenure be maintained, may not have it in his power to rack-rent his tenants, or derive more from the land than would be taken by the Government whose place he will occupy." It is to be feared that Lord Dalbousie had not clearly grasped the great doctrine of non-interference between landford and tenant, which has since been worked out in Oudh with such happy results as are now appeared.

It is customary to represent this first Summary Settlement as having been made with the village proprietors to the exclusion of Taluqdárs How far removed this notion is from the truth, may be gathered from the fact that out of 23,543 villages included in taluque at the close of native rule, 13,640, paying a revenue of Rs 35,06,519, were settled with Taluqdars in 1856, while 9,903 villages, paying Rs. 32,08,819, were settled with persons other than Taluqdara The barons of Oudh thus retained considerably more than half the villages included in their talugas, which, considering the state of society during the last forty-two years of the Nawabi, from the death of S'adat Alı in 1814, was probably quite as much as they were entitled to Individuals may have received hard measure, but such cases were exceptional, and were chiefly confined to parts of Fuzahad and Sultanpur. The general rule was that where village proprietors were found, the settle-ment was made with them, where there were none, it was made with the Taluqdar. Much has been made by opponents of the peasant proprietary system out of the case of Mahá-rája Mán Singh, who is said to have been deprived of all his villages but three. But of this, even if he had not been, as he was, a defaulter to the King's collectors at the time of annexation, the history of the Mahdona estate affords ample explanation. It was got together "by fraudulent and ex-torted bainamas," to quote Mr Gubbins, which "were treated at their proper worth and generally rejected." The writer remembers alluding to these celebrated three villages to which Man Singh's estate was reduced, while in conversation with a Talaqdar's agent, living in quite another part of Oudh, and who could have had no norsonal prejudice against

him, and his comment was that if Mán Singh was left with three villages, it was three more than he was entitled to. This was doubtless an exaggeration, but not insignificant as illustrating the tendency of native opinion. There is, moreover, every ground to believe that cases of real injustice, where they occurred, would have been considered and redressed before any regular settlement was concluded. The Government of India, however, after reoccupation .-

"marked this oversight, And then mistook reverse of wrong for right."

The official view of the policy of the first Summary Settlement may perhaps be taken to be that indicated in the "Introduction to the Oudh Gazetteer." There we read that "our first essay in administration was based on ignorance and ended in disaster. The officers who were entrusted with the all-important work of settling the land revenue had been imbued with the principles of the so-called Thomasonian school "-Mr. Wingfield, for example, the Commissioner of the Bahraich Division-"and shared the prejudices of the only native society with which they had been personally acquainted, that of the Court"—a description which can scarcely have been intended to apply to the Financial Commissioner, Mr. Martin Gubbins. "The first told them that the village communities were the only element in the country which deserved to be maintained; the second, that the Taluqdars were a set of grasping interlopers, in arms against the officials, and tyrants to the people, whose sole object was to defraud Government of its revenue. The result was that orders were issued to disregard them wherever it was possible, and to take the engagements everywhere from the geoman classes. In fact, the policy which Lucknow had for so many years been endeavouring to put in practice, was to be carried out at once by main force. The instructions were well acted up to. The chieftains were stripped of nearly all their villages, and a settlement made in which they were entirely left out of consideration."

Of the accuracy of the passages italicized, the figures already given, showing that, out of 23,548 villages held by Taluquars at annexation, no less than 13,640 were settled

with them in 1856-57, are sufficient comment. As for the assertion that our officers forcibly carried out the policy which the Lucknow Court would have executed if it had had the power, it is enough to remark that the Court had always asserted a claim to the whole rental of the soil, while our officers limited the revenue demand to just one-half of that rental, as nearly as they could ascertain it. The King of Oudh's officials had no abstract passion for village proprietors, and only objected to Taluguars because they absorbed a larger proportion of the rental than petty zaminders. Our officers, on the other hand, assessed Taluqdars and ramindars alike at half assets. Moreover, the great extension of the Taluquari system during the forty years preceding annexation had been the direct result of the measures adopted by the Názims and Chakladára. This being so, it is surely misleading to say that the Lucknow Darbar would, if it had had the power, have made revenue arrangements bearing any real resemblance to those of the first Summary Sattlement. It is really surprising that such a statement should have received the imprimatur of a Local Government, the head of which was Secretary to the Chief Commissioners of Oudh. under whom that settlement was carried out.

For a statement of the other side of the case, a passage may be quoted from Mr. Gubbins' account of the Mutineles in Oudh, and readers may be left to decide for themselves which has the truering, and which indicates the most fitting policy for a Government whose professed raison delire was the redress of popular suffering and oppression.

"There are those," writes Mr. Gobbins, "who take the part of the Tainquifer, who, muled by appearance, think that they should have been left in undisturbed possession of their blood-stained spoils, and that justice abould have been rotused to the long-expectant villagers. So, however, tid, not rule the Government of India, pressded over by Lord Dalhousie. And surely, if no redesse was to be granted, and no wrong to be repaired, to what end was our mission in Oudh, and what business had we in the country? So long as the native Government remained, referes was most hopeless. No tenure was a fitty, and a Taluqdis who prossessed

himself of a county to-day, might be driven from every village to-morrow. Such was not the case, however, under British rule. A title once declared and recognised was as immutable as the Government itself. And the admission of the title of the Taluqdár by a British court would have been the consummation of his fraud, would have stereotyped his usurpation! As a rule, the right of the villagers to recover their own was admitted."

Of the course run by the Mutiny in Oudh, no account can be attempted here. The story has been told in ample detail by Mr. Gubbins, who of the events he related pars magna fuit, and by other writers whose works are easily accessible. The events, moreover, are so well known to all who take any interest in the subject, that a mere sketch in outline could possess neither use nor interest even for the most "general" reader; while anything more than a sketch would be out of the question. Suffice it, therefore, to say that the Mutiny broke out at Lucknow on the 30th of May 1857, and that by the 10th of June all the out-stations were lost to us, and the civil officers and their families were either massacred or fugitives. A year later, Sir Robert Montgomery, then Chief Commissioner, found himself with a staff of officers, but no province to govern. He called on Taluadars to come in, and two-thirds of them obeyed the call by the end of the year. When the cold weather of 1858 began the country was comparatively tranquil, though fighting went on in the Tarai for some months longer.

The author of the Introduction to the "Oudh Gazetteer" follows the example of previous apologists of the Taluqdárí system in laying stress on the re-assertion of their influence by the barons during the mutiny, from which he concludes that "one thing at least had been made evident, that policy and justice alike forbade their being overlooked in the new settlement which the pacification of the province new situated." How far it is correct to say that they had been "overlooked" at the first Summary Settlement has been already pointed out.

Lord Canning's view of the case is contained in his letter of the 6th of October 1858, addressed to Sir Robert Mont-

gomery, as Chief Commissioner, which sounded the knell of the ramindari system. Arguing that the conduct of the petty proprietors, both in the N W. Provinces and in Oudh, almost amounted to "an admission that their own rights, whatever these may be, are subordinate to those of the whatever these may be, are superimize to those of the Taluqdárs, that they do not value the recognition of those (their own) rights by the ruling authority; and that the Taluqdárí system is the ancient, indigenous, and cherished system of the country"; and that though it might be true, as Sir James Outram had stated, that the zamíndárs had not influence and weight enough to assist us, yet they had nititence and weight enough to assist us, yet her nea numbers, and, if they valued their restored rights, night have given us active aid, Lord Canning declared that:— "On these grounds, as well as because the Taluqdárs, if

they will, can materially assist us in the re-establishment of our authority, and the restoration of tranquility, the Governor-General has determined that a taluqdári settlement covernor-veneral has determined that a samquari settlement shall be made. His lordship desires that it shall be framed so as to secure the village occupants from extortion," and that the tenures should be declared to be "contingent on a certain specified service to be rendered."

Now, how far, if at all, were Lord Canning's conclusions correct? That they were sincere, no one will for a moment question

question. The facts were that during the Mutiny the villagers for the most part remained passive. Some joined the revolted Taluqdárs, but the large majority stayed quietly in their villages. Of all the European fugitives betrayed and butchered, two only, Mr. Block and Mr. Stroyan, were betrayed by the treachery of a zamfadir, Yasín Khán of Sultianpur. For the fate of the rest, Taluqdárs were respon-Sultanpur. For the late of the rest, taluquers were respon-sible. Many of the refugees received assistance from villagers in making their way to Lucknow, without which they could never have escaped But quite apart from such

[.] In June 1857, Mr. Wangfield wrote that "confidence in our power was fast departing; and samindars who had recovered their rillages from Tulugdirs at settlement were sersting to propulate the latter, or making preparations for flight."

instances of good will to ourselves among the peasantry, no proof that they were ill-affected to our rule, earcless of their own rights, or well-affected to the rebel barons, can be found in the undoubted fact that they did not lend us active assistance. As Sir Charles Wood pointed out in his despatch of the 24th of April 1860, the mass of the mutineers were kinsmen and co-religionists of the petty zamindars. There were some sixty thousand Bráhmans and Rájpúts from Oudh in the Company's army, most of whom were in revolt, and it is surely not surprising that the fathers and brothers of these men did not take up arms against them. Their attachment to our rule must indeed have grown with marvellous rapidity, if, after only fifteen months' experience of it, they had cast aside all ties of blood and religion to come to our assistance. The Taluquars had forts and cannon. It was surely not strange that those of the zamindárs whose disaffection towards ourselves, i.e. whose sympathy with their own brethren, was sufficiently strong to drive them into action, should have joined the revolted chiefs without whose assistance they could have done little or nothing. The utmost inference that can logically be deduced from their conduct is that their dislike of the great landholders who had oppressed them was not, in some justances, strong enough to prevent their taking part with them, in the cause of their own relatives, fighting what they believed to be the battle of their own religion, against an alien and newly imposed power. This reems a somewhat fragile moral foundation for the weighty edifice of Talugdárí policy which Lord Canning proceeded to construct upon it.

The fact is that the zamindárs were in an exceedingly perplexing situation. All around them was raging a war in which their kinsmen were slaying or being slain by a race of foreign conquerors, of alien blood, creed, and colour, whose rule had been imposed upon the province for little more than a year, and whose claim to govern rested on that very superiority of civilisation, and of civilisation of a wholly different type, which rendered their aims and measures generally incomprehensible and mysterious, and sympathy with them well-nigh impossible. All around them, too, were feudal

chieftams, who had indeed deprived many of them of great part of the rights in the coil that had once been theirs, but who were still their countrymen, whose motives they could understand, who had often ralked them to resist the aggressions of Náims and Chakhadirs, who were fighting the battle of their brethren and their fauth, and with whose assistance and leadership alone it was possible for them to take any effective part in the struggle. The antagonism between younan and landlord was still there; but in the intoxinating whirl of the moment it was thrown temporarily into the background.

To set against all the ties which bound the ramindars to the barons, the British Government had only two claims to their ellegiance, that it could put an end to the disorder and misrule of the Nawabi, and that it had in a great measure restored them to the rights in the soil of which that disorder and misrule had led to their being deprived. These were solid and substantial reasons, no doubt, for throwing in their lot with ourselves, and the latter, at all events, they fully appreciated. But it is just this sort of solid and substantial reason which in time of revolution so often scoms to lose its weight, and to be outbalanced by consideratione which eppeal to imagination and the passions So it was in the present instance, and the gram old proverb, Quem Deus bult perders prius dementat, received one more crim illustration. The large majority of the zamindars were passive, but a minority, sufficient under the circumstances to pass for the whole, yielded to the solicitations of the rebel Talundars, and thus runed the prospects of the peasant proprietors as a body, bringing all, active and inactive alike, under the same condemnation.

The effect of this identification of themselves, such as it was, by the peasantry with the Talaqdárs, was of course conromously to strengthen the hands of the official party who desired to mauntain and extend the Talaqdárs system, and proportionally to weaken the advocates of a settlement made with the rulinge ramfindárs. This unnatural alliance readered the estinction of the Mutiny, by any other means than that of offering their own terms to the Talaqdárs, a task of

so much difficulty and danger, that it can hardly be a matter of wonder that those terms were offered. It is impossible now not to regret that we did not persevere, and save the people in spite of themselves, even by the slow, costly, and vexatious process of destroying fort after fort until the revolt was stamped out. But though it may be matter of regret, the policy adopted can hardly be matter of wonder. The Summary Settlement of 1856 to 1857 made with the village communities, to the exclusion in many cases, as has been seen, of the usurping landlords, was doubtless one of the main reasons of the discontent of the latter, which, combined with the disaffection of the native army, so largely recruited from Oudh, culminated in the Mutiny. [It should be remembered, however, that in no part of the province had annexation produced less change in the status quo than in the trans-Ghaghra districts of Gonda and Bahraich, where very few villages were lost by the barons. Yet in no part of Oudh did the latter join more readily in the revolt.] It was then that so many villagers whom the Summary Settlement had recognised as independent landholders, cut their own throats by joining the Talugdárs by whom their lands had previously been absorbed, thus putting at the service of the upholders of the Taluqdarí system an argument which, under the circumstances, was so nearly irresistible that one cannot be surprised at its success.

"The people," it was argued, "evidently regard the Taluquars as their natural leaders. Why, then, should we go out of our way to force on them a more democratic system for which their own conduct shows them to be unfitted? Let us make terms with the Taluquars, and the country will be pacified."

Military considerations, also, tended to make these counsels prevail. Besieging numberless petty forts in the hot weather, losing men at every one, is about as inglorious and heart-breaking a task as can well be proposed to a general, and it is not surprising that Lord Clyde hesitated to undertake it. The work, if attempted, would probably have cost many lives, and have prolonged the disturbances in Oudh for another year, and though the teaching of subsequent events.

may make us bitterly regret the course adopted, we can hardly blame the men of the time for acting as they did.

It was determined, then, to pacify the Taluqdárs by allowing them to engage for the payment of the Government revenue of all villages included in their faluqua at the time of annexation in 1856, and on this principle the second Summary Settlement, which followed the Mutiny, was based. Thus, to borrow a phrase from Mr. Matthew Arnold, the people of Outh re-cutreed the prison of faudahism whene for a brief space they had emerged, and the key was turned upon them for a period of which the end is not yet.

Of this policy it is usual to speak of Lord Canning as the author. How far the scheme was his own it is difficult to determine. Six James Outran, indeed, contemplated the necessity of recognising the Taluqdárs more fully than had been done prior to the Minny, but for a time only, and under strict limitations on their power over subordinate occupants. In January 1858 he had addressed a memorandum to the Government of India, which deals principly with questions of general administration, but contains the following masages relating to the subject unhad:—

Sir James was not one of the "picked men of a picked service," and his notions of had revenue policy would very hiely be considered somewhat crude by a modern settlement officer; but one may be permitted to think that he had got hold of one or two sound notions on the subject, notwithstanding.

And now the writer ventures to hope that he has made the motives and aims of the three main actors in the drama, the Taluquárs and the yeomen during the Mutiny, and our own administrators before and after it, fairly intelligible to readers who have little or no previous acquaintance with this period of Ondh history. Those who have made of it a special study will, he trusts, find much that is true, if little that is new, in what has been advanced. The yeoman was in a puzzling predicament, and might, if he had ever read King John, have exclaimed with Blanche—

"Whoever wins, on that side shall I lose, Assured loss before the match be played."

His choice was not a wise one for his own material interests. but the mistake has, perhaps, been sufficiently expiated by a penalty which has been already prolonged over more than twenty years. Lord Canning doubtless believed sincerely in the policy which he initiated, and ex post facto wisdom is proverbially cheap; but for a right comprehension of the subject it should never be forgotten that that policy was a pis aller, and of the Taluqdárs it may be fairly said that—

> "In a rebellion When what's not meet, but what must be, was law, Then were they chosen."

Lord Canning went so far as to assert that the Taluqdárí system was the "ancient, indigenous, and cherished system of the country." It would doubtless be an exaggeration to describe it as "the modern, extraneous, and detested system imposed upon the country by the exactions of the British, and by the fiscal necessities and incapacity of the native government," but it would not be hard to demonstrate that such a definition would be at least as little incorrect as that adopted by Lord Cauning. Still, though it is easy, after the event, to perceive that "confusion's cure lay not in these confusions," and that the attempt to maintain fendalism. without the sentiment and the customs which can alone make fendalism tolerable, was a deplorable mistake, yet it would be unjust to forget that there was much in the circumstances of the time to make the course determined on seem specious and plausible. Even by readers who sincerely dislike the political morality which pervades the greater part of Mr.

Froude's "English in Ireland," no apology will be feit necessary for the following extract:-

"The forces which govern the evolution of human society are so complex that the wisest statesman may misread them. The highest political sugarcty, though controlled by conscience and directed by the purest motaves, may yet select a policy which, in the light of after history, shall seem hite madness. The 'event' may teach the inadequacy of the intellect to compass the problems which at tunes present themselves for solution. The 'event' alone will not justify severe historical consum where a ruler has endeavoured seriously to do what, in the light of such knowledge as he possessed, appeared at the moment most equitable." Lord Cannung need not be condenned for yielding to "arguments at the moment unanswerable, which later history has too effectually answerable,

CHAPTER VII.

TEN YEARS OF TALUQDA'RI' POLICY [1858-1868].

THE profound change of tone and altered standpoint which have marked the policy of the Government of India since the Mutiny, could hardly be more strikingly illustrated than by a comparison of the instructions addressed to Sir James Outram on the 4th of February 1856 with those issued to Sir Robert Montgomery on the 6th of October 1858. judgment which the reader may pass upon their respective merits is likely to depend to some extent on the opinion which he holds as to the true aims and duties of the English in India. The object of Lord Dalhousie's Government was to benefit the masses with a lofty disregard of the impression which by so doing they might produce upon the native aristocracy. And to this end they sought to put themselves into direct contact with the people, "with no miserowned man's head" between them. They were resolved, in short, that "everybody should count as one, and nobody as more than one."

When we turn to Lord Canning's instructions of the 6th of October, everything is changed. Not the good of the masses, but, as a writer in the "Calcutta Review" of September 1860 approvingly puts it, "to hold the Eastern Empire with the least strain on the population and finances

of Great Britain is the problem of Indian Government." Popular veiliare has retired into the background, and its place is taken by the "urgent necessity of pacifying the country." The Taluquists, who for Lord Dalhouse had been more middlemen, had for Lord Caming become an "ancient, indigenous and cheriabed" institution of the country, with whom the settlement was to be made wherever they were found to crist. It was even declared that, prior to annexation, "utilize occupancy, independent and free from subordination to Taluqdists, had been unknown" in Ondh, an assection so monstrous, and so chronisty and netoroutly incorrect, that one is at a loss to understand how it can have found it way into an elaborate State paper. Lord Canning, however, had the scenuse that he was writing companitively in the dark and after a creat crisis.

Whatever may be thought of the process by which he arrived at it, his conclusion was that "these village occupants, as such, deserve little consideration from us." He argued that they had behaved as if regardless of their own rights, and ungrateful to the British Government for maintaining them; and that if they had not considered them-selves as wholly subordinate to the Taluqdárs, they would certainly have afforded us active assistance in resisting them when they went into rebellion "On these grounds," of which one was an entire misconception of fact, and the other a false inference, "as well as because the Toluquárs, if they will, can materially aid us in the re-establishment of our authority and the restoration of tranquillity," it was determined that a Taluqdárí settlement, "so framed as to secure the village occupants from extertion," should be made. This settlement was begun without any expectation that it would be final, was crowded into six months, and then declared prevocable as regards the superior proprietary right. There can be no doubt that mistakes wers made, and that villages were decreed to Talugdárs of which they had not been in nossession at annexation, and even, in some cases, of which they had not been in possession for some years previously. This, however, is somewhat anticipating the course of events, and it must be admitted, in justice to Lord Canning, that it

in this respect he went beyond the advice of Sir James Outrain's farewell inemorandum of the 29th of January 1858, yet in others he did much to mitigate the spirit of blood and iron which pervaded its counsels. Sir James recommended the exclusion of natives from judicial employ; that there should be no appeal in criminal eases; that the native bar and native amlah or office establishment should be abolished, and the place of the latter supplied by "respectable European serjeants"; that any one found in possession of arms after one mouth from the issue of a proelamation for their surrender should be put to death; and that the lash should as far as possible be resorted to as a means of punishment, the number of lashes, up to two hundred, "to be determined only by the pulse of the offender under the fingers of the civil surgeon." He paid what was perhaps an unintentional compliment to civilians by "carnestly requesting" that the officers appointed to carry out the system which he advocated might be selected "principally from the military services." Without any desire to eavil at the utterances of one who was undoubtedly a great and good man, one cannot but remark the spirit of severity, almost ferocity, which inspires this momorandum, as an instructive illustration of the extent to which even a great and good man may be overpowered by reactionary impulses. If such was the effect of the Mutiny upon Outram, what was to be expected of lesser men, when armed, as was, ex oficio, every Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner in Oudh up to the beginning of 1859, with irresponsible power of life and death? The system of administration imposed upon Oudh after reoccupation was the outcome of a deadly and ferocious struggle between alien races. It is not in a crisis such as that of the Mutiny, or by men "fresh from war's plarms," that schemes of broad, humane, and far-seeing statesmanship are likely to be conceived. The change which since 1857 has come over the attitude of the Government of India towards its subjects has been perhaps more marked in Oulh than in any other province of the Empire. Everywhere is discernible the tendency to treat the native aristocracy as a species of breakwater between ourselves and the masses,

and to subordinate to them the interests of the latter. But more especially has this been the case in Oudh. The province was made over to the advocates of fendalism as a subject on which they were at liberty to work their will, and try what excument there chose

It must be admitted that the Dalhousie policy had the defects of its qualities, and the policy of Lord Canning the qualities of its defects. The North-West Provinces Collector, brought up in the school of Bird and Thomason, was doubtless prone to treat the native gentleman with scant ceremony, and in this respect it may be gladly conceded that his successor of the present day is more commendable than he Insufficient regard was, no doubt, often shown both to the feelings and the vested rights of the chieftain, who was apt to be mistaken for the mere revenue confractor, and not unfrequently suffered by the confusion But all this to the contrary notwithstanding at must still be maintained that the Dalhouse-Thomason doctrine contained in it the root of the matter, that the welfare and independence of thousands are of more intrinsic importance than the feelings and privileges of individuals. It is to be regretted that natives of rank were not always treated with due deference by the Thomasonian school, and that in some cases their claim to encage for the revenue was not admitted in villages where it was well-founded But these, after all, are lesser evils than that millions who might have been raised into pensant proprietors should be degraded to tenants at will; than that robbers of the worst type should be sanctioned and upheld by a civilised government, than that the statute book should

cause of the poor and of hum that hath no helper, we have no right to be here at all Therefore, while but too gladly admitting that in many respects we have advanced much during the last twenty years, in consultatornies, in thoroughness, in mastery of details, in systematic method, in laborousness, in the desire to do things elaborately; it must

still be maintained that in the broad scope and tendency of our policy and aims, we have retrogressed and fallen short of our fathers who were before us: that we have not shown their straightforward, downright courage, their single-minded, fervent resolve to rule for the good of the people, and for the good of individuals only so far as they were a part and portion of the people. The first aim of the State, the great aim of all social and political arrangements whatever, should be to secure as far as possible an equal chance of success, moral and material, to all, and the highest possible average chance of success to each of its subjects; while the policy of the Government of India since 1857, in Oudh, at any rate, has been in fayour of territorial aristocracy and landlordism, and, generally, of giving more to him that bath abundance. It is very well, no doubt, to conciliate native gentlemen, and, indeed, natives of every rank and degree, by courteous treatment, and to respect their vested rights of property. But "'tis not very well, nay," with Roderigo, I "think it is seurvy," to conciliate them by the sacrifice of the security and independence of an entire peasantry, and by the maintenance of "rights" acquired by usurpation, violence, and treachery. 'Aristocrat par mours, democrat par principes is a praiseworthy combination of method and object; but if we must choose between them, let us have the democratic principles, even without pristocratic manners. which characterised the policy of Lord Dalhousie, rather than the system of aristocratic manners, divorced from democratic principles, which the Government of India, "wise with the evnical wisdom of the Mutiny," has since his day inaugurated.

In March 1858 the Governor-General issued a proclamation declaring that with the exception of the six specially exempted estates of Balrámpur, Padnaba. Katiárí, Sisaindi, Gopál Khera, and Moráwan, the "proprietary right in the soil of the province is confiscated to the British Government, which will dispose of that right in such manner as to it may seem fitting." The owners of the six exempted estates were declared "sole hereditary proprietors of the lands which they held when Oudh came under British rule." One of these six, however, Padnaha, was afterwards confiscated, owing to subsequent discoveries regarding the conduct during the Mutiny of its owner Kulfáj Singh. This estate is now part of the Naiphl Tarki. Kulfáj Singh is dead, and his sons are in the service of the Naiphl Georemment.

Everyone knows how this proclamation was denounced by Mr. Bright in the House of Commons, in his great speech of the 20th of May 1858 It was supposed at the time that the measure was intended as a preliminary to the introduction of the never-to-be-sufficiently-inverghed-against "dead-level" system, under which, as the eloquent member for Birmingham put it, "the whole produce of the land of Ondh and of the industry of its people will be divided into two most unequal portions; the larger will go to the Government in the shape of tax, and the smaller share, which will he a handful of rice per day, will go to the cultivator of the soil Now this," continued Mr Bright, "is the Indian system It is the grand theory of the civilians, under whose advice, I very much fear, Lord Canning has unfortunately acted. . . . I believe that this proclamation sanctions this policy . . . It has been stated in the course of the debate that this sentence of confiscation refers only to certain un-pleasant persons who are called Talugdars, who are barons, and robber chiefs, and oppressors of the people. This is by no means the first time that after a great wrong has been committed, the wrong-doer has attempted to injure by calumny those upon whom the wrong has been inflicted."

Mr Bright, his most other people at that time, was still in ignorance of the use that it was intended to make of this preclamation. Perhaps, when he found out, he was not very much consoled. But it was certainly a currous instance of the mony of cromstance that the Outh Thiqdiers should have found their champion in the most strennous assailant of feudalman at home.

This confiscating proclamation was speechly followed by another, which called upon all Taluqdars to come into Lucknow, and to recove from the Chief Commissioner grants of the proprietary right in their respective talungs, as they had crusted in 1856. The terms thus offered were so extremely favourable as, probably, in some cases to defeat their own object. Not a few of the rebel chiefs were unable to believe in the promises held out to them, who might have risen to a hook baited with less suspicious liberality. The great majority, however, did come in, and the second summary settlement was promptly commenced. Its effect in modifying the previous allotment was, briefly, as follows:—

In 1856-57, as has already been remarked, out of 23,543

In 1856-57, as has already been remarked, out of 23,543 villages included in taluquas at annexation, 13,640 had been settled with Taluquars, and 9,903 with other persons. In 1858 to 1859, of these same villages, 22,637 were settled either with the same Taluquars or with loyal grantees, and only 906 with other persons, either as having been redeemed from mortgage, or in consequence of the conduct of the Taluquar during the Mutiny. A tabular statement furnished in June 1859 by Major L. Barrow, then Special Commissioner of Revenue, shows the total distribution of the soil of Oudh to be as follows:—

1. Talugdárí .	Villages. 23 157	paying		Revenue demand. Rs. 65,64,959
2. Zamíndárí.	7,201,	• • •	•	28,45,183
3. Pattidárí .	4,539,	**	•	18,19,214
Total .	34,897,	,,		1,12,29,356

It should be observed that, for the purposes of this classification, "zamindári" villages are those of which the proprietorship vests undivided in the hands of either a single individual or a coparcenary community; while "pattidári" villages are those in which the lands have been divided among the shareholders. Where all the lands have been thus divided, the village is said to be held in "perfect." where only a portion has been divided, the remainder being occupied jointly, it is said to be held in "imperfect." pattidári tenure. Zamindári villages are constantly becoming pattidári, as, in course of generations, the sharers become more numerous. But it rarely happens that a pattidári village becomes zamindári, except by sale, when a single owner, the purchaser, takes the place of the coparcenary body.

should also be noted that the number of separately demarcated villages now borne on the revenue records of the province is only 23,591, as many as 11,306 "nawhib" villages having, for convenience of survey, been doubled up with others

Out of the total land revenue of Rs. 1,12,29,856, about six lákhs was assessed on revenue free grants not resumable during that settlement, and the actual demand was, in round numbers, 104 likhs, of which, to quote the Secretary to the Government of India-Mr Beadon's-epitome of the situation, "77 lakhs have been settled as they were before annexation, and 14} liths with the persons recognised in the settlement of 1856 These latter are chiefly zamindári villages which were in wrongful possession of Kabzdárs and which were restored in 1856 to their rightful owners. The remaining 121 lakhs are from confiscated lands Under the old settlement, the Taluadárs engaged for only 35 lákhs of the entire revenue, they have now been admitted to engage for 62 lakhs," or, in other words, for more than threefifths, instead of for about one-third of the whole. This assessment was sanctioned by the Government of India "for three years certain, from the 1st of May 1858, or until a detailed settlement can be carried out in combination with the survey." In Gonda and Bahraich it was prolonged up to 1867, much to the advantage of the zamindars of those (then) very lightly assessed districts. The revenue demand of the last year of Wand Ah Shah's reign-the demand, be it observed, not the actual collections,-had been, in round numbers, 138 lakhs. The amount actually realised by Názums, Chaldadárs, and other officials was probably more; that credited to the King was certainly considerably less.

Other sources of revenue tapped by our administration pielded about 15 likhs, of which 8 were derived from salt, 5 from spirits and drugs, and 2 from statups. The total product of Oudh traction in the year 1858, was thus somewhat short of 120 likhs, or £1,200,000 sterling. At present, the land revenue yields somewhat less than a million and a half sterling, an increase of more than 40 per cent; at least £200,000 is derived from salt duty; while the income from

excise and stamps has risen from 5 and 2 lakhs, respectively, to not less than 7 and 9 lakhs.

The second Summary Settlement was completed before the middle of 1859, and everybody concerned in carrying it out was highly applauded by his official superior, in a graduated scale of laudation. The Assistants were praised by their Deputy Commissioners, the Deputy Commissioners by the Financial Commissioner, the Financial by the Chief Commissioner, and the Chief Commissioner by the Government of India. And so far, at least, as regards the rapidity with which the work was performed, the praise was doubtless well-deserved. "A down-hill reformation," as Dryden has told us, "rolls apace."

For some time everything went on smoothly, without any sign of the acrimonious controversies which were destined soon to break out. The most important external event was the cession to Naipal of a large strip of Tarai, as a reward for the services rendered by the Darbar under the guidance of Sir Jang Bahadur Singh, during the Mutiny. Vast quantities of valuable forest-not to speak of exquisite scenery -were thus transferred from ourselves who were, and are, in urgent need of both, to the Naipális, who had already an abundance of the latter, and have since been busily engaged in the transmutation of the former into cash. Two good results the cession undeniably seenres. As long as the Naipál Darbár holds this strip of Tarái, so long is all likelihood of border raids into our territories by the Gurkhins annihilated; it being obvious to the meanest capacity that this, probably the most paying district in their possession, could be resumed with the utmost case on any sufficient provocation. And, secondly, the Naipal Government thoroughly identifies itself with the welfare of its tenants, who hold land at very easy terms, with perfect scenrity of tenurs, and with no fear of being rack-rented in the interest of some needy landlord or greedy contractor, and in the name, falsely invoked, of political economy. The Naipal Tarai has therefore become, what it would not have been under British rule, a land of refuge for the cultivator whom exaction in our own territory has irritated to the belting point. The

existence of such a baren of security has some effect in keeping landlords from attempting, and tenants from subnitting to, extortionate enhancement. But this effect is scarcely appreciable beyond the three northern districts, where it is least needed, the fear of ferer, still more than the distance, preventing the cultirators of central and southern Ouds from availing themselves of the abundant waste lands and security from rack-renting which invite them across the Nairal border

In June 1859 Mr , afterwards Sir Charles, Wingfield, who had succeeded Sir Robert Montgomery as Chief Commissioner in May of the same year, reported to the Government of India that distrust in the permanence of the Talugdárí Settlement was widely diffused throughout all classes in Oudh, the Taluqdars fearing, and the village proprietors boping, that it was only a temporary arrangement, and that adoping that it was only a temporary arrangement, and that so soon as the province was finally pacified the former policy would be recreted to. Hence "a spirit of antagonism is kept alive. The Taluqdárs dread making any concession that may hereafter be construed into a recognition of the independent right of the village proprietors, and the latter will accept no benefit that may look like a renunciation of it." This uneasy feeling Mr. Wingfield wished to see set at rest by the formal accord by the Government of Iodia of its sanction to the finality or perpetuity, as regards proprietary right, of the second Summary Settlement Such sanction once accorded, he felt "no doubt of the complete success of the Talugdari Settlement"; and was unable to "see the use of giving the village proprietors hopes of a rehearing at next settlement; if, after having then ascertained what everyone knows already, that they are the rightful proprictors of the soil, we are to tell them our policy will not per-mit us to recognise their claims." The most noteworthy points in these extracts are two: first, that the tenacity with which Mr. Wingfield describes the village proprietors as clinging to their hopes of being settled with hereafter, is in strong contradiction to that carelessness of their rights and indepen-dence which Lord Canning in his letter of the 6th of October 1858, less than nine months previously, had usenfied to them ;

and, secondly, that on Mr. Wingfield's own showing, it was, in June 1859, a matter of general notoriety that the vittage zamindárs, wherever they were to be found, were, as opposed to the Talugdárs, "the rightful proprietors of the soil."

The first of these points earries its own comment with it. and need not be dilated on here. But the second is probably the most singular revelation to be found in the whole course of the voluminous Oudh land controversy. It is really startling, if the reader will but consider it. Here is a highly placed English officer, who for nearly seven years was Chief Commissioner of Oudh, and who during the whole of that time was the most strenuous upholder of the Taluadárí system, did his utmost to carry it to lengths which the Government of India had never contemplated, and was unwearied in his landation of that system on the ground that it secured the maintenance of cristing rights, admitting, actually admitting, within two months of assuming charge of his office, that it was universally known that the village zamindárs, and not the Taluadars, were the rightful proprietors of the soil, and plainly hinting that any inquiry to ascertain the truth of so notorious a fact would be little better than a farce! Such an assertion, coming from such a source, is indeed so surprising, that on first perusal of it one can but "gasp and stare," like Quintilian, and try to imagine that the words might have some other than their obvious meaning, or that Mr. Wingfield's purport might have been incorrectly expressed by his Secretary. But there can be no mistake. The sense of the words is perfectly plain, and in that plain sense they were quoted rerbatim more than once in the course of subsequent discussion, and were never, so far as appears from the Blue Books, retracted or explained by their author. If an imaginary parallel will help the reader to realize the full significance of such a confession, let him try to fancy Lord Beaconsfield stating in the House of Lords that the Turks had notoriously not a shadow of right to be at Constantinople, or M. Gambetta announcing in the Chamber of Deputies that the Comte de Chamberl was, beyond all possibility of doubt, the rightful ruler of France. Such assertions would scarcely be more out of keeping with the professed convictions of Lord Beaconsfield and M. Gambetta, than was the passage above italicised with those of Mr. Wingfield

The Government of India concurred with the Chief Commissioner in thinking it desirable to remove all doubts as to the fixity of the Talaqdárí Settlement, and in a letter (No. 6268) dated the 10th of October 1859, declared that "every Talaqdár with whom a Sumarry Settlement has been made since the occupation of the province, has thereby acquired a permanent, hereditary, and transferable right in the talaqa for which he was engaged, melnding the perpetual privilego of engaging with the Government for the revenue of the taluqa." This right, however, was conceded, secording to prangraph 2 of the letter, "subject to any measure which the Government of India may think proper to take for the purpose of protecting the unferner samind irs and sillage occupant from selection, and of upholding their rights in the soil in subordination to the Taluadskies."

The Chief Commissioner was directed to prepare alls of Taluqdárs who had thus acquired a permaent proprietary right, and to have in readiness seamads, running in his own name, to be distributed on the serviced in the Governor-flower of the serviced of the Covernor-flower of the Covernor-flower of the Covernor-flower of the Covernor-flower of the Indiana of the inferior proprietors, and encourage extravagant hopes of independence. It would always that Taluqdárs, and make them regard the gift of the proprietary right as a mockery and a delusson Moreover, it will place an engine in the hands of any future Chief Commissioner and Governor-flower in principle to Taluqdárs, which would enable them virtually to annul this settlement, and oust the Taluqdárs nertly as effectively as well as the contraction of the contraction of the contraction of the Commissioner and Governor-flower in principle to Taluqdárs, which would enable them virtually to annul this settlement, and oust the Taluqdárs nertly as effectivally as was done in 1850."

If Mr Wingfield's cynical candour had not already nearly exhausted all capacity for astomshment at his acts and utterances, it would perhaps be surprising to find that userybilities so delicate as to be "alarmed" at the reservation to itself by Government of the power—which, it need hardly be remarked, no Government can by any possibility morally consistent with its raison d'etre profess to abdicate,-of proteeting the cultivating community from extortion, and of upholding their rights in the soil, should have met with such ready sympathy from an English Chief Commissioner of Oudh. For the sake of the Liberal electors who have since those days had the honour of being represented in Parliament by Sir Charles Wingfield, one may hope that the old saw to the effect that those who cross the sea change their climate only, and not their minds, is not of universal application. But what really is surprising is that the Chief Commissioner's fears of the consequences to be apprehended from a declaration that the Government of India would not renounce its first duty towards its subjects, a duty for the nonfulfilment of which it had brought the native administration of Oudh to a violent end, should have been acknowledged to be well founded by a statesman of the calibre of Lord Canning. So it was, however, and this dangerous proviso was omitted from the sannads which were distributed by Lord Canning to 177 Taluquárs on the 25th of October 1859. The omission, however, has had no practical effect in limiting the power of the Government to interfere in any way it may think desirable; for the letter of the 10th of October 1859 has been declared to possess the force of law, and was appended as Schedule I. to Act I. of 1869, which declares and defines the legal status of the Talugdars. The proviso of paragraph 3 is, therefore, just as legally binding on the Taluqdurs as if it had been actually inserted in their cannade, and the controversy on the subject is only noteworthy as an illustration of the spirit by which the then Oudh administration was actuated.

The sannads, in the shape to which Mr. Wingfield succeeded in reducing them, conferred on the recipients "full proprietary right, title, and possession" of the estate therein specified, subject to the conditions of paying revenue, and showing all possible loyalty and rendering all possible assistance to the British Government, and concluded with the following words:—

"Another condition of this sunnad is, that you will, to

resources of your estate, and that whatever holders of subordinate rights may be under you, will be preserved in their former right. As long as you and your heirs mill, in good fatth, adhere to the conditions above mentioned, so long will the British Government uphold you and your heirs in the proprietary right of the sad estate."

Such was the form of samed conferred on such Taluqdárs as were restored to the estates which they had held at annexation. For logal grantees, a much shorter form was adopted, the only conditions of which were that the grantee and his heirs "shall pay to Government the revenue which will, from time to time, be fixed for that estate, and that he and his beirs shall continue at all times firm in their allegiance, and shall do good service to the Rrighth Government."

The condition which binds Talugdárs to uphold subordinate rights has not, in some instances, been strictly observed, some Taluquirs having done all in their power to smash under-proprietary tenures It must be admitted, however, that in such cases they have almost always received considerable provocation from the under-proprietors in the shape of persistent default, and have kept, at any rate, as a rule, on the windy side of the law. Even were it otherwise, the condition is one of the breach of which satisfactory proof, proof such as would justify so strugent a measure as the resumption of an estate, must, in the nature of things, be extremely difficult of attainment. Curcumstances, of course, are easily conceivable in which such conclusive proof of flagrant breach of this condition might be forthcoming as would not only justify, but should compel, enforcement of the penalty. A Taluqdar, for instance, if such a case may be imagined for the sake of illustration, who should be convicted of resort to systematic bribery and corruption, in order to procure the destruction or falsification of judicial records, decreeing under-proprietary rights in his estate, might be fitly deprived, on the strength of this condition of his sannad, of the powers and position which he would, by the supposition, have so grossly abused. But nothing short of adequate proof of conduct of this or of a similar nature would be at all likely to draw down the penalty of resumption, unless, indeed, the duties of property should come to be interpreted with a degree of strictness which, at present, there seems little ground for anticipating.

Of a very different degree of importance is the condition binding the Taluqdars to do all in their power to promote the agricultural resources of their estates. If it should come to be generally recognised as an unquestionable truth that there is one indispensable condition of agricultural prosperity, to confer which, consistently with the maintenance of his own position, is in the power of every Taluqdar in his own estate, and of the government in every village not included in a taluga, ample warrant would be made out for calling upon the Talugdars to follow the example of government, and confer the benefit so urgently needed. I believe it will one day be recognised that fixity of cultivating tenure is such an indispensable condition, and that under this provision of the sannads its introduction might be enforced in talugas, quite apart from the effect of paragraph 3, Schedule I. of This latter, however, has the advantage of Act I. of 1869. applying to Taluquárs of every kind and degree, while, for some not very apparent reason, the obligation to improve the nericultural resources of their estates was not imposed upon loyal grantees.

In April and May 1860 further signs of the coming storm of controversy became visible in a seemingly innocent enough correspondence between Colonel Abbott, Commissioner of the Lucknow Division, and Mr. Charles Currie, afterwards Judicial Commissioner of Ondh, and at that time officiating as Secretary to the Chief Commissioner. In this controversy, two questions were started by Colonel Abbott-(1) the meaning to be attached to the term "Tahuqdar"; and (2) the effect on subordinate rights of the conficating proclamation of March 1858. Mr. Wingfield held that "Taluadar" meant simply "an opulent landholder," and that confiscation, where carried out, annulled proprietary rights of every kind, inferior as well as superior. A few days later, Colonel Barrow, while officiating as Chief Commissioner, claborated Mr. Wingfield's doctrine into this, that there were three species of Talugdari tenure in Oodh, "massertal, as priced,

and conferred"; and further asserted that, with regard to the first two, confiscation had not been practically carried out, and that, therefore, though the tenure was held under a new title, the inferior rights in the land were not affected. But in "conferred" tahugas, Colonel Barrow was of opinion that, confiscation having been carried out, all subordinate rights whatever were abolished, and the estates made over to the grantees free from all encombrances whatever.

"The sub-proprietors, if there formerly were any, do not possess any definite interest whatever, beyond what they may have derived from the grantee, who will seldom oust underproprietors whose rights are clear and defined and not unreasonable, but the necessity for declaring this rule was justly felt in many cases where estates had been given in reward, or the parties on whom they have been conferred would have gained nothing The Officiating Chief Commissioner cannot understand on what principle you would urge the sub-proprietor into an hereditary cultivator. The location of a class of cultivators of small holdings and no capital, possessed of a recognised right of occurancy at fixed rates of rent, is in the Officiating Chief Commissioner's opinion a great evil. The grant of an estate hampered with such conditions would not be a hoon but a burthen to the recipient, and the Officiating Chief Commissioner cannot in any way recognise such rights."

It thus appears, on Colonel Barrow's own showing, that where an estate had been confiscated for the rebellion of a Talunqdir, and conferred upon a loyal grantee, the "clear, defined, and not unreasonable" rights of under-proprietors, who might have taken no part whatever in the revolt, were to be left entirely at the pleasure of the grantee. And although the legal recognition of such rights would, according to Colonel Barrow, have rendered the grant "not a boon but a burthen to the recepient," who would, in many cares, have "gained nothing" by such a gift, it was yet expected that the grantee, having unrestrained power to so, would "sellom outs under-preprictors" who possessed them In other words, it was expected that the grantee would voluntarily submit to a dead loss from his grant,

rather than interfere with rights which, though clear, definite, and reasonable, had been authoritatively declared entirely at his discretion to recognise or disallow. Why, the mere fact of a man's claiming a shadow of right in the soil would, in the eyes of four out of five of even hereditary Taluquars -not to speak of extraneous grantees from the Panjab and clsewhere-be deemed ample ground for ousting him if they could, i.e. for getting him ejected, and then, if he proved submissive, allowing him to hold on, at an enhanced rent, as a mere tenant-at-will. There is no need to comment on the parenthetical implication that the existence of a "class of cultivators of small holdings and no capital" is "a great evil," if they are protected from rack-renting by a right of occupancy, and so afforded opportunities, at least, of acquiring capital; whereas, if they are not so protected, and therefore have less opportunity of acquiring capital, their existence is either a minor evil, or no evil at all. On the whole, however, though no one would for a moment dream of hinting that the Officiating Chief Commissioner did not sincerely believe that the policy which he advocated was the right thing for Oudh, yet it will not perhaps be unfair to say that he arrived at his conclusions on grounds of what appeared to him to be expediency, rather than by deduction from the legal effects of Lord Canning's proclamation, or from considerations of abstract justice.

Such, at any rate, was the opinion of Lord Canning, who called for the correspondence, and on the 12th of September 1860 wrote to Mr. Wingfield, who had by that time resumed the post of Chief Commissioner, to the effect that Colonel Barrow's declaration of the course to be adopted towards under-proprietors in "conferred" taluques, was alike opposed to the intentions of the Government of India, and to the Royal Proclamation of the 1st of November 1858. No such distinction between ancestral and acquired taluques on the one hand, and conferred taluques on the other, had ever been recognised by the Government, whose policy was—

"To leave the confiscation of 1858 in force only in the case of persons who persisted in rebellion, and generally so far as to restore in its integrity the ancient Talu plant to nonwhererer it had existed at the time of the first occupation of Oudh in 1856, but had been set aside by our revenue officers. But the Governor-General in Council never intended that in Taluqdárí estates confiscated under the general order, and conferred in consequence of the peristent rebellion of the Taluqdár upon a new grantee, all the holders of subordinate rights, though themselves not persisting in rebellion, and though pardoned by the Queen, should be merged in the consequence of the Taluqdár's guilt, and become partakers in his numbined:

It was explained that the confiscation order of March 1838 had been carried out throughout the province, except in the six estates specially exempted, and the effect of such confiscation was laid down to be that all estates which came under it are now held by a title derived directly from the British Government, and that the relations between the British Government, and that the relations between the British discussion and the subordinate holders are "those which subsisted between them before ammeriation, modified or regulated by such obligations as the Government has imposed, not those which were established between them by our officers acting under the instructions issued at annexation." In fine, Colonel Barrow's orders were to be cancelled, and instructions is no conformity with the above remarks to be issued. This correspondence instructively illustrates the difference

This correspondence instructively interactive to durernee which is overly as 1880 separated the points of view from which the Government of India and the local administrators of Oudin respectively regarded the validity and importance of under-proprietary rights in the soil. Mr Wingfield, it should be added, cortally consided in Ocloned Barrow's view of the question, and strenuously opposed the recognition of any subordinate inglits in tallogs of which the superior right had been confiscated for rebelhon, and bestowed upon loyal grantices. His main arguments were—(1) that, otherwise, preposterous claims would be asserted by the under-proprietors, "which, in the absence of the old Talupdár, no one would care to refute", and (2) that most of the confiscated crattes were either north of the Ohdgárna, where subordinate rights were few and weak, or in Baiswára, where the under-proprietors themselves had very generally ioined in the

rebellion. Mr. Wingfield thus seems to have been of opinion that the possibility of preposterous claims being preferred was sufficient ground for refusing to listen to any claims, whether preposterous or not; that loyal grantees would not eare to protect their own interests by refuting preposterous claims, if urged; that where under-proprietary rights were weak and few, they might justly be wholly ignored; and that where under-proprietors had, generally speaking, been guilty of rebellion, no attempt should be made to discriminate between the guilty and the innocent.

In September 1860 Mr. Wingfield submitted to the Government of India a copy of the instructions regarding the record of rights and assessment which he proposed to issue to the officers appointed to carry out the regular settlement of the province. These instructions, which were destined to attain notoricty under the name of the "Record of Rights Circular," were, on the whole, approved by the Government, and such modifications as were ordered are not material to the present purpose. They contain, amid much that is sensible and clear, a proposition which is clear, but scarcely, perhaps, sensible:—

"Only the subordinate rights existing in 1855, or subsequently conceded, are now to be recognised and recorded."

This, of course, amounts to saying that no act of oppression, however gross, committed, say, in 1854, could be redressed by our courts. A zamindar might have been killed, and his family driven out of their village in 1854; but if the Taluqdar had been in full possession throughout 1855, no claim of the murdered ex-proprietor's descendants could be recognised. This cannot be called an extreme, for it is the obvious and immediate result of the rule. And of the meaning of the rule there can be no doubt, for it is added:—"If those persons who once held an intermediate interest in the land, between the Taluqdar and the ryot, are found to have been reduced, prior to annexation, to the position of mere cultivators, they will not be raised from it now." The subsequent extension of the period of limitation for claims to under-preprietary right to twelve years prior to annexation will be noticed hereafter. The Government of

India did not touch on the question at this time, and for the present no more need be said of it.

But the 31st paragraph of the circular is of such vital importance to the condition of something like three-fifths of the population of Oudh, that it must be quoted entire:—

"The Chief Commissioner has determined to make no distinction in the records between cultivators at fixed rates and cultivators at will Abstractedly viewed, he considers that to give a permanent right of occupancy at an unvarying rent to the tiller of the soil, is an invasion of the rights of property, and a clog on enterprise and improvement. It must be shown that nothing less will suffice to guard the ryot from ill-usage to justify such a measure. There is not the elightest possibility of this result happening in Oudh; consequently the measure is utterly unsuited to the province. In three-fourths of Oudh there is a deficiency of cultivators. They are so valuable that no landlord would . seek to dispossess a good one, and a bad one he should be free to get rid of. Even in those parts where the population is more abundant, no symptom that the cultivator needs prosterion has been manifested. The question has not been stirred by the cultivators themselves. To create an element of present discord, to provide against a contingency that cannot possibly occur for the next thirty years throughout three parts of the province, or for seven or eight years to come at least in the remaining fourth, would demonstrate a wanton spirit of meddling. The abandoned and waste lands of Oudh will furnish occupation to any number of cultivators for many years yet, and if mcrease of population in the already thickly peopled districts of the south-east of Oudh

the extension of the system of granting pattas down to every one who holds land of another, which the Chief Commisanoner contemplates, will afford ample protection to everyone." The first point to be noticed in this paragraph is that Mr.

The first point to be noticed in this paragraph is that fir. Wingfield was, at the time of writing it, of opinion that there were two classes of cultivators—tenants at fixed rates.

and tenants-at-will. He did not wish the distinction to be recognised in the record of rights in the soil, to frame which is one of the principal duties of a settlement officer, but he believed that it existed, and would have allowed, as he elsewhere explained, each case to be determined on its own merits by the rent courts, as occasion arose. This belief he afterwards disclaimed, and he was probably so far right, that there was no such hard and fast distinction as he had at first acknowledged to exist. There was, in fact, as later inquiries showed, no class of tenants pure and simple possessed of a right recognised by law to hold their lands at unchanging rent rates against the will of the proprietor, just as there was no class of landlords entitled to hold their estates at unchanging revenue rates. Rent rates in practice, probably fluctuated less than revenue rates, but the rent-payer had no more right to hold at a perpetually fixed demand than the revenue-payer. The idea of the one right was as alien to the state of society prevailing in the Nawahi as that of the other, and the only surprising thing about the matter is that the contrary supposition should ever have been entertained. Where all things were in a state of perpetual flux, it was not among the weakest and most down-trodden classes of the agricultural community that a beneficial fixity of tenure could have been expected to exist. Modern advocates of tenant-right in Oudh must abandon all attempts to justify its creation now on the ground that it existed then. It did not, and in the nature of things could not reasonably have been expected to exist. To concede this to the opponents of tenant-right is really to concede nothing.

Next comes to be considered the Chief Commissioner's "abstract view" that the grant of a "permanent right of occupancy at an unvarying rent to the tiller of the soil is an invasion of the rights of property, and a clog on enterprise and improvement." Thinking, as Mr. Wingfield then did, that tenants with a permanent right of occupancy at fixed rents did exist, it seems strange that it should not have occurred to him that to confiscate or ignore such a right, or even to expose it to the risk of being destroyed by the Lasty disposal of a summary rent suit, was a decided "fixyasion

14 *

of the rights of property" 'As, however, it is now generally agreed that he was mistaken, as he himself afterwards admitted, with regard to the matter of fact, there is no need to dwell upon the apparent inconsistency. It is enough to assert the general principle that society, acting through the State, has an indefeasible right to regulate the mode in which the rights of property in the soil are to be exercised. which the rights of property in the soil are to be exercised, and to restrict any particular exercise of such rights which can be clearly shown to be seriously injurious to the community at large. This is a truth fully recognised in Asia, obscured though it has been in Europe, and especially in England, by an eraggerated worship of individualism. There is no need to demonstrate that ociety would be justified in preventing a large landlord from de-populating and laying waste his estate in order to turn it into a hunting-ground, and this once admitted, any minor interference becomes a mere question of degree. The utmost that can be asserted on behalf of the right of the landlord that can be asserted on beauth of the right to have his pro-perty taken off his hands by the State at a fair valuation, if he prefers that to the alternative of exercising his control over his land subject to such checks as society sees fit to impose. Even so much as this cannot be asserted on behalf of Oudh Talugdars, whose lands were bestowed on them after confiscation, on the condition of doing their utmost to promote their agricultural resources, and with an express promote their agreement resources, and with an express reservation to Government of the power of baking any steps it might deem necessary for the protection of subordinate holders and village occupants. It surely needs no demonstra-tion that the Taluqdárs will have no just cause to complain of a breach of faith if Government sees fit to exercise the power which it has thus expressly reserved to atself.

power which it has thus expressly reserved to itself.

As to the cristence of occupancy rights heng "a clog on enterprise and improvement," this is a question of political economy on which there is good ground for believing Mr. Wingfield to have been wholly mustaken. Most modern economists are agreed that petite cellure, under favourable circumstances and conditions, yields economical and, all more, moral results at least equal to those afforded by large

farming. The out-turn per acre of the soil of France and Belgium is probably little, if at all, inferior to that of England, and the condition of the French and Belgian peasant proprietors and small farmers will certainly bear comparison with that of the English farm labourer. This, however, is apart from the real question at issue. The rival systems in Oudh are not grande and petite culture, for the simple reason that the former cannot be said to exist. Wealthy capitalists cultivating farms of, say, five hundred acres with their own stock are practically unknown. The nearest approach to such farms is the sir land of an extensive proprietor, which is not a compact plot, but consists of a number of scattered ratches lying in, perhaps, twenty different villages, and certainly no better cultivated than the petty holdings which surround them. The choice for Oudh lies between petite culture carried on by tenants-at-will, without security of tenure, and liable to indefinite rack-renting and capricious eviction, which is the state of things at present existing; and petite culture carried on by tenants secured in their holdings at fixed rents, and stimulated to exertion and improvement by the certainty that no caprice or greed of an individual can ever deprive them of the fruits of their labour, which is the system here advocated. Petite culture by tenants-at-will has never yet succeeded in any country known to history; but when worked by peasant proprietors, or by tenants enjoying fixity of tenure, it has succeeded in, perhaps, every country where it has been tried. It is easy to understand, while differing from, those who prefer a system of large farms worked by a comparatively small number of wealthy capitalists with hired labour, to small farms worked by a large number of cultivators. But it is very hard to comprehend the mental process by which some people arrive at conclusions in favour of small holdings worked by tenants at will, as against the same small farms worked by tenants enjoying perfect recurity from enhancement and eviction, and only differing from peasant proprietors in their liability to pay rent. It is not too much to say that all a priori reasoning, all historical experience, condemn the fermer and upheld the latter. Large landlords who are also improving landlords are the

wholly extinguishing anything like manliness and independence in a national character already sadly deficient in those qualities, than to render all assertion of them only possible at the risk of house and field.

It only remains to be observed that "the extension of the system of granting paties down to every one who holds lands of another," which Mr. Wingfield contemplated as likely to "inflordample protection to everyone," would not have afforded any protection at all beyond the term for which the leases were granted, which is the essential point; even if it had, which it has not, ever got beyond the sphere of the then Other Commensioner's contemplation.

Mr. Wingfield's instructions that no distinction was to be made in the "record of rights" between cultivators at fixed rates and cultivators at will, were "generally approved of" by the Governor-General. The question, however, was not allowed to rest. Sir George (then Mr.) Campbell, being at the time Judicial Commissioner of Oudb, pointed out in his report on the administration of justice for 1861, that the grant of judicial powers to Taluqdárs was often equivalent to making them judges in their own causes, which, where subordinate rights came before them judicially, was not likely to conduce to equitable decisions As an instance of the mode in which these powers were employed he cited Maharaja Man Singh's proceedings. This Honorary Assistant Commissioner had "from the first declined civil cases as an unprofitable labour." Of nincteen persons convicted by him during one month, eleven were punished for opposition to his own revenue processes, and four, as Mr. Campbell thought, were " illegally so punished for resisting the Mabirája's own servants engaged on executare duties."

Mr. Campbell did not maintain that there existed in Oudh

Mr. Campbell dal not maintain that there existed in Ouda any class of tenants with a permanent right of occupancy at a fixed reat. Neither rents nor revenue were ever so fixed in any part of Inda, though the former were so far more fixed than the latter, that what was by ancient law and practice chimable from the culturator was a fixed proportion of the produce. This principle still exists in its integrity wherever grain rents prevail; but is obscured, it not wholly lost sight for the properties of the properties of the produce. of, where these have been commuted to eash. But there were, he contended, tenants who differed from tenants-at-will by holding at regulated rates, and he maintained that—

"The holding of the superior zamindars from (the native) Government was of the same nature, but less distinctly regulated. The British Government regulates its demands by fixed rates short of the utmost limit. It is then for the Government to determine by its own laws whether the margin created by this limit is to be given exclusively to the superior holder, or is to be in any degree shared by the inferior holders; and, at any rate, it does seem that to permit, under our strong rule (which destroys the right of resistance), such unlimited enhancement at the discretion of the zamíndárs as to render the ancient tenants, in practice, mere tenants-atwill, would put them in a decidedly worse position than they previously occupied. It is clear that as the country advances great rent questions must arise between the superior and inferior holders; and I cannot but think that there is some ground for apprehending that if, while the rights of the latter are not recorded, the former are allowed for a series of years to decide eases which affect their own interests, the inferior rights may be obliterated more quickly than under ordinary circumstances they would have been."

One may be permitted to think that Sir George was wrong in admitting that a right of occupancy should be maintained only as the privilege of a favoured few, instead of as an indefeasible right of every cultivator whomsoever, and yet feel refreshed at finding that there was in Oudh one highly placed officer who had not wholly bowed the knee to the Baal of landlordism, and the sacred right of every man to do what he would with his own, including a good deal which belonged to other people. Naturally, however, this was not how it struck Mr. Wingfield, who, on the 15th of December 1862, addressed to the Government of India an eager protest against Mr. Campbell's "unwarranted and misleading inferences on a subject not coming within his department." Mr. Wingfield's protest amounts to this, that there were cultivators with a right of occupancy, but that the right could not be made to depend on occupation for any arbitrarily

assumed period, and merely "entitled the tenant to hold at market rates and be protected from wanton eriction", and that this right, such as it was, should not be recognized at settlement, but that the rent courts should deal with each case as a taroae. He was also of opinion that many evils had arseen in the N. W. Frovances from interference between handlord and tenant, of which the only one he specified was that the value of property had been impaired. Why, he saked, should rent be fired which must tend to rise with the extension of public works, and the consequent diffusion of wealth? Under nature rule, he was of opinion, "there was no limitation on the power of the landlord to raise the rent," except his swy interest.

To these arguments it is perhaps a sufficient answer to observe. (1) that it is probably a less orth that I and should sell for a pear or twe's less purchases than that the people who cultivate it should be lable to rack-renting enforced by eraction, and all the misery which that implies; (2) that if rents use in proportion to the inercase of wealth, such increase will be concentrated, not diffused, and (3) that, though it is perfectly true that under native rule there was no limit on the power of the landlord to ruse the rent of a tenant, except the violent resistance of the latter, or his own pleasure or interest, yet thus is a poor argument for the course which Mr. Wingfield advocated of taking away the tenant's power of violent resistance, while leaving the landlord's power unrestraised, or rather increased by legal appliances and all the processes and paraphermals of the rent courts. Moreover, it is probable that the average market value of land is considerably higher both in the Panjist and N.W. Provinces, where tenant right to a greater or less extent reversils than it is in Outh.

Mr. Wingfield's orders to settlement officers to ignore the distinction between tenants with a right of occupancy, as he himself defined it, and tenants-at-will, though sanctioned, as has been seen, by Lord Canning, were called in question by Lord Eigin, who on the 18th of May 1863 directed the Chief Commissioner to report "whether the omission of all reference to their rights (those of occupancy tenants) in the

settlement records, coupled with the judicial powers conferred on Taluqdars, will not have a tendency to obliterate them altogether, and thus to prejudice unjustly the status of the holdors." This inquiry, however, so far as appears from the Blue Books, was never answered; and so the matter remained for a time, occupancy tenants being admitted to exist, with a right to hold at fair rates, which rates were to be determined by the rent courts in each case of summary suit for rent or ejectment as it came before them, but not to be recognised by settlement officers. Mr. Wingfield's views on the subject of tenant right, however, had not yet attained their full development, as will shortly be perceived.

Meanwhile let us turn to the district of Pratábgarh, which was being settled by Mr. Moss King, C.S., who put on record some remarks worth noting.

"The Taluquárs," ho writes, "intend to fight every point, and yield nothing. They have been so successful hitherto under the strict view taken of under-proprietary claims, that their ambition now soars to the annihilation of all subordinate rights. I often regret to see that claims are rejected which are brought forward by men whom common report and estimation point out as possessed of rights. No doubt in a strictly legal sense they have failed to prove their points, but the Taluqdár proves nothing either. It is the onus probandi on the elaimant which puts him at such a disadvantage. The Taluqdar is in the saddle, and the underproprietor has to unhorse him. This he can seldom do, and ho loses all in the encounter. A few of them (the Talugdárs) are evil disposed, some are simply apathetic and incapable, others are grasping and lavish alternately. All are more or less in the hands of agents, whose reputation is usually bad. The fact is, they have more land than they can manage, (the italies are Mr. King's), and they do and will manage it ill. Made masters now of villages which have often defied them, and do not acknowledge them as their true head, they will have a very difficult task to perform, and I fear will confine their exertions towards these villages to such hostility as our rule permits, rather than manage them with

a liberal hand. There is no disguising the fact that a Talugdárí settlement, such as it will be made in Oudh, will be the commencement of a new era in landed property. The effect cannot be limited to the bare right to engage for the payment of the revenue, but the Taluquar is made actual owner of much that was debateable before. And the spirit of our instructions for settlement may be briefly described as giving the Taluquar the benefit of all doubts which may arise in the thousand questions concerning the particular parties in whom particular rights rest. Now I suppose there is no country in the world where so much margin for doubts to work will be found as in India, and if the Taluquar is to have the benefit of them, he will be an immense gainer. I do not think that the inner history of village tenures will be found out in this Talugdárí settlement; it is not the interest of either party to disclose the real facts of their cases, as we find that they did in the village settlements of the North-Western Provinces I would have the settlement officer cet as near as he can to the true facts of the position of the parties; and if he cannot find any precise amount of land to which good title can be shown, but still that a title to something is made out, I would have him weigh the position men. tally, and match it with under-proprietary right in so much land."

In reply to this latter proposal, the Chief Commissioner could not "consent to give authority to a settlement officer to prorude an equivalent as land for rights that he cannot define"; and to the Government of India, whose attention had been attracted by Mr. King's remarks, he represented that that officer's description should not be taken as applicable to Taluquiars in general, that the improtance of "tact" had been

field, felt "confor the present in a much more

favourable bght."

In such wise laboured Mr. Wingfield, perfecting his theory, not, like the National Deputies, according to Carlyle, of "irregular verhs," but of a somewhat unregulated landlordism. With Sir John Lawronee's accession to the Viceroyalty began a new phase in the history of the Oudh land question. Hitherto Mr. Wingfield had carried out his principles with but little interference. He was now to encounter opposition of a more serious character.

The first note of the coming conflict was sounded in a letter of the 17th of February 1864 to the Chief Commissioner from Colonel H. M. Durand, afterwards Sir Henry Durand, who was then Secretary to the Government of India. "A careful perusal," the letter begins, "of the official correspondence having reference to the settlement operations in Oudh has not satisfied his Excellency that the scope of the instructions of the Secretary of State for India has been clearly comprehended, or suitable measures adopted for earrying fully into effect the orders of the Government of India." After quoting at length despatches from the Secretary of State of the 24th of April 1860, and of the 17th of August 1861, the letter continues :- " By the foregoing instructions, it was decided that, while the arrangements made in favour of the Taluquars by the British Government should be respected, the subordinate rights of other classes of people in the soil should be ascertained and defined. is the opinion of the Governor-General in Council that these instructions embraced all rights whatever under those of the Taluqdár, whether those of former proprietors, or hereditary tenants holding their lands on fixed rents; or on rents more advantageous in their character than those of mere tenantsat-will; or whether they are tenants with the simple right of occupancy." In other words, the Government made, as it had an unquestioned right to do, a sacrifice of a certain portion of its own rights in favour of the Taluqdars, but it had no right to sacrifice the welfare, or to bind itself not to remedy the sufferings, of other classes. Such an agreement, had it been entered into, which it need hardly be said was not the ease, would have been no more binding than an agreement in restraint of legal proceedings. The instructions of the Chief Commissioner that no under-proprietary rights not enjoyed in 1855 were to be admitted, and of the Settlement Commissioner, Mr. Currie, that no hereditary tenant right was to be recognised, were described as "at variance with those of the Secretary of State, and contrary to sound policy." The Chief Commissioner was requested to report with all speed whether any measures had been taken for the recording of occupancy rights, and whether settlement officers had, as a fact, been directed not to make any inquiry with a view to such record A complete series of the settle-ment circulars issued by the Oudh administration, and also the settlement reports of Messrs King and Clifford (Pratabgarh and Unão) and of Captam McAndrew (Rai Bareli) were called for.

The Oudh policy was thus put upon its defence. On the first point—the practice enjoined of ignoring all under-pro-prictary rights except those actually exercised in 1855—the Chief Commissioner, in his letter of the 2nd of March 1864, justified his instructions by a reference to paragraph 5 of the Government of India's letter of the 19th of October 1859. which runs as follows :-

"This being the position in which the Talundars will be placed, they cannot with any show of reason complain if the Government takes effectual steps to re-establish and maintain, in subordination to them, the former rights, as thess existed in 1855, of other persons whose connection with the soil is in many cases more intimate and more ancient than

theres."

Mr. Wingfield pleaded that this rule had been sanctioned by the Government of India when embodied in his Record of Rights circular issued on the 29th of January 1861, which had been submitted for its approval, and had acquired the force of law under the Indian Councils Act; and that "the basis on which the rule rests is that on the reoccupation of the province we adopted as the principle of our summary settlement the status quo at annexation."

It may be remarked that these pleas seem to overlook the fact that a right may exist without being actually exercised. A man forcibly dispossessed of his land, without any other title than that of the strong hand on the part of his dispossessor, has surely a right to recover possession of his pro-perty; and to rule, as Mr. Wingfield had ruled, that if he

were not in actual occupation in 1855, though he might have been expelled in December 1854, his claims could not be recognised, is clearly not to "maintain his rights as they existed in 1855." For in 1855 he had a right to recover possession, which Mr. Wingfield's ruling wholly ignored and annihilated. This is surely to maintain the status quo with a difference. Under the native Government there was practically no law of limitation, and to introduce a law of limitation so stringent as to bar all rights not exercised within 13 months and 13 days prior to annexation, must be admitted to be a sufficiently violent alteration of the status quo of 1855.

With regard to the non-record of occupancy rights, Mr. Wingfield opined that, though the terms of the Secretary of State's despatch, No. 33 of the 24th April 1860, were "sufficiently comprehensive to embrace every form of interest in land," yet, under the circumstances, "it could hardly be doubted that mere ryots were not then under consideration."

[The exact words of the despatch referred to were as follows:-

- "You were quite right in rejecting at once the proposition of the Chief Commissioner, that all under tenures should be abandoned to the mercy of the Taluqdárs, and I observe from your Lordship's more recent proceedings that the engagements into which you have entered with the Taluqdárs provide for the protection of the under-proprietors, and that where a regular settlement is made, in all eases where there is an intermediate interest in the soil between the Taluqdár and the Ryot, the amount or proportion payable by the intermediate or subordinate holder to the Taluqdár will be fixed and recorded after careful and detailed survey."]

The Chief Commissioner's letter concluded by promising a further report on tenant-right, and by a deprecation of the opinions unfavourable to Taluqdárs expressed in Mr. King's report on settlement operations in Pratábgarh in 1862-63, which had been called for by the Government of India.

The further report thus promised was submitted on the 26th March 1864. And now, for the first time, the Chief

Commissioner announced his conviction that his previous admission of a "modified" right of occupancy was a mistake, and that such a right on the part of "non-proprietary cultivators had never in theory or practice existed in Outh"

Not being careful to dispute the correctness of Mr. Wingfield's conclusion as to the matter of fact, one need not cavil at the suddenness of his conversion to the doctrine here propounded Rights of occupancy among tenants pure and simple, i.e rights capable of being legally enforced, or which were recognised by universal custom, may have existed in times beyond the reach of our investigations; but if so, they had certainly heen effectually stamped out by years of law-lessness. It is probable that the genuine Hindú theory of tenure contemplates only the Raja on the one hand, and a body of hereditary cultivators on the other, removable, indeed, by him, but only in his capacity as head of the State, not as landlord, and paying him a fixed share of the produce as revenue, not as rent. Such, there is reason to beheve, was the Hinda ideal, the first great departure from which, the first grand heresy, was the absorption by individuals, in the shape of rent, of a part of the dues of the State, and the second, the protension to collect rent at rates in excess of the revenue rates. To say that this ideal rarely, if ever, practically existed, is only to say that it resembled the large majority of other ideals, in seldom or never being realised The power of evicting a tenant, like the rower of Lilling him, was one of which the exercise lar in the discretion of the King, and against the mode in which he chose to exert one power or the other there was no appeal. When the Hundú kingdoms were broken up into petty chiefships, a process which seems to have occurred every two hundred and fifty years or so, the powers of the King were assumed by petty Rajas, and even by small ramindars. It would of course, be an exaggeration to say that, even in the later days of the Nawabi, a Taluquar had as much theoretic right to Lill a cultivator as to eject him; but it is certain that there was about as much, or as little, likelihood of his being brought to account for the one act as for the other A low-caste, uninfluential cultivator had no rights which he could enforce

against the owner of the soil he tilled. He was entitled by the custom of all tolerably good landlords to retain his holding as long as he would agree to pay something not much less than the highest rent for it that anyone would boná fide offer. But even this right he could not enforce; and no one with any adequate conception of the state of society then prevailing in Oudh would ever have thought it likely that he should be able to enforce it. As already remarked, it is not among the weakest and most down-trodden class of an anarchical society that a beneficial fixity of tenure is likely to be found. As to the matter of fact, then, one may quite agree with Mr. Wingfield, while differing from him in maintaining that the previous absence of tenant right was no reason against conferring it then, and is not a reason now.

Mr. Tucker, Commissioner of Baiswara, had pleaded the cause of the high-caste peasantry of his division, the Brahmans and Raiputs who had held their fields for generations at low rents, who were forbidden by caste prejudices from driving the plough, and who had suffered much from loss of military service. But the Chief Commissioner could not for a moment sanction the proposal to limit the demand of the Taluquar on such persons, and thought "that we should rather look forward with satisfaction to the arrival of the time when caste will confer no advantages." A most unimpeachable aspiration, no doubt, but a somewhat fragile basis of expectation for a thirty years' settlement. Mr. Wingfield could not admit that "easte prejudices give a claim to privileges which must be denied to the humbler and more industrious races." Quite so; security from rack-renting and capricions eviction should not be a privilege of any caste, but should be regarded as what it is, an essential condition of healthy agriculture, and conferred upon every cultivator whomsoever. Any rights arising from high-easte, relationship to the landlord, or length of occupancy, should find expression in a lower cent-rate.

Even improvements of the soil effected by the tenant could not, according to Mr. Wingfield, confer any right of permanent occupancy, "except on conditions agreed to by the landlord"; for "to hold otherwise might have the effect

of compelling landlords to interdict all improvements."
Unless the object in view was effectually to prevent all improvement of the soil, this really seems a sufficient reduction ad absurdum of the tenancy-at-will system.* The argument might have some force in a country like England, where landlordism has established itself with a rigidity and a weight of ancient custom which few seem prepared to dispute. But in Oudh, though of course it was the cue of the Talugdars and their advocates to munitain the sacred duty of upholding the "ancient, indigenous, and cherished system" in its integrity, and to insist that to alter one jot or one tittle thereof-except, indeed, in favour of the Taluqdars-would have been to shake society to its depths, yet there can be no real doubt that the "system" was in a very fluid condition indeed for several years after reoccupation, and quite capable of being considerably modified in favour of the cultivator without the slightest shock to the sense of justice of any lindford. Mr Wingfield, no doubt, had done all that one man could do to imprees them with a sense of their own importance, and of the inviolability of their right to rack-rent and evict at pleasure, and by 1864 these great moral lessons had to a considerable extent been brought home to them But these warnings of the importance of conciliating the prejudices of fundiordism would perhaps have facen more impressive if urged by an authority who had done somewhat less to foster those prejudices into a condition of morbid rampancy than Mr Wingfield

The Clind Commissioner's next ingument was that as no right of occupancy on the part of non-proprietary cultivators could be prived, to confer such rights would be to rob those landiords whose sannals only provide for the maintenance of ngitis previously enjoyed. That the conditions on which the sannada were confirred were exceedingly mergreand inastisfactor, is indeed true, and for this Mr. Wingfield himself was,

too independent.

[•] It is notorious that, in some parts of Outh, leading sells, for fear known to bring saits to prevent tengate from bulling sells, for fear they should thus securics a temperary right of occupancy and become

as we have seen, responsible. But these oft-quoted sannads cannot abrogate the right, or rather the duty, of the State to impose such conditions on the enjoyment of property in the land which it allows individuals to hold, as it may from time to time deem necessary for the common welfare. Quite apart, moreover, from general considerations of this kind is the particular fact that power to take such steps as it might consider needful for protection of village occupants was expressly reserved to itself by Government at the time it conferred the sannads. If it be maintained, as it has been maintained, that the term "village occupants" was not intended to include all who occupy, i.e. live in, a village, this can only have been the case because Lord Canning, when he used the phrase, believed, as a matter of course, that occupancy rights existed and would be carefully protected, as had been done, though on an insufficient scale, in the N. W. Provinces.

That rights of occupancy had been recorded at the settlement of the N. W. Provinces in 1833, was due, Mr. Wingfield thought, to a reaction against the principles of the permanent settlement in Bengal, "by which it was supposed"—a mere supposition of course—"that the interests of the peasantry had been unduly neglected"; while in the Panjáb, "the right of hereditary occupancy was entirely created by our Government on arbitrary and varying rules," but—though this he forgot to add—with most admirable results. But, even on the supposition that rights of occupancy did exist, Mr. Wingfield could not see that they would be of any use to the holders, as they only meant, under the High Court ruling in the Hill's case, the right to hold at the market rent, "and no landlord wants to get rid of a tenant who will pay the market rate for the land." He must surely have been aware that it is only a very exceptional landlord who does not do all he can to get rid of a tenant who has had the temerity to complain of ill-treatment on his part, or given evidence against him, or refused to give evidence for him, or thwarted him in any way whatsoever.

After repeating his favourite comparison between the right of the landlord to get the utmost he can for his land, with the right of the shopkeeper to sell his goods at the highest

obtainable price, Mr Wingfield proceeded to denounce the claim of "the lowest form of agricultural interest" to pro-tection as "opposed to modern principles" "Every attempt to legalise it under the guise of tenant right in Ireland has been defeated in Parliament, and the idea of limiting the power of the landlord as to the rent he may put on his land, or the choice of a tenant, has been denonneed as communistic by an eminent living statesman" The argument from Irish precedents has been sufficiently refuted by recent history. The "communistic idea" has been at last introduced into Ireland with excellent results, the chief complaint now heard being that it has not been carried far enough. "The supposition that raising the rent lessens the peasant's means of subsistence," Mr Wingfield considered "erroncous; any measure that would keep down rents is injurious to the interests of all classes, and of none more than the cultivators themselves, to whom the stimulus to exertion and improvement would be wanting" This amounts to saying that, if the yearly produce of a farm be 200 maunds, and the rent 75 maunds, the pensant's means of subsistence will not be diminished from 125 to 100 maunds, if 100 instead of 75 maunds be taken as rent As for stimulus, what stimulus can a cultivator have to increase his out-turn if in constant danger of having the merement-not, be it observed, an "unearned increment"-taken from him in the form of enhanced rent. Mr Wingfield's faith in the efficacy of rackrenting to foster industry and improvement is really a carrous psychological phenomenon. His liberalism and devotion to "modern ideas" remind one of Lord St Aldegonde. He seems to be always murmuring to himself as he writes, "As if a fellow could have too much land, you know!"

The conclusion at which he acruced was that the rent courts should take no cognizance of any snit by a tensal against a limilord which was not based upon a breach of contract by the latter, and that the Oudh preasurity should be taught to "seek the wake field of industry open to them." Where that field was, however, he counted to specify. Probably he was thinking of the ferer-article jungles of the

Tarai.

This reply of the Chief Commissioner, with a memorandum by Mr. C. Currie, Settlement Commissioner, and letters from fifteen district and settlement officers, were submitted to the Government of India on the 26th of March 1864. These papers contain the Oudh Administration's defence of its policy. The views of Mr. Wingfield himself, as developed up to date, have been already analysed. The gist of the opinions given by other officers may be briefly stated, dividing them into cons and pros.

The cons amounted to this: that there was no class of non-proprietary eultivators possessed of a right which they could enforce against their landlord to resist eviction; that the Ashráf or high-easte tenantry—(with whom, under the name of "Shatrafs," an ingenious combination, apparently, of Chhatri and Ashráf, Mr. Caird has made the readers of the "Nineteenth Century" familiar)—rendered suit and service to their lord, in return for which they held lands at low or nominal rents; that, when not cultivating with their own hands, these were "idle middlemen," and not fit objects of compassion; that the right of occupancy at the market or rack-rent was a mere shadow, not a substance, and that a right of occupancy at any more favourable rate could not be recognised without injustice to the landlord.

The pros may be summed up thus: that the custom of the country was opposed to the ejectment of hereditary cultivators except for non-payment of a fair reut; that the idea of tenant-right, as a legal and indefeasible claim, was totally new to the province; that cultivators, however, who had constructed wells, tanks, embankments, &c., were allowed to hold on favourable terms as long as the improvement lasted; that though the same families had held the same land for generations, it "had never occurred either to the proprietor or to the tenant to speculate on the exact nature of the right enjoyed by the latter"; that complaints had been made by cultivators of ejectment from fields which they had occupied for centuries; that the general consensus of opinion that a páhíkásht (or non-resident) cultivator had no right to retain his lands, pointed to a distinction between his status and that of the resident (or chapparband) cultivator, implying

a quasi right of occupancy on the part of the latter; that, though public opinion was against the ejectment of old enltratfors, it would not, in the absence of a positive concenniasuffice to restrain large landholders from increasing their incomes by this means, and that if eriction of high-casto cultivators or enhancement of their rent were allowed, crime would seriously increase, and a general sense of injustice prevail.

One officer alone, Dr. Wilton Oldham, a North-West Provinces civilan, who was then officeating as Deputy Comissioner of Sultánpur, broadly affirmed that rights of occupancy casted which should be recognised and recorded. His letter to that effect, dated the 18th of September 1853, seems to have been sent up to the Supreme Government, but Mr. Wingfield, in his summary of the opinions of officers, appears to have overlooked it. The following extract from it is sufficiently to the purpose to be quoted here—

"It appears to me that a right of occupancy, where it exists, is a lower kind of property, and that the dictum of Sarigny, that all proprietary right is based on "adverso presension ripened by prescription," applies most completely to this lower form of property. When, therefore, a calitrator has cultivated helds at rents lower than was usual in the meighbourhood for land of the same kind, as it was obrosuly the interest of the zamindár to oust him, unless the contrary be proved, his possession may be presumed to have been "adverse possession". When this possession has contained for a long time, it may justly be considered to have become ripened by prescription, and a right of occupancy has aren. If it the east that custom and reject for public opinion deterred zamináárs from rasing the rent of certain cultivators to a rack-rent, or ejecting them, then this is a proof that the right had not merely begun to form, but was actually in existence and recognised."

Renders must judge of these arguments for themselves. But it may be here observed.—(1) That when, under our stable rule, the high-caste tenant ceased to rinder suit and service, the lord also ceased to render the correlative of that must and service, rule protection, and it by no means follows

that holdings at a low rent should be liable to resumption merely because the holders formerly rendered suit and service and now render none; (2) that if the idea of absolute tenantright was totally new to the people of Oudh, the idea of absolute proprietorship of the soil by an individual was almost equally novel; (3) that when a fluid state of society is being deliberately and consciously crystallised, which was the process going on in Oudh for several years after reoccupation, beneficial customs, such as that which protected cultivators on all decently managed estates from eviction and rackrenting, should be consolidated into law, and the practice of good landlords made binding upon all.

In April 1864 Mr. Wingfield met Sir John Lawrence and Mr. H. S. Maine, then legal Member of Council, at Cawnpore, and the differences of opinion which prevailed between the Governor-General and the Chief Commissioner were discussed at length. The points in issue between them were mainly two, viz.:—

(1.) Should the period of limitation allowed for the assertion of under-proprietary claims be extended for twelve or twenty years backwards from 1855, or should it, as was then the rule, be reckoned from 1855 only?

Sir John Lawrence maintained the former, Mr. Wingfield the latter, alternative.

(2.) Should the question of tenant-right be gone into by settlement officers, and the rents of all tenants who were found to possess a right of occupancy be judicially determined and recorded?

Sir John Lawrence answered, yes; Mr. Wingfield, no.

The Governor-General offered to leave the supervision of the settlement in the Chief Commissioner's hands, on condition of his agreeing to accept and carry out the principles which he had hitherto resisted; otherwise he proposed to appoint a Settlement Commissioner to relieve him of the financial branch of the administration.

Mr. Wingfield asked for time to consult some of the leading Taluquars, and to consider "whether he could conscientiously give the necessary assurance," and, on the 15th of May, wrote to Sir John Lawrence to the effect that he himself and the leading Taluqdárs whom he had consulted were ready to agree to the extension of limitation for under-proprietary claims to twelve years computed from the date of the summary settlement of 1838-39, on condition that "where villages have been annexed to the taluqua within twelve years, the persons who were in full proprietary possession will not be entitled to recover the equivalent of their former rights (v.z. a sub-settlement at the Government demand plus 25 per cent as the due of the Taluqdár), but only to the most favourable terms they engoed in any one year since the incorporation of their lands with the taluqa."

Thus, (the example is not Mr Wingfield's), if a ramfindér had been in full proprietary possession of a village up to 1850, and in that year had been forcubly dispossessed by a Talingdár, who left him, say, fire acres of land rent-free, and nothing else, for the following say pers, those five acres rentfree would be all that he could be decreed by our settlement courts.

To this concession, thus guarded, he had no doubt that the Talinqdárs, as a body, would assent, and so far he was ready to conform to the Governor-General's views. But on the second point—"the record of any nea-proprietary cultivators as possessed of a right of occupancy, and the limitation of the rent to be demanded from them during the term of settlement"—Mr Wingfeld was attisfied that the Talinqdárs would never give way, and he himself held that they would be justified in their refusal. He repeated his contributed to the standard for the terms of which Mr. Wingfeld was himself responsible), and fatal to the progress and prosperity of the promone. He held it to be "impossible to deduce from any length of permissive occupancy"—a somewhat question-legging description of adverte possession—"the conclusion that it establishes an interest adverse to that of the landlord"; predicted that the Talinqdárs would fight every case to the uttermost; urged that the Government of India should hear what a deputation of Talinqlárs lad to darance before any change was resolved upon; said sowed advance before any change was resolved upon; said sowed

that by carrying out any policy which recognised a right of occupancy, he would be doing violence to his own convictions,

On the 28th of May Sir John Lawrence replied to this letter, thanking Mr. Wingfield for writing so frankly, expressing approval of the Taluqdars' concession on the first point in issue, and accepting the proviso with which they had coupled it, though of opinion that the period of limitation should count from 1856; but, for the rest, denying Mr. Wingfield's facts and repudiating his inferences as regards tenant right. "If this," he wrote, "were a mere question in which the interests of a few individuals were concerned, I might hesitate in maintaining my own views. But it is really a question in which are involved the interests of a great body of men, many of whom, I have no doubt, are the descendants of the old proprietary communities of the province of Oudh, whose rights are now enjoyed by the Taluq-dárs of the present day. When these Taluqdárs talk of their rights, they should recollect that the chief value of these rights, viz. their permanence and security, is derived only from the British Government, and that under native rule they were always liable to lose their possessions in the same fashion as they won them. The value which British rule has given to their property is enormous. I do not myself believe that the admission of the ancient tenants of land, the old hereditary cultivators, and the broken-down, ill-treated descendants of former proprietors to the right of occupancy, and to fair and equitable rates, will infringe in the least degree the policy of Lord Canning." Sir John did not wish to introduce Act X. of 1859 (the N. W. Provinces Rent Act) into Oudli, but thought that if the Taluquars would not consent to some fair compromise, there would be nothing else for it. As for the proposal that a deputation of Taluquárs should wait on the Government, he saw no good likely to arise from such a step, and thought that the Chief Commissioner could continue to urge all that was to be said on their behalf.

Mr. Wingfield wrote again on the 6th of June, to say that the Taluqdárs would not object to reckoning the period of limitation from 1856, but, an reste, resterating his objections to tensat-right. Finally, weighing, with perfect candour and disunteresteness, the arguments for and against his retaining the office of Chief Commissioner, he left the issue in the hands of the Governor-General.

While this demi-official controversy was going on, and after considering the voluminous defence of the Oudh policy which has been summarised a few pages back, Sir John Lawrence took refuge in the usual resource of an Englishman in diffi-culties—he went to his lawyer On the 21st of May 1864, extracts from the correspondence between Lord Canning and Mr Wingfield regarding the wording of the Thluqdars' sannads, from Mr Wingfield's "record of rights circular," and from despatches of the Secretary of State for India issued in 1800 and 1861, were submitted, with a letter signed by Colonel Durand, but really drafted by Mr. Maine, to the Advocate-General, Mr. Cowie, whose opinion was requested as to (1) "the effect of Section 25 of the Indian Councils Act, 1861, on the statements, orders, and directions contained act, 1001, on the statements, orwers, and unrections contained in the documents presently eited"; and (2) "whether persons claiming to be under-proprietors in Oudh, that is, persons claiming an interest in the soil intermediate between the Thiuqdis and the ryot, are restricted to establishing such rights as were actually enjoyed in possession at the moment of annexation, or, what is the same thing, whether all rights existing previous to aunexation, but not actually enjoyed when Oudh was annexed, are destroyed, unless revived by legislation."

The second of these queries, it will be perceived, was only a more specific form of the first

The Advecto-General took nearly four months to consider the questions thus raised, and it was not until the 19th of September that he debrered himself of the opinion that Section 25 of the Indian Councils Act. "must be read as applicable to such rules and regulations as were in the nature of laws affecting rights or superang punishments, and that any rules or orders which in their nature were only rules for the guidance of a department or for the action of the executive, and which could be, and always have been issued by the Government in its executive capacity merely, do not come within the section." From this he deduced the conclusion that of the documents relating to Taluquárs and under-proprietary rights which had been submitted to him, the only one that came within the scope of Section 25, and received from it the force of law, was the declaratory order of the Government of Iudia, dated the 10th of October 1859, which laid down that every Taluqdar who was admitted to engage for the revenue at the second summary settlement, "thereby acquired a permauent, hereditary, and transferable proprietary right in the taluga for which he has engaged, including the perpetual privilege of engaging with the Government for the revenue of the taluqa. This right is, however, conceded subject to any measure which the Government may think proper to take for the purpose of protecting the inferior zamindárs and village occupants from extortion, and upholding their rights in the soil in subordination to the Taluqdárs." This declaration and proviso (which have been appended as Schedule I, to Act I. of 1869), were given the force of law by Section 25; but all the other documents in question, and more particularly "the so-called record of rights," even apart from the absence of the Secretary of State's sanction, had not, in the Advocate-General's opinion, any such validity. "Practically," he concludes, "it appears to me that the reservation introduced in the Governor-General's order declaring the proprietary rights of the Talundárs is so wide that it leaves the rights of the underproprietors where they were. And those rights remain as they were prior to annexation, and will so remain (subject, of course, to the effect of the Limitation Act), except in so far as they may be affected by express legislation."

Before being fortified by this legal opinion, Sir John Lawrence had indited a minute, dated the 20th of June 1864, in which he declared that "ever since the reoccupation of Oudh, it has been the uniform aim of the Chief Commissioner to sweep away, as far as practicable, all subordinate rights and interests in the soil in all the Taluqdárí villages of the province . . . and when he could not accomplish this, to restrict them to the narrowest limits. The struggle between

the Taluqdars and the village proprietary bodies, which had commenced on the annexation of Ondh, ended in 1859 in the almost complete success of the former class Out of 23,522 (query . 23543?) villages" included in taluqus in 1856, "the Taluqdárs succeeded, after a mere nominal inquiry, in esta-blishing their claims to 22,637 The mode in which this inquiry was made was criticised by the Secretary of State, but it was nevertheless maintained. . . . We have the example in Bengal before us (a very similar instance to that of Oudh in more than one respect), in which, when enormous interests were conferred on one class, the interests, and indeed the rights, of another class were to a great extent sacrificed. The condition of the weak and friendless village proprietors and hereditary cultivators of the soil was un-doubtedly very wretched under the late rule in Oudh, but their position will become gradually still worse under the British Government, is the principles and policy advocated by the Chief Commissioner are allowed to become the rule and law of the country. In the days of the Nawab, the and law of the country. In the days of the Nawab, the chefs had some interest in protecting the yeomen of the country, and in conclusing their kinemen and tenantry; but under a strong Ooverment like ours, such frends and fol-lowers are not necessary, and will therefore be treated necord-ingly. Such considerations are of peculiar importance in India, where the cultivators are greatly attached to the soil, and where the masses have very limited and uncertain means and where the masses have very immer and uncertain treats of employment except in agriculture. In 1859 the Chief Commissioner of Oudh proposed that the proprietary right in the land should for ever be considered to rest absolutely in the parties with whom the summary settlement had been made—a practice, I may say, unknown in India. Now, such

somewhat overstated what Mr. Wangbeld actually did propose, but he goes on to say that though the proposition was duallowed by the Government of India, investigation into subordinate claums was "limited to rights which custed" —it would have been more stretchy correct to say, which were enjoyed—"in 1855, whereas it ought to have extended back for full twenty years, for it was during that period that the greatest misrule had prevailed."

Mr. Wingfield's instructions to settlement officers, prohibiting the record of occupancy rights, were, in Sir John Lawrence's opinion, "unsound and impolitic, as well as unjust. He denies that the cultivator needs any protection, or has ever stirred in his own interests. He thinks that it is no cvil men being forced to abandon their own homesteads; and that the system of granting leases will form a complete protection to the ryot, totally forgetting that everything will depend on the terms of each lease." There was no valid ground for Mr. Wingfield's distinction between Taluqdárí and zamindari estates, which barred all claims to subordinato rights in the former which were not actually enjoyed in 1855. while in the latter it allowed a twelve years' period of limitation. The Chief Commissioner's suggestion that a three years' period should be prescribed for suits to contest decisions of settlement officers was described as " an excellent plan when the investigations and decisions have been made on proper principles, but out of the question in regard to the present settlements." Sir John then alluded to the reference which he had made to the Advocate-General as to the legal validity or otherwise of the "Record of Rights Circular." If Mr. Cowie decided in favour of its validity, a bill should be brought before the Legislature for its modifi-"In the meantime the system of inquiry into and record of the landed tenures of Ondh should be altered as early as possible, for much time has already been lost." After referring to the conference in April at Cawapore between Mr. Wingfield and himself, he proceeded to ask his colleagues what was in their opinion the proper course to pursue. Hitherto, he declared, no real inquiry into tenantright had been made or permitted. "The Chief Commissioner assumes that which should be the subject of examination. . . . All the orders which have emanated from the Government of India connected with the points now under consideration, from the time that Mr. Wingfield has been Chief Commissioner until my arrival in India, have

been founded solely, so far as I can judge, on the reports of that gentleman No information from any of the Commissioners or Deputy Commissioners has been forthcoming until especially sent for in February last. The instructions of the Secretary of State for India practically remained a dead letter. Mr. Wingfield in bus capacity as Financial Commissioner, being the ultimate appellate judge in all sunts relating to land, able to by down what claims should be heard, and the mode in which they should be investigated, and his views, moreover, being shared by the Settlement Commissioner, Mr. Curre, "it may be judged what chance any man in Oudh has to substantiate a claim as an hercelitary tenant in the land."

nerculary tenant in the land." To rome ly this state of things, and to give every man a fair chance of being heard, Sir John proposed that the original arrangement should be reverted to, and Mr Wingfield be relieved of the duties of Financial Commissioner, which should be entrusted to a separate officer. The four Commissionerships of Oudh had been reduced to three when, two years previously, the post of Settlement Commissioner hid been created and conferred on Mr Currie. Sir John suggested that the fourth driveno, that of that Birth, should be re-formed, and Mr. Currie put in charge of it, the office Settlement Commissioner being abulsabled. These changes seemed to the Governor-Ocueral urguity required in Ondh in accordingly proposed them for the consideration of

his collections.

The first Member of Council who took up his parable in reply to Sir John's unritation was Mr. H. S. Maine, whose cultivated headity of style is a pleasant change from the compressively headieng and undianshed writing through which we have been wading so long. "No quisity," he declare, "is rater or more useful in India than a basility as explaining," and he laments that the virgin field for inquiry into the question of herothary occupancy which Outh had presented after the Mutiny had not been explored in an impartial spirit. The results of a dupasswante investigation," would have been of the nitmest probliced and sorul, and even of the utmost histograp value." He husself believed that "the humself believed that "the humself believed that "the humself believed that "the

inquiry would have ended in establishing the existence of hereditary tenants; but it was possible that the evidence to the contrary might have been so strong as to render doubtful their existence in any part of India. Or, again, the Oudh tenures might have been shown to be sui generis, or, lastly, it was not improbable that beneficial rights of occupancy might, through long years of anarchy, have been reduced to the level, as regards liability to rent, of tenancies at will."

But Mr. Wingfield had fallen into the same mistake with which he had reproached his opponents. He had assumed his own conclusions, and simply opposed those assumptions to the contrary ones. A perusal, while at Simla in 1863, of the demi-official correspondence between the Chief Commissioner and the Foreign Secretary had strongly impressed Mr. Maine with the "persistency of the Oudh officials in making assumptions instead of stating facts. It seems impossible to get them to answer ayr or no to plain questions, and every inquiry about the hereditary tenants produced either observations on the fallacious basis of the North-Western system, or an assertion that the recognition of beneficial occupancy was an invasion of the rights of property." The same peculiarity struck Lord Elgin also. Had Mr. Wingfield's assertions as to the non-existence of hereditary tenants been made before the issue of his "so-ealled record of rights" circular, they would have been important as at least indicating the "impressions of an acute observer." But in the earlier documents relating to the controversy, the question had never been discussed as one of fact, and Mr. Wingfield's later declarations, after his policy had been long settled and partially carried out, could only be regarded "as another illustration of the ductility of men's impressions of fact under the influence of a foregone conclusion. All evidence of the subordinate Oudh officials unfavourable to the hereditary cultivators which is subsequent to the date of the record of rights, we are justified, I think, in regarding as vitiated in its source." The hands of these, the only persons who could satisfactorily have investigated the question, had been tied. Mr. Wingfield himself did not seem to have had much opportunity of examining it at first hand; while "the

very character of his eminent services in managing, educating, and training to public functions and public spirit the new aristocracy created by Lord Canning, would seem to show that his intercourse has chiefly been with persons who, even if they had not had a strong interest in denying the cristence of these tenures, have probably very imperfect aleas as to the difference which in a cettled society is recognised between might and right." No one had such opportunities for getting at the real state of facts as settlement officers, but their "imprecess testimony" seemed to have been "serrificed in Oudh. "withind by the strong language of the record of rights, and yet there is just enough of it to due to a suspect what result could have been arrived at if the inquiry had been unfettered."

The course which Mr. Mame recommended was to join issue with the Taluqdárs on the question which they had mised as to the enstence of non-enstence of benefinal rights of occupancy, and to institute a full and free inquiry. Ho also advised the approximent of a Financial Commissioner, but deprecated the introduction into Oudli of Act X of 1859, inasmuch as it contained provisions for the manufacture of heroditary cultivators, whether previously ensuing or not, and this, he considered, would be an act of "injustice to the Taluqdárs, if not a breach of fauth"

And, here at may readily be admitted that, in the then state of the questron, and on the only grounds that were then recognised, which were grounds of right on the part of the cultivator, not of economical secessity, and consequent duity on the part of the State, the act would have been a breach of faith Landlords, moreover, could have casily defeated that part of the Act which makes a right of company result from twelve years' possession by ejecting whole-alicel all ordinary tennats of less than twelve pears' standing. On these grounds, as well as on the principle that partial reforms are the greatest encauses of complete ones, and that it was necessary that the state of the Outh cultivator should become worse before it can be rande better, there is room for starfaction that this opinion pervalled, and that Act X. of 1850 was not introduced into Outh.

Turning to the question of extending the period of limitation for claims to subordinate rights in taluque, Mr. Maine held that the arguments against extension derived from the sannads and Lord Canning's declaration were of no weight. For the sannads did not limit the recognition of under-proprietary rights to rights commencing in any particular year; and the Secretary of State land distinctly withheld his approval from Lord Cauning's declaration. The Taluqdárs, moreover, had assented to the extension, or were ready so to assent.

But apart from this, Mr. Maine held that there are-

"Grave objections to admitting that any course of policy adopted or announced by the Government of India carries with it a pledge or promise to any class affected by it. It is too much the habit in India to complain of the abandonment by the Government of any particular principle or line of action, as if it involved a breach of faith to those who had profited by it. In old times, when the British Government had just succeeded to a despotic power which dealt with its subjects as if it were a single person, and did not affect to regard any interest but its own, it was not unnatural to look upon our declarations of policy as amounting to a personal engagement; but such a view of our position is irreconeilable with the functions of a government which now pretends to exist for the advantage of its subjects, which is bound to carry out every measure which is likely to contribute to their happiness and prosperity, and which is not ashamed to admit that it learns by experience. In point of fact, the very existence of a regular legislature in India is inconsistent with the notion of our faith being pledged to the policy of any particular year or period. The indistinguishable blending of executive and legislative functions in the Government of India at the time when the permanent settlement of Bengal was effected does seem to me to furnish some ground for looking on that measure in something like the light of a compact, but I think it would be preposterous now-a-days to put the same construction on the policy pursued in 1858 and 1859 towards the Taluqdárs of Oudh."

These are words so weighty, both in themselves, and as coming from an authority of such calibre as Mr. Maine, that

no apology is needed for quoting them at length. They were intended, however, only to apply to the question of good faith As a matter of policy, Mr Maine fully recog-mised the inexpediency of "abrupt recoils from one line of action to another" He thought that in the present instance there was a "justification for interference in what he would not assume, but could not help suspecting to have been a cruel injustice", but one ground ou which he preferred his own recommendations to the Governor-General's proposal certainly was that they did not involve what was, on the face of it, so open a departure from the policy of Lord Canning

In Mr. Maine's conclusions and advice as to the steps that should be adopted, Mr. Taylor and Sir Charles Trevelyan concurred The latter argued that by the common law of India the land belonged to peasant proprietors, generally associated in village communities, and bound to pay to the ruler a portion of the produce generally known as Húkimi Huse, or the share of the sovereign , and that all that the State could bestow on an intermediate holder, Jághfelár, Taluqdár, or whatever other name he might be known by, was its own (or, as is more usual, a portion of its own) share

of the produce.

It would, perhaps, be more strictly correct to say that both in Hindá and Mughal theory the ownership of the soil rested jointly in the King (or Emperor) and the cultivator, the former being the sleeping, and the latter the active, partner in the business of agriculture

Sir Charles Trevelyan further maintained that the clause in the sannads reserving subordinate rights wholly obviated the possibility of any breach of faith being involved in the proposed measures A similar clause had been one of the conditions attached to the permanent settlement of Bengal; but, except in Banáras, the failure to carry it out by an inquiry into and record of subordinate tenures had led to "the greatest of the evils which had afflicted that province during the best part of a century, and one of the greatest causes of weakness and discredit to the British Administra. tion" A similar omission would, he feared, lead to as bad or worse results in Oudh,

So far, opinion in Conneil was dead against Mr. Wingfield's policy. But in Mr. Grey he found a stannch defender. As the Taluquars were willing to give way on the question of limitation for under-proprietary claims, he would only remark on that point that he thought the Governor-General was on onat point that he monghe one Governor-General mas unjust to Mr. Wingfield in saying that he had steadily endeavoured to sweep away all subordinate rights. In Mr. Grey's opinion, the Chief Commissioner had been loyally endeavouring, as shown by his instructions to settlement officers, to carry out the orders of Lord Canning. With regard to occupancy rights, Mr. Grey despaired of convincing anyone who had remained impervious to the arguments of Messrs. Wingfield and Currie, and would therefore confine himself to a statement of the case as it actually stood, merely remarking that he entirely concurred with the views of those gentlemen. The conclusion which he drew from the reports of the Oudh officers was that Mr. Campbell's " general theory" of the existence of rights of beneficial occupancy was wholly baseless; and, believing that the object now in view was really the setting up of this general theory, and not an inquiry into "individual rights susceptible of being suban inquiry into marriagna, and the strongly deprecated a stantiated by tangible proof," he strongly deprecated a reversal of "the deliberate action of a former Government." He could not admit that the question was an open one on which the Government of the day was free to act as it thought best. The sanuads did not expressly reserve the right of old cultivators to occupy their lands on favourable terms. Lord Caming's letter, No. 23 of the 19th of October 1859, explained the reservation clause to mean that "whenever it is found that zamindars or other persons have held an interest in the soil intermediate between the ryot and the Taluquar, the amount payable by the intermediate holder to the Taluquar will be fixed and recorded." The tenor of the correspondence relating to the sannads, between Lord Canning and Mr. Wingfield, clearly indicated that it was only under-proprietary rights, and not occupancy rights of cultiunavi-propriedry rights, and not occupantly regularly of vators, that were intended to be reserved. The S. cretary of State's despatches of the 21th of April 1860 and the 17th of August 1861 did not allude to occupancy ryate, and only withheld approval of limiting recognition of under-proprietary claims to such as had been actually enjoyed in 1855. If was true that when Mr. Campbell's place for the record of what he called rights of occupancy at "regulated rates," attracted the attention of the Secretary of State, the latter, in his despatch of the 9th of June 1863, certainly wrote of these occupancy rights as if they were of the same class as the rights referred to in his despatch dated the 17th of August 1861. But Mr Grey thought that it was impossible so to interpret that despatch. The actual position of the question appeared to him to be that —

(1.) Mr Wingfield had reported that no mere cultivators in Oudh, whether of high casts or low, had any right to hold

land at less than market rates,

and at less than market rates,

(2) Lord Canning had accepted this report, and authorised its being acted on.

(3) Therefore the Taluqdár's sannads did not contain, and were not meant to contain, any reference to such rights;
(4) This form of sannad was approved by the Secretary

of State.

(5) It was now proposed, in consequence of Mr Campbell's report of the existence of "regulated rates," to "re-open and investigate afresh the question as to the general rights of the cultivators in Outh."

Mr Grey himself had no doubt that, whatever might have been the case in more ancient days, no such rights existed under the native rule which we superseded, and that any recognition of them now would be an act of injustice to

the Taluadára

Six John Lawrence wrote a second annute in relyl to that of Mr Grey. The answer is most crushingly complete, and, from the style in which it is conded, it is difficult not to suspect that Mr Mane had some share in its composition. It points out that Mr Winghell's whole course of action misspitably showed that he desired, no doubt with perfect amencity and conviction of purpose, to sweep away, so far as he possibly could, all subordante rights in taleque. The offer of the Governor-General to leave under his control the crecution of the measures now proposed was ample evidence.

of his trust in Mr. Wingfield's "official uprightness and capacity"; while the latter's refusal to accept the task under the conditions imposed by the Governor-General was "additional proof, were any needed, of the tenor of his conduct and of the bias of his mind." The sanction by Lord Canning, on the 8th of January 1861, of Mr. Wingfield's instructions of September 1860, directing settlement officers to record no distinction between tenants with a right of occupancy and tenants-at-will, could afford no possible ground for any inferences as to the original intention with which the form of sannad framed by Mr. Wingfield was approved of by Lord Canning in his letter of the 19th of October 1859. Grey, in fact, had transposed the order of time in which the proceedings took place. The Chief Commissioner, in his record of rights circular stated that "he has determined to make no distinction" between occupancy and other tenants; adding that the introduction of a right of permanent occupancy could only be justified by showing that nothing less would protect the ryot from ill-treatment. "This is not the language of a man who feels that he is restrained by a formal document from taking a particular step."

The terms, moreover, in which Lord Canning "approved generally" of Mr. Wingfield's instructions make it abundantly clear that he never dreamt that he was barred by the sannads from withholding his approval, and that he regarded the question simply as a matter of revenue detail. That the Secretary of State, while withholding his full opinion on the record of rights circular, of which he had received a copy from Mr. Wingfield while the latter was in England, had not expressly commented on its 31st paragraph, was no proof, as Mr. Grey had contended, that it had escaped his notice, or that if he had had any serious objection to make he would have made it. He probably regarded it as a question which should be first thoroughly discussed by the local authorities before being dealt with by him. Mr. Grey went even further than Mr. Wingfield himself in his real for the rights of the Taluqdars. The latter did admit that no recognition of any really existing rights could infringe Lord Canning's pledges; while the former maintained that,

whether rights of eccupancy existed or not, they could not be recognized without a hreach of faith. The obvious meaning of the saving clause in the same delarly was to cover "all persons holding any interest in the land under Taluquistans," and it anyone had been musted into the belief that rights of occupancy were not protected, this "must be attributed, not to the wording of the same d, but to the practical limitation gives to its terms by the refusal to admit the claims of cultivators". In short, the refutation of Mr-Grey's argument was so complete, that it would really be little less than brital to pursue the subject further

intic less than bratal to pursue the subject turther. Only one more contribution to the "modern symposium" remains to be considered—that of Sir Hugh Rose, which was, perhaps, the most original of all. The gallant general candidly admitted that he was not master of "this purely civil question," but was strongly opposed to the disturbance, by an inquiry which might prove needless, of the "propitious calm of one of the most influential positions of India." He also expressed, not, he assured his solf-lagues, for the first time, "the opinion that in their own interests, and those of Government, and not the right of an anatocracy." Which he considered to be "the duty of a Government, and not the right of an anatocracy." Which Sir Hugh magined to be the functions of a Taliqdár, if not to collect the rants ontof which he pays the revenue assessed upon his eatie, can only be matter of speculation. It is strange enough that he should have expressed such an opinion once, but that he should, on how showing, have done so a second time, is stranger still. Thus, somewhat grotesouler, can she dedeted.

Its practical outcome was a letter, dated the 50th of September 1864, to the Cheef Commusioner from the Government of India, much of which was a resume of the number which have just been ensumarised, and need not, therefore, be repeated. Claims to under-proprietary rights in falloys were to be tried on their ments if the rights claimed had been actually possessed in or after 1815, i.e. within twelve years prior to annexation. But all that could be decreed to the claimants was "the most favourable terms enjoyed by

the suitors in any one year since the incorporation of their lands with the taluqa, and not the equivalent of their former rights, viz. a sub-settlement at 5 per cent. on the Government demand."

With regard to occupancy rights, the substance of the arguments in favour of a full and free inquiry into their existence was recited, and it was ordered that provision should be made for the impartial hearing of all such claims. To relieve the Chief Commissioner of this additional work, and in compliance with his own request, the appointment of Financial Commissioner of Oudh was revived, and conferred on Mr. R. H. Davies—then Secretary to the Panjáb Government, and since, successively, Chief Commissioner of Oudh and Lieutenant-Governor of the Panjáb—whose orders in all judicial matters were to be final, while he was to be subject, administratively, to the general control of the Chief Commissioner. The office of Settlement Commissioner was to be abolished, and the Baiswára (Rai Bareli) division, which had been absorbed, was to be reconstituted, and placed in charge of Mr. Currie.

Finally, Mr. Wingfield was enjoined to "carefully impress upon the Taluqdárs that, whilst His Excellency in Council has no desire or intention of infringing the terms of the sannads granted them so long as they abide by the conditions binding on themselves, His Excellency is equally resolved that the just and benevolent intentions of the Government towards the holders of subordinate rights and interests secured by the same documents shall not be frustrated."

Brave words! How far they have hitherto been anything more than words will appear in due time.

The much vexed question was once more threshed out by the Secretary of State and his colleagues of the Indian Council. Sir Charles Wood, in his despatch of the 10th of February 1865, conveyed a general approval of the Governor-General's action, accompanied by a caution against doing anything more than was strictly measure to satisfy justice, or taking any measures likely to lower the dignity of the Taluqdárs. Perhaps the only passage in this despatch which can be considered a contribution to the subject is the following —

"It appears to me that the grants in the sannads' are necessarily co-extensive in their operation with that of the confiscation on which they are based. If only propinetary rights were forfeited, it was only necessary to re-grant proprietary rights, and then the occupancy rights remained on their former footing, whatever that was. If occupancy rights were forfeited, then they were restored and preserved under the named."

This despatch was approved of by a majority of mine to three in the Council Sir James Hogg, Sir Erskine Perry, and Mr. Micnaghten, the three dissentents, wrote more or less elaborate justifications of their dissent, while Sir Frederick Currie and Caphan Easterick recorded their reasons for concurrences. Any attempt, however, to analyse their arguments would be an abuse of the patience of the most long-suffering reader, and our attention may again be turned from Calcutta and London to Oudh itself, and centred, for a little, in Lucknow.

Shortly after his appointment as Financial Commissioner, Mr Davies, on the 15th of October 1864, assued a circular to all commissioners and settlement officers in Oudh, laying down as a general rule of himitation that all suits for rights in the soil, whether in taluque or in zamindaris, should be "heard and decided on their ments, provided that the dispossession of the claimant cannot be proved to have endured for twelve years from the date of annexation," te from the 13th of February 1844 to the 13th of Fobruary 1856 This circular was followed on the 24th of October by a second, declaring that "rights of cultivators, other than tenants-at-will, must be carefully investigated, and if judicially proved to exist, recorded in the settlement records" An inquiry was to be commenced simultaneously in each of the districts under settlement, i.e in all the twelve districts of Oudh, except Gonda, Bahraich, and Kheri Tasts of non-proprietary cultivators claiming a right of occupancy "in an equal number of villages (say twenty-five of each) whether held by Taluquárs or proprietary communities,"

were to be drawn up, and each case was to be investigated by the settlement officer or his assistant. Among the points to which inquiry was to be directed were (1) the khasra (or field book) numbers of the lands in which a right of occupancy was claimed; (2) whether occupancy of the same fields had been continuous, or, if it had been changed, whether, by local usage, such change injured the right or not: (3) the duration of the occupancy, and the number of successions in the family of the claimant; (4) the mode in which the occupancy arose, "whether by breakage of waste, by grant, or agreement, or otherwise"; (5) whether the proprietor had ever evieted, or could evict, the claimant or his ancestors; (6) the mode in which rent had been paid, variations, if any, in its amount, and the terms claimed in regard to it. The decision was to explain clearly the "nature of the right of occupancy, if any be decreed; whether it carries with it the right to pay anything less than the rack-rent demandable from the tenant-at-will, or a fixed rent, or nothing but a preferential title to cultivate so long as the proprietor's demands are fulfilled." Mr. Davies hoped to be able to issue further general instructions as to the disposal of this class of cases, after reviewing a certain number of decisions.

It was not, of course, to be expected that the Taluadárs would fail to exert themselves to discredit the Governor-The local papers abounded in denunciation General's action. of Sir John Lawrence and Mr. Davies. The "Englishman," the "Indian Daily News," and the "Delhi Gazette" were not behindland. The British Indian Association held meetings to condemn the "communistic" policy, at which the eloquence of Mahárája Mán Singh, "the patriotic Bráhman," as the local organ of the Taluquars fondly styled him, was especially conspicuous. One of the favourite arguments of the opponents of the inquiry into tenant-right was an appeal to experience of what had been done in this way in the Panjab after its annexation, or, in other words, a warning that, by the introduction of tenant-right, the state of one of the least flourishing provinces of India might be assimilated to that of one of the most prosperous. For it can scarcely be defied that the condition of the Panjab is economically

better than that of Oudh Another bulwark of the opposition was the assertion that "the universal practice of exchanging paties and qabilityats (leases and counterparts) which prevails in Oudh montroverthily falsifies such an idea" as that of the eristence of a right of occupancy. The practice, however, so far from being universal, was, and in many parts of the province still is, rather are than otherwise

"The cryot rose in the morning, and went to his bed at night, fully aware that his landlord had the power to drive him out of his boses and field if he had sufficient reason to do so," and any measure likely to weaken this satisfactory, conviction in the mind of the cultivator could not fail to be runous to society. "A high ren's the true sign of national prosperity," and Government was adjured not to hurl India lack to "the darkness and stagnation of its past ages," by interfering between landlord and tenant, but rather to "leave them free to work out their several good, to go forward in the bright career before them, and to establish peace and prosperity to its loyal subjects." The "Hindoo Partnot," the organ of the Bengal handlords, was even of opinion that whatever "ingith have been the usages of the country or the ancient rights of the people, they had all been annulled by the educt of confiscation." Such are a few of the gens withly accounted.

accorated
All this is bad enough, certainly, but after all it is not worse than much that has been said and written by Indidords in other countries when they thought their privileges were in danger. When, moreover, the assiduity with which the Tainquists had for five years perviously been educated by Mr. Wingfield is remembered, it must be admitted that a good deal of allowance is to be made for them, and even that, on the whole, they duplayed a creditable moderation. Perhaps the most eager invective against the proposed measures state and ulged in hy one of the most parrens Taluqdárs in Oudh, "the patriotic Bráhman," some account of whose previous hastory, and of the rise of his family from obscurity, tagether with the mode in which their estate was put together, has been stready given. If the Mahársija Mán

Singh was half as elever a man as he is generally supposed to have been, he can hardly have failed to perceive the comical nature of the situation, and must have felt very like Lord Beaconsfield expounding the virtues of fat oxen to an audience of Buckinghamshire farmers. Viewed in this light, his address to the British Indian Association was really a piece of exceedingly gracious fooling. "If these rights belong to our tenants," he declared, "we cannot overbear them. do not suppose there is one among us who would deny this, and would be willing to take away the property of those who have eaten his salt for ages. Religion, morality, law, and social usages would all compel us to give up what is not our own." The orator omitted, indeed, to mention for how many ages the tenants of the Mahdona estate had eaten the salt supplied by his family, or how far "religion, morality, law, and social usages" had been effective in leading him to restore to the plundered zamindárs of Faizábád the lands of which his father Darshan Singh and he himself had deprived them. But these, after all, were matters of detail, and his period was perhaps quite as effective without them. "You are all aware," he continued, "that our ryots live on our estates only by sufferance." Of course they were all aware of it, or they would not have been the men they were. "They have been allowed to retain hold of our lands for generations, not because they had any right to what they held, but because we were kind enough not to deprive them of their homes and comforts every now and then. The rvots all along knew, however, that we had power to deprive them of their holdings, if they in any way crossed us. . . . Possession, however continued it may be, is not right. I may keep in my possession a golden ornament of another for centuries together, but still it is his, and I have no right thereto."

Disinterested native opinion is generally opposed to the doctrine that the recovery of a right should be barred by any definite lapse of time, so that this view of the law of limitation, though peculiar in itself, was not unsuited to the audience to whom it was expounded, always providing that it was not intended to apply to the claims of ousted ramindárs.

What is more noteworthy is the phrase stallessed, which is not without nattructiveness for those, if there are still any such, who maintain that no landlord would ever think of outsing a tenant who was willing to pay a fair rent for his holding. I have perhaps langered too long over the speciacle of the Maháraja Mán Singh posing in the utilitide of the hereditary fendal chief, but the humorous aspects of the Oudh land question are so rare, that when one does come upon one of them, it is not easy to let it go at once. It is high time, however, to plunge once more into the grave realities of the tenant-right impury, an account of which can best be given district by district

The investigation in Rai Bareli was conducted by Captain (now Colonel) Macandrew—at present Commissioner of Stapur, and author, among other kindred works, of a valuable little treatise on "Some Revenue Matters"—and by his assistant, Mr Lang Its result was, in Captain Macandrew's own words, that "tenant-right did not exist in any ships under native rule in the Rai Bareh district," and that "the only idea" which the people had on the subject was "that they have a sort of right to cultivate their holdings, so long as they ray the rest which may be demanded."

Turning to the records of the cases themselves, which are printed in their entirety in the tennat-right Bloe Book, we find a general consensus of opinion among the cultivators examined, or those of them, at any rate, who were of high caste, that they had a right to complain to the Chalkidir if oppressed or ousted by the handbord. None of thom had ever done it themselves, but they had heard that their fathers used to do so. Some sud that the Chakhidir never listened to asimit, but there was endenthy a general impression that the proper thing for an oppressed arisis to do was to complain to the Chalkidir. Of course," said one, "the Thain-dir could look an asimit if he would, it was always a side of disturbance during the Nawishi." There was also a general notion that under British rule, cultivators, as a matter of course, enjoyed faity of tenure. Indeed, there seems some ground for supposing that there was a tendency on the part of many of the cultivators examined to exaggeratio

the misrule which had prevailed under the King of Ondh, by way of paying a compliment to the new order of things, e.g. in one village belonging to Rána Shankar Baksh of Khajúrgánw, all the tenants who were questioned stated that "in the Nawábí, the zamíndár could do as he liked, either raise the rents, or oust them, but that he cannot do so under British rule. When asked why he cannot now, Hewanchal, Tirbedi, says he gave a sawál (petition) at Bareli some four years ago that he was ousted, and was put back in possession." Again, Goka, Sukul, when asked if the Taluqdár could have turned him out in the Nawábí, answered, "No, he should not," on which the following dialogne ensued:—

"Q. If he did, what would you have done?

"A. I would have complained to the Hakim.

"Q. Would be have listened to you?

"A. I can't say. I don't know of any instance in which he gave an order in such a case.

"Q. Do you consider, if you paid a less rent than the value of your land, the lambardar has a right to raise it?

"A. Formerly he had the right, now he has not.

"Q. Why has he not that right now?

"A. In the Nawabi he could have beaten me and turned me out; now he cannot do so."

Again—the witness being Jagannath, Dichit, in another village:-

"Q. Then you allow he had the power to oust you?

"A. Yes, but it is not right for him to do so."

Low-caste cultivators, however, generally speaking, dis-

claimed all rights of any kind.

The cases investigated in Sultanpur are not instructive, being nearly all claims for what was really under-proprietary right, brought by zamindars or founders of hamlets, not claims to rights of occupancy by cultivators pure and simple. Some of Captain Perkins' remarks, however, are worth quoting:—

"The tenants of this district can scarcely understand the rights which it was supposed they might possess; they are an ignorant body, and have been too much in the condition of serfs to have any clear notion of rights based only on long occupancy. If possession of the same fields for a course of years at equitable rates creates a right of occupancy, the rights to be recognised would still be very few, for tenants, as a rule, have held their fields at inequitable rates Occasionally, the landlord is found to have brought rents "of high and low-caste cultivators alber almost to a uniform level. The high-caste man groaus under what he considers oppression, but he does not dispute the right to oppress hum." A right of occupancy seemed to be conferred by founding a hunlet, locating cultivators, or planting a grove, "but the difficulty of making such inaquaries has been considerably enhanced by the fast that the chief landholders have learned, through the medium of circulars, to desy the existence of such rights. . The practice of the Nevenue Courts has tended to teach old curtaions that they cannot be outsed, and they bless Government for the boon, but do not think that the right exists underendently of the pleasure of the Administration."

The Pratifigath inpury was conducted by Mr. R. M. King, and his assistant, Captum Ralph Ouseley. Mr. King seems to have felt too virially the hadronizers of the riers of tenant-right entertained by many of the cultivators he examined to make a very laborious or exhaustive investigation of the abbrect. And many of them certainly are funny, very funny, eg as an illustration of mond force, one Bráhman alleged that he could not be rick-rented because "his could grow his hair at the zamindit, who would at onco desist, terrified at such a danger" Benn, Muršo, "would sue of ousted, because he has made his kêter (fields) so nice, and spent so much in manure, would not stand being turned out by anybody but the Scalett (Government)." Girje, Kurmi, "is a cultivator, and is fully aware of it, and thuis it a poor position." Another "would refer the question of his outser to Government, if it occurred, all such ouster is subarded; to Gopersmon \mathcal{M} Affith "has a cultivator rights, asys these are a right to fill his belly." A sixth "has a cultivator are a right to fill his belly." A sixth "has a cultivator are a right to fill his belly." A sixth "has a cultivator are a right to fill his belly." A sixth "has a cultivator would be a shame to turn him out; would not here if he ware austed."

The most noticeable discovery made by Captain Ouseley in the course of his inquiry was that of two paradnas issued by native officials, dated 1251 Hijrí, and 1243 Faslí, forbidding the zamíndárs of Rání Man to raise the rents of certain Bráhman cultivators of that village.

But if Mr. King's records were somewhat meagre, his report is exceedingly valuable. In it, for the first time in the history of the controversy, is the question of tenant-right placed upon its true footing, as a matter of public

policy.

"What," he asked, "were the relations between the Talugdar, the cultivator, and the native Government of Oudh. which we have promised (by the sannads) to maintain? hold it to be a true view that the Government of Oudh looked upon itself as sole owner of the land of the country it governed, except in those cases where it had alienated that right by its own act, and that this idea was only modified in practice by the limited power of the Government. . . . The only beneficial use to which Government could put its assumed property in the land was the raising of revenue from it; and therefore they practically recognised the existing facts of the appropriation of the land by the so-called owners, and the cultivation of it by the lower classes; and I think in their treatment of each class may be found the view they held of them. The landowner was, they thought, too much of an opposite interest to their own to be altogether approved of; but being a fact, and also capable of doing much to thwart the Government, was tolerated and kept in check. The lower classes, or cultivators, were to them the working bees of the hive, from whose labours the revenue was to be drawn. The old traditions of the Mughal Empire, and probably of all Hindústání dynasties, wisely inculcated the maintenance of a contented peasantry as the firmest basis of their power, and though they succeeded remarkably ill in carrying out their intentions, yet the legend was not abandoned, and may be traced in the majority of the pattas for the annual payment of revenue from the native officers to zamindars, or even farmers. In these deeds ridge razi rakhna' (to keep the ryots contented) is, next to the punctual

payment of the revenue, the most indispensable condition of the tenure It may be conceded, however, that the latter condition was not much more urreligiously observed than the former and I do not think that it can be argued from the misadventures of the Oudh Government in this particular. that they either never had, or ever abandoned, a power to exert themselves on behalf of the cultivators. To come to later times, it is a matter of history that the reforms which the British Government urged upon that of Oudh included some measure for the better security of the cultivating classes, and it is quite possible that had the King adopted any sound system for such an end, he would be at this for our present inquiries Arriving, then, at the period of annexation, I believe the above sketch to represent truly the then status of the cultivator, ie that there was a Government tradition affort as to the duty of protecting him; that there were some excessively poor attempts to protect him; but that at any time the Oudh Government might by caprice or design have interfered in his behalf in a more or less of design days interfered in his benait in a more of less, effective manner Passing over the anneration, relyllion, and recovery of the province, we come to the epoch of the sannads, which guarantee to the Taluqdar the rights which subman, which guarantee to the Indiquar the Fight which be had enjoyed at annexation. If my statements are so far correct as they apply to the power which resided in the Oudh Government at annexation, it follows that the Taluquár was then liable to interference on behalf of the cultivator, and can it be, then, that the sannad guarantees him from all interference now? . The guarantee of the sannads, as far as it affects, or will in time be found to affect, the interests of others than the Talaqdárs or the Government, must be as if it did not exist, and the question of tenantright, like all other similar ones, must be debated on its own merits and the demands of volicy."

This extract puts the gat of the whole matter in the clearest possible light. The inquiry into the existence of tenant-right, as a question of fact, was no doubt an interesting historical research, but it was a grave, though, happily, not an irrepreable blunder to separate it from the wilder. question of the desirability of establishing tenant-right as a matter of public policy; or to use language that might imply that Government was in the slightest degree debarred by the result of an inquiry into what had been in the past from taking steps which might be necessary or expedient for the public welfare in the future.

The settlement officer of Hardui, Mr. Bradford, reported that "such tenant-rights as are contemplated in Book Circular No. II. of October 1864 do undoubtedly exist," and he divided the persons whom he considered to possess them into three classes, viz. (1) descendants of ex-proprietors; (2) high-caste cultivators, such as Bráhmans and Rájpúts; and (3) low-caste chapparband asámis, who had held the same fields for generations at unvarying rents. The rents of these three classes of cultivators should, he considered, he fixed and recorded.

Many of the first of these three classes had claims to under-proprietary right, and therefore did not come within the scope of the inquiry. As to the high-easte cultivators pure and simple, Mr. Bradford dwelt on the universality and antiquity of the enstom by which they held their lands at beneficial rents; and urged the significant fact that fighting men of low eastes, such as Báris and Pásis, and sometimes Kurmis, or even Militars, did military service for their Thákurs, but did not hold, like Bráhmans and Chhatris, at low rents. This seems a sufficient answer to the assertion that low rents were merely the reward of military service, and to point to the conclusion that the true reason for granting them was one which still continues to exist, viz. the conventional inability of high-caste men to cultivate, or to live, as cheaply as men of inferior caste. In favour of the right of chapparband asamis to be confirmed in their holdings at the rent which they had been paying for generations, Mr. Bradford, while allowing that "the laudlord could, during the Nawabi, have exacted more, had he chosen to disregard the law, the custom of patriarchal India, for they are synonymous," argued that this only proved the state of lawlessness and misrule which then prevailed. Where, in short, a beneficial custom had been found to prevail, it should be upheld, even though, under native rule, there was no authority which actively enforced its observance. Generally speaking, the cultivators examined by Mr. Bradford made no claim to retain the land against the will of the zamindár, and admitted that he could turn them out and enhance their rent if be liked, which, indeed, went without saying, though it was not customary, and not the proper thing for a welldiscreed landford to do

The result of the Sitapur inquiry, conducted by Major Thompson, was reported by him to be that "a right of occupancy under native rule, on the part of non-proprietary tenants, cannot be traced". The most instructive part of his researches was the examination of káningos, some of whose answers are worth recording. They generally agreed that the zamindár could do what he liked with land held by tenants, for "the landlord was the Hákim over his cultivators; the Chakladár was Hákim over the landlord." The zamindát, from a regard to his own safety, always tried to keep on good terms with high-caste cultivators, or ômnels. "Disputes were very rare, because it was the interest of bott to agree." Panchayats to arbitrate on claims to cultivating occupancy were unknows, for "a cultivator's right was not such a commendable (? desirable) thing as to form the subject of a claim or reference to arhitration."

Munshi Har Prasad, Extra-Assistant Commissioner. stated that the only persons who enjoyed complete immunity from eviction were the Bhuas, or relatives of the landlord. Even they, however, could be ejected :- " The Taluqdar had the power to do it undoubtedly, for he had the power of life and death, but he did not exercise it because they were his own relations." Exactly so. A powerful landlord during the Nawabi could evict a tenant, or enhance his rent, or take away his wife from him, or cut his head off, with as much, or as little, likelihood of being called to account by Nazim or Chakladar for one act as for another. That claims by dispossessed cultivators to be reinstated were almost unknown. was not surprising, for "the cultivation of the soil"-it is still Munshi Har Prasad who speaks-" was not considered a valuable thing. A man turned nut of his holding by one landlord could get another holding immediately from

another, and every cultivator could get the same terms which his caste usually got. Even the Bhyas, who had slightly better terms than the ámneks, could get holdings on their own terms, for it was thought a very fine thing to get the Bhyas of a neighbouring Taluqdár to settle on an estate."

Kesri, Bráhman, stated:—"I have never had a difference with my landlord, and he never interfered with me or raised my rent, but he could do what he liked. He took away all the crop together from a cultivator if he was angry with him." It is a pity this witness was not asked whether he would have had any remedy in this latter case, and whether the Chakladár would have done anything for him.

"In the case of a man who had cleared jungle," says Major Thompson, "zamíndárs have admitted to me that the clearer would be entitled to hold the land as long as he paid rent, and that the rent would only be liable to enhancement if the Government demand were raised."

In Faizábád, Mr. Carnegy, with the aid of his Assistant, Captain Edgar Clarke, made an extremely elaborate inquiry, the result of which was, in his opinion, to disprove not only the existence of any occupancy rights in that district, but also in the adjoining N.W. Provinces district of Azingarh, up to the time of its annexation, or "cession," in 1801. This, it may be observed, was in accordance with Mr. Wingfield's contention, that tenant-right throughout Upper India was unknown until created by ourselves; and if by tenantright is meant a right to occupy land at a fixed rent, which could be enforced by the tenant, through a legal tribunal, against the will of the zamindar, there can not only be no doubt that he was right in denying, but it may be questioned whether anybody ever asserted, its existence under native rule. "The cultivators of a powerful but prudent landlord," wrote Mr. Carnegy, "will be found, almost to a man, to have held at unchanging rates for years, while in the badly managed estates the changes were frequent." This is pretty strong evidence that it is not in well-managed estates that the introduction of universal tenant-right would prove injurious to the landlords. Nearly all the cultivators examined by Mr. Carnegy agreed that, if turned out by the ramindar

during the Nawabf, they were helpless, "because," said one,
"there was no one to listen to disputes between landlord and tennit." "The Talaqdar," said another, "could have
ousted us without redress, because there was none for our
class under native rule." As Mr. Carnerg himself puts it,
"the samindar could have ousted them if he liked, or could
have driven them away by overtaking them; and in either case
they were helpless, because there was, under the King's government, no tribunal for the redress of tenant grievances."
It was generally agreed, however, that no zamindar would
oust a tenant and give the land to another, ercept in the
event of a refusal to pay the rend demanded. Enhancement
of rent was tare, and when it did occur, was general throughouts a village, and rateable

out a vilage, and raceable

A number of Kurni cultivators examined by Captain
Clarke said that they and their ancestors had held the same
lands for ten generations, but made no claim to a fixed rent
They had only one request to make, which was that the
assessment of their village might be reduced, "hecause then
the proprietor will have to pay less, and consequently we
shall too." This is strongly suggestive of a little tutoring on
the part of the xamindár, but there is no doubt that an
increase of revenue is always followed by an attemptusually successful—on the Jort of the máguzár to rause
rents. Some Bráhmans, endowed with more speculative
caumen than most of the witnesse architect, declared, "We
consider it to be our right to enjoy the same fields we now
hold always; like as the Government lets the Talquár hold
his villages always, so we are entitled to our fields always;

and as regards the rents, the Court may do as it likes"
On, Hajjam (barber), stated that "during the King's time,
the asomis were ground down, and dared not complain

Shiodin, Khewat, said, "During the Nawabi the zamindar was all-powerful; as long as the cultivator paid his rent, he was generally maintained, but could not claim to hold as a right; he could be cousted at any time at the will

of the zamíndár, and if he offered active resistance, was likely to be tortured, or perhaps killed. There was no redress in any court as under the British Government now. The zamíndár ousted him in Asárh last. He complained to the district court, and was put in possession in spite of the zamíndár, as there is nothing to fear. He could not, and dared not, complain in the Nawábí." By this time he has probably learnt the folly of complaining under British rule as it at present exists.

Here, as in other districts, the cultivator pure and simple had no rights which he could practically enforce against the will of the zamindar, and the occupation of particular fields was not, under native rule, valuable enough to make him struggle very much to retain it; it was, in fact, not recognised as a right at all, but rather as a duty. The only right in the matter was deemed to be the right of the zamindar to get his fields cultivated at the highest possible rent, not that of the tenant to cultivate them at the lowest. It should, moreover, be remembered that under the rules in force at the time this inquiry was made, ordinary cultivators in Oudh actually were, and believed that they would continue to be, better protected against eviction and enhancement of rent than in any other part of Hindústáu, exclusive of the Panjáb. The protective rules have since been swept away, and it is very doubtful whether, if the inquiry were now to be made over again, cultivators would dwell with the same freedom on their helplessness during the Nawabi as a thing that was past and gone, and quite certain that they would not speak with the same easy unconcern of their security under British rule.

"It so falls out.
That what we have we prize not to the worth,
Whiles we enjoy it; but being lacked and lost,
Why, then we rack the value; then we find
The virtue that possession would not show us.
Whiles it was ours."

To the same effect as the Faizabad evidence, though of still stronger tendency, was that recorded in Unao by Mr. Maconachic. In this district there were, until annexation, hardly any considerable Taluqdars, nearly the whole of it

being beld by small zamíndárs, who were incessantly har-ried by the King's officers. Holdings and rents were constandy changing, and there was probably no part of Oudh in which, during the later years, at any rate, of the Nawabi, oppression and disturbance were more babutually rife. Out-tirators were perpetually absconding, and to keep them from doing so was the man object of the zamindår A few extracts from the evidence seem sufficiently instructive to be worth quoting.

Subha, Brihman, lambardar of Nigohi, said :- "Since annexation, the land has become more valuable, and no one will give up the boldings which formerly we had great difficulty

in inducing them to retain."

Guláb, Káchhi .- "I allow that he (the zamíndár) had right to oust me in the Nawabi, but not now, the system being different. I mean, Government officials would not allow him to oust me. . . I was always leaving the village; every two or three years I absconded, and was brought back."

Ram Singh, Brihman:- "I never heard of any cultivator's rights. Only those obtained anything who had assistance from friends in Lucknow. Without them, nothing could be

obtained: with them, almost anything"

Raghbar Dydl, Kayath, Lárinda of Gulib Singh, samin-dár:—"The land was not worth cultivators' fighting about in the Nawabi, and consequently no one cared much whether they lost or held "

Ramdin, Lodh :- "The only right a cultivator who built a

well ever received was to pay a higher rent."

Rám Ghulám, Kayaih:-"I never saw a cultivator who built a pakka well ousted A zamiudár would not do so, as he would be ashamed to oust a man who had expended money

on the property. Of course, if right is inquired about, he had right, but he would consider it wrong to do so." Debidin, Kavath, an ex-Kanango .- " Right was never recognised. When zamindirs were turoed out, what chance

had cultivators?"

Chole, Barhái (carpenler):—"We were forcibly made to cultivate the land, and punished if we wished to give it up."

Mohan Lil, Bráhman, speaking of another Bráhman.—"In

the Nawabí no one cared about the land; now land is not to be got anyhow, and he wishes to retain the land he now holds as a means of livelihood. What is he to do, or where to go, if he loses the land he now holds?"

Similar extracts might be quoted ad libitum, but these will probably suffice to convey a pretty distinct notion of the state of society to which they relate. Yet even here, the legend of the duty of Government to protect the cultivator was not wholly extinct, e.g. Thákur, Káchhi, said :- "My family have been fourteen generations in this village. . . . If I were ousted, I should complain. Had I been ousted in the Nawabi, I would have complained to the Wazir at Lucknow: I would plead that I had held for a long time, and had always paid what was demanded of me. My uncle once went to Delhi, and complained of increase of rent, and obtained an order letting him off. This was before I can remember, and before Oudh was a separate country." This statement being made in 1865, and Oudh having been a "separate country" since 1720, or, at latest, since 1739, it can hardly have been Thakur's uncle who went to Delhi, but this trifling inaccuracy does not impair the survival of the legend.* On the evidence before him, Mr. Maconachie naturally came to the conclusion that the cultivators of Unio had no rights which they could enforce, and that, under the circumstances, they could not reasonably have been expected to possess any such rights.

No record of evidence from the Lucknow district is to be found in the Blue Book, but the settlement officer, Mr. Copeland Capper, furnished a report on the subject, which has a least the merit of reducing the matter of the inquiry to fairly definite terms. He presumed that "rights" include all benefits or powers which (1) accrued from written law, and were or could have been enforced by the constituted courts or the administration of the former government; (2) those not dependent on written law, but recognised as customary by the local panchayats of the village or dis-

Perhaps the allusion may have been to the assumption of the kingly title by Ghází-ud-din Haidar in 1819.

triet, and enforced by them with the common consent of the people; or (3) such as, though unknown to the ruder or ganisation of the native government, would, on the introduc-

••

years prior to annoration, and the difficulty with which even proprietors and lords of manors maintained their rights and that discognantation aniang from the prevalence of the law of might against right was, as we were informed at the ameration in 1836, the main reason for the introduction of British rule, I cannot but think that there will be very few cases in which a title cognisable under the analogy of common law will be shown." In short, the disintegration of society, in order to remedy whoch Oudh was annexed, rendered the enstence of occupancy rights which could be enforced, an impossibility, and, if they had existed, they would have been, in the then state of society, of very triling economical value. This, however, is a much better reason for than against the creation of such rights now.

The Barabanki inquiry, made by Mr H B. Harington, was perhaps the most thoughtfully conducted, and his

the rent

equally uncontrolled power over the distribution of the produce? "Are we to look to capnee, to competition, or to custom as determining the position of the collivator and the adjustment of his real?" To these questions Mr. Harngton answers that so far as his inquiries extended, he found custom excressing a very great influence, competition existing only to a very limited extent, and usage regulating in a remarkable degree the exercise of the landlord power, both as to outsing tennata and adjusting rents. It was generally agreed that, of the gross produce of the soil, the landlord was cuttified to receive from ordinary cultivators until the control of the soil, the landlord was cuttified to receive from ordinary cultivators.

one half, and from privileged cultivators two-fifths; and on this principle were grain rents commuted to eash payments. In enhancing rent, the zamíndár was supposed to be bound to conform to the usage of the country, and not to be at liberty to demand more than the cash equivalent of that portion of the produce which was really his due, i.c. twofifths from the privileged or high-caste (amnck) enlivator, and one half from the unprivileged. There was further a general feeling that no cultivator but "a fool or a foe" would offer more than that equivalent. Hence Mr. Harington considered that competition was so limited by custom as to have, practically, no existence. He therefore held that the true parallel to the cultivating tenures of Oudh is, not the cottier system of Ireland, but the metayer system of Tuscany or Limonsin. He recognised, however, the likelihood of the metayer being transformed into the cottier sys-. tem by the growth of competition, and being fully aware that "no greater evil can befall a state than a cottier system in which, as in Ireland, competition is only tempered by assassination," advocated the authoritative limitation of the rise of rents to the bounds imposed by custom. He thought the Talugdars would, "without exception, subscribe to an agreement which would bind them to raise no rent, and evict no tenant, except in accordance with the usage of the province." That usage, he thought, might be easily defined. true that the existence of the custom would seem to justify the introduction of the law," but if the good results of the law could be obtained without it, Mr. Harington thought it would be better to abstain from legislative interference.

A number of minor points were, of course, brought out in the course of this careful inquiry, but the most noteworthy were the following:—

(1.) Such right of occupancy as existed resolved itself into the right of refusal of land at a higher rent if any bond fide higher offer were made by an outsider.

(2.) An old tenant, or one who had improved his holding, would be allowed by any well-disposed landlord to retain his fields at a somewhat lower rent than the highest which an outsider would offer.

- (3.) Twenty years' possession constituted an old tenant (qadim asimi).
- (4) Landlords admitted that rents should not be raised unconditionally (be Aisth), but only with reference to the rates pard for neighbouring fields or the quality of the soil
- (5) No low-caste cultivator would bid against an dmnel, i.e. one receiving the allowance known as kir or bhidia—which amounts to a deduction of one-suth of the gross produce (or its cash equivalent where grain rent has been commuted to a money payment)—on account of wages of the ploghmen who do the work which custom forbids a high-caste man to do for himself.

To this it may be added that Káchhis and Muráos, who pay very much higher reuts than any other class, will not bid against one another; nor will a men of either of those castes consent to cultivate land from which one of his fellow castemen has been sicted.

It is doubtless true, as Mr. Harington asserts, that when rent is paid in kind, the proportion of the produce to which the landlord is entitled is strictly determined by custom, and that the share of the tonant is never supposed to he less than one half or, in some cases, than nineteen-fortieths of the gross out-turn. It is also true that high-caste cultivators are almost always, where grain rents prevail, allowed kur or bhata. The more favoured of these amneks pay, after deduction of bhata, one-third (tikur), one-fourth (chaukur), or sometimes only one-fifth (packkur), of the gross produce as rent Landlords, however, generally maintain a chronic struggle to increase this proportion to one-half, which, when bháta is allowed, is known as ádhá bháta, and without it, as karra ádhá To this extent competition is certainly limited. and rents thus determined are rather metayer than cottier rents.

It may also be true that when grain rents are commuted to cash payments, the amount of the latter is calculated on the basis of equivalence to the proportion of produce previously paid, or, at least, that such proportion is sometimes regarded as an element of the question. But it is very

doubtful, indeed, whether in money rents of old standing any such reforence to the actual produce can be traced, and the influence of custom in determining such rents is very much less marked. Rise of rents is due far less to competition of one cultivator against another, which is, indeed, somewhat rare, than to pressure applied by the landlord, enforced by the threat of eviction. The process is very simple. A landlord in want of money persuades himself that the cultivators of a given village will stand an extra turn of the screw without bolting. He demands, perhaps, an additional two annas in the rupee, or four annas per bigha. There is a long wrangle over the demand. Probably nine tenants out of ten finally agree to accept his terms, rather than abandon their homes and holdings. The recusants are served with notices of ejectment, and if this does not reduce them to what the landlord considers reason, they are probably cjected. If no other tenant will take up their fields at the enhanced rent, the landlord will bring them into his own cultivation, or sir. Here there has been a general rise of rents all round, but if the cause be competition at all, it is the competition of the landlord, as cultivator of his $\epsilon(r,$ against the tenants, not that of one tenant against another. Perhaps it would be more simple, without being less accurate, to say that the rise of rents is due to the landlord's "desire of wealth," which here, and in many other cases, as Mr. Cliffe Leslie has remarked, is not so much a productive as a predatory impulse.

The inquiry into tenant-right having been completed by the end of the cold season of 1864-65, Mr. Davies, on the 19th of June, submitted to the Chief Commissioner his report on the result. After reviewing the evidence from each district, he concluded that no prescriptive right on the part of cultivators pure and simple to retain their holdings against the will of the zamindar was to be found in Oudh, and that it was therefore impracticable, "at present," to register in the settlement records as occupancy tenants all who had been in possession for a certain number of years, as had been done in the N. W. Provinces at the settlement of 1822-1833. He held, however, that the inquiry esta-

blished that the status of tenants in Ondh at anneration was the same as that of tenants in the N. W. Provinces in 1822. The records then framed were only intended to show the ensiting state of possession, and were liable to be altered by civil suit, while in Oodh no rights were recorded which had not been judically decreed by the settlement officer acting 3AA civil court.

Turning to the economical side of the question, Mr. Davies took his stand on the doctrine of which Mr. Mill has been the leading English exponent, that rents paid by labourers raising their wages from the soil cannot safely be abandoned to competition. Hence he dedeed an anawer to Mr. Wingfield's favourite question, "Why allow competition for grain, and not for the rent of land paid by peasants?" His answer was, "Becanse competition for grain has no tendency to multiply the number of months to be fed; but by adjusting its price in proportion to the supply, rather puts people on their thrift; whereas competition for rack-rue theses by encouraging false confidence, by eventually lowering wages, and by minimising the prudential checks, has a direct tendency to stimulate the increase of population, and in course of time to lessen the fund for its reproct."

Mr. Davies "entertained no hope whatever of the improvement of agriculture in Oudh by the expenditure of capital on the part of large landholders. Similar outlay by the corresponding class in Europe has been rare and sparing; and the traditions, habits, and iduosyncracy of Ondh Taling-ddrs are not such as to render it probable that they will differ much in this respect from the Russinz, French, and Huncarain nobles."

The fact is that a "good landlord" in Indian parlance does not mean an improver of his estate, but one who refrains

hereafter be needed, Mr. Davies was "decidedly of opinion that, apart from political engagements, Act X. of 1859 is as much adapted to the circumstances now existing in Oudh as it is to the North-Western Provinces. Its introduction would

merely transmute customs into rights. I concur generally in the remarks occurring on this subject in Mr. King's report; and if, at any future time, the condition of the cultivating classes should become such as to demand legislative interference, if it should be found that from the operation of causes familiar in their effects to modern science, the country is reduced to a worse state than that from which the British annexation was intended to rescue it, I conceive that the duty of dealing with such an emergency cannot be evaded by the Government."

In submitting all the papers to the Government of India, Mr. Wingfield expressed dissent, more or less modified, from nearly all Mr. Davies' conclusions, except the primary one, that no rights of occupancy against the will of the zamindár had been proved to exist. He maintained that competition had been shown to be already far from uncommon; that the fate of the ryots of south-eastern Oudh could not be considered hard if they were driven by pressure of population to Kheri and the trans-Ghághra districts; and that, though cultivators may be protected against their landlords—"not that the Chief Commissioner means to imply that any such protection is needed"—they cannot be protected from the consequence of an increase in their numbers.

That competition was already not uncommon, was proof of the nrgent necessity of fixing rents; not as Mr. Wingfield argued, that as custom had begun to give way to contract, the process should be allowed to continue unchecked, and no beneficial usages reduced to law. The fate of a cultivator driven from his ancestral village to a strange region where he will probably die of fever within five years is not exactly soft. And though no State can protect its subjects from the consequences of over-population, it can do something to check the too rapid growth of population by adopting institutions calculated to increase, and not to diminish, the force of prudential checks. That tenants enjoying security of tenure at a fixed rent are more likely to be prudent, than if they were subject to rack-renting and eviction, scarcely needs demonstration.

Mr. Davies had asserted that when nent was enhanced, it

was generally raised in proportion to the capacity of the land. Mr. Wingfield thought it was "not easy to see on what other principle than the capabilities of the soil the rent could be raised." It never seemed to occur to him that the helplessness of the cultivator may be such a principle, and that it is only capitalist rents that are determined by the capacity of the soil. At any rate, he declined to "enter into

political question, Mr. Wingfield, of course, held that tenantright being proved not to exist, the sannads were a bar to its creation. How truly was it said by one of old, "Where there is no cusion the people perial!"

The local authorities having thus said their say, the running was taken up by Mr (soon to become Sir William) Mur, who was then Sercitary to the Gorenment of India, in a very able "Memorandum on the Investigation into Tenant Rights in Oudh," dated the 20th of October 1865. To this memorandum were added three appendices, on (I) the existing law and practice of Oudh counts in regard to cultivating tenures; (2) the result of the local inquiry into rights of non-proprietary cultivators; and (3) tenant-right in the N W. Frovinces and other parts of India. These appendices were intended by Mr. Mur to be read before the memorandum. The summary of the first appendix may be stated in his own words —

"The right of occupancy at a fair rent, is the prevailing or customary rent of the neighbourhood, was early recognised and inculcated in our administration of the province, as inherent in ryots generally, and specially so if they had long cultivated the land; the principle has been (with some occasional expressions in the abstract reserving the right of outsing tennat-at-will) consistently reterated from time to time, and it now forms the rule of the district courts which have the jurisdiction in this class of cases." A persual of the circular orders issued on this subject by the Chief Commissioner clearly shows that, after directing, in April 1839,

that wherever under-proprietary rights were "doubtful, the doubt should be given in favour of the Taluqdár," he proceeded to lay down that, except in the case of cultivators who paid a beneficially low rent in consequence of prescriptive right, and not by mere favour or easte privilege, there was "nothing to prevent the Taluqdar from now raising the rents to the fair rate of the pargana." But in May of the samo year these latter orders were modified by a second eircular directing that in the case of cultivators holding at beneficial rates by virtue of easte, "the Taluquárs will not be considered authorised to raise the rents during this settleinent, without the full consent of the tenants, if they have held at such reduced rates for at least twenty years." Only under-proprietary cultivators were to be deemed entitled to hold at fixed rates, all others being "simply káshtkárs or asamis, whose rents may be raised to fair rates, and among whom there is no distinction of gadim (ancient) and jadid (modern). . . . The Chief Commissioner, however, must not be misunderstood to authorise the Taluquars to raise their rents beyond the fair rates of the country, merely because, at them, their incomes are not double the Government jama" (revenue demand). This obviously implies, and in subsequent orders it was expressly declared, that any cultivator, not holding merely under a terminable lease, was entitled to contest in the revenue courts any claim to enhancement which he considered unfair, and to obtain a lease at rates which he might call upon those courts to fix.

Mr. Wingfield afterwards stated, in his review of the tenant-right inquiry, that the above orders were needful to protect under-proprietary tenants from injustice, until the record of rights was completed. But it is obvious that such a precaution was, according to Mr. Wingfield's own doctrine, only needed where the tenant claimed under-proprietary right, and could have no justification where he professed to be a mere cultivator. And a perusal of the circulars themselves is quite enough to show that they were "framed independently on their own merits, and with no reference anywhere expressed to the temporary necessity noticed by Mr. Wingfield," who had himself admitted that it was not

till March 1864 that he had come to the conclusion that occupancy rights had no existence in Oudh. It was clear that the belief was general among ryots, and after these rulings, indeed, it could hardly have been otherwise, that it was the intention of Government to afford them the same protection and security as it had afforded to their brethren in the N W Provinces And it was equally clear that they hailed the hoon with delight While, on the other hand, "Taluqdars and other, landlord; must have received the successive rulings detailed above as indicative of a settled course of administrative action in favour of the right of occupancy It was probably viewed as part of the system enunciated by Lord Canning in conferring their property upon them, viz that the right was conceded subject to any measures which the Government may think proper to take for the purpose of protecting the inferior zamindárs and village occupants from extortion' And the only objection which seems to have occurred to any of them was the mapplicability of the principle to holdings of which the rent was paid in kind" This objection, which was perfectly reasonable, merely amounted to this, that a tenant should not be allowed to retain more land than he had means of cultivating, so long as his rent was payable in kind; and under a circular order of September 1863, the tenant had only to agree to pay a fair money rent in order to resist ejectment from any portion of his holding, whether imperfectly tilled or not. With this exception, no Taluadar or zamindár had uttered a word of complaint against what, according to the contention of Mr. Wingfield and his school. was so flagrant a violation of the pledges of Government as the recognition and enforcement, extending over a period of nearly seven years, of occupancy rights on the part of cultivators pure and simple.

Turning to Appendix II., it is not necessary to follow Mr. Muir into his digest of the endence recorded by settlement officers. Let the quotient of one passage, of the nature of

tor is attached to the "the province. In the

centre, exposed in its full force to the misrule and tyranny of the Lucknow Court, there was constant change even among the proprietary classes, and a corresponding absence of fixity in the tenure of the cultivator. . . . In districts at a distance from the heart of this disorder, greater permanence and security prevailed among the landholders, and also among the cultivating ranks. In the central and more disorganized parts, we find rent often settled by the field in a manner approaching competition; while in more remote and settled quarters rent is adjusted by uniform and customary rates, and by them competition, wherever traceable, is in practice limited."

The third appendix consists of a masterly and most valuable sketch of tenant-right in southern India, Bengal, and the N. W. Provinces. Speaking broadly, Mr. Muir thought that, "on our accession to the empire, ryot proprietorship prevailed in the south of India, official zamíndárship in Bengal, and village proprietorship in the North-Western Provinces." With regard to the first, his conclusion was that "throughout India, excepting the Gangetic valley and adjoining tracts there exists the general law of rvot right. With few exceptions, no proprietary title intervenes between the Sovereign and the cultivator. The individual occupant, as a rule, is either proprietor or permanent holder. The exactions of the State may often have reduced the title to a mere shadow, but the moment tyranny and oppression are withdrawn, the occupancy resumes its substantial character, tending to acquire more or less of a beneficial interest. Such is the tenure which in every quarter exists, or which springs up naturally in the soil." In Bengal also, ryot right existed, and was not "displaced or materially weakened" by the official zamindárship which we made the mistake of transforming into actual proprietorship; and it still survives, though in a sadly dilapidated condition, owing to the neglect of proper precautions for its protection.

But as our rule advanced up the valley of the Ganges, through Bahár into the North-West Provinces, our officers began to come in contact with real ramindárs, village proprietors, and, occasionally, feudal chiefs. Here the ramindár

was no mere middleman or tax-collector, but owner of the soil This may have been due to the martial character of the This may have been due to the martial character of the landholders, who seem to have been originally a conquering class. From this, coupled with evidence of a converse state of things in the Dakkhan and Rengal, may be deduced the inference that "ryet-right is in the interes ratio of village proprietoraling." In Banárias the two systems meet each other—the strong proprietary and weak tenanticipit of the North-West, and the weak proprietary and strong tenanticipit of Rengali—and this may account for tenanticipit them weaker in the north and west of Oudit than in the south and east. Judging from the inquiry of 1816, it would seem that occapancy learners in the N.W. Provinces, when they came under British rule, were on much the same fortung as it. Dublis at ameration, the present difference weed they came queer infities rule, were on much the same footing as in Oudh at ameration, the present difference between them being mainly due to the fostering influence of the Bengal system, or rather theory, which in the N. W Pro-

> ack to the begans by

remarking that the right of cultivating occupancy had been steadily supported by the Ondh Administration from 1850 up to date, and on even a wider footing, embrancy terms 1850 up to date, and on even a wider footing, embrancy terms of all classes, after reoccupation than before the Matthy. So thoroughly was this reocquised, that even where summary eviction would, under the ordinary law, be justifiable, landlords applied to the courts, as a matter of course, for laws to evect. For unstance, Kina Shankar Risks, the well-known feudal chief of Khajferganw in Rai Barch, having sued out execution against a defaulting tenant in its own court as an Honorary Assistant Commissioner, was rejorted by Mirch, "stating that as defaulter was imprisoned by order of Government for arrears of rest, his land was Jying uncultivated, and there was no one in his family to take his place; he therefore prayed that arrangements might be made with another cultivator."

There could hardly be stronger evidence than this of the extent to which the doctrine of Mr. Wingfield's circulars

protecting tenant occupancy had found acceptance in the most unlikely quarters. Nowhere had a whisper been heard from any landlord, small or great, that the sannads were infringed by this policy. Indeed, had the tenant-right inquiry not been made when it was, occupancy rights must, under the existing rules and practice of the revenue courts, have acquired such prescriptive strength that they could not have been uprooted. The evidence of the ryots showed that the more enlightened among them regarded themselves as being now emancipated and secure, while the more ignorant seemed unable to understand what constitutes a right until it was taken from them. The spirit of the settlement rules in force in Oudh was to regard nothing as a right which did not fulfil all the tests of a complete proprietary title. "If a right be not divisible on succession by inheritance, if it be not transferable, if it do not possess a money value, if it could not have been successfully defended under native rule by litigation or arbitration, it is immediately concluded that there can be nothing left to look at or examine; whereas it is evident from a survey of agrestic (sic) affairs in other parts of India, that customary rights exist, and are recognised, although they constitute only an imperfect and partial interest."

After a parenthetic comment on the injustice of Mr. Wingfield's order that, where the evidence regarding a claim to under-proprietary right was doubtful, "the doubt should be given in favour of the Talnqdar," Mr. Muir went on to sketch, much as Mr. King had done, the attitude of the native Government towards the cultivator. That it had duties to perform towards tenants was recognised by the wording of the sannads by which the Mughal emperors appointed officers to serve in Oudh :- "He is to conduct his duties with truth and mercy, to comfort the ryots, to be careful that none of the Amils should take more than half the produce, that the ryots may not suffer. If the Amils have collected any forbidden cesses, he is to restore the amount to the villagers in the Amil's presence." The design, indeed, was allowed to fall into desuctude. As one of the old ziladirs (collectors) of the King of Oudh's Government told Mr. Maconachie, "no complaint of increased

rent or ouster was ever hatened to, the zamindár would at once have pleaded that, unless allowed to manage his village as he pleased, he could not pay the rent demanded of him by the Chakladár; and that would have been sufficient." But the right to interfere, though dormant, was "perpetualed in the stereotyped engagements entered into by the landholders; and on any thorough reform of the native adminstration, no doubt the well-known form of words would have heen turned into a reality, if anywhere the cultivators were found to have become the subjects of oppression."

Sone officers had argued that though, outside taluque, we were entitled to declare any occupancy rights that might be deemed expedient, yet the sanuads were a bar to the recognition of any such rights in the estates which they covered. Mr Mur, on the contrary, thought that if there were any restriction at all on our competence to declare occupancy rights, it would crust, not so much in taluque, as in ordinary zamfuddrin, held by prescription without sanuad. For the prophetary right is equally full and complete in both cases, while Taluquidars are expressly bound, which immindars are not, to "promote, so far as in their power, the agreedituml prosperity of their estates." Such prosperity in a country "where large farming is naturown" is incompatible with the

tenantry is synonymous with agricultural depression. And it cannot be questioned that the Taluqdars, as well as the Government, understood the condition in this sense." This being so, Mr. Muir thought there could be no doubt that any measure for protecting the cultivator which might filly be introduced in zamfadars might with at least equal fitness be applied to taluquas.

The immediate question for decision was whether the protection actually enjoyed by the cultivator, under the cristing orders and practice, was to be wholly or partly withdrawn. Mr. Wingfield advocated its entire withdrawal; Mr. Davies recommended the introduction of Act X. of 1859. Mr. Muri and not concern in either proposal, but suggested that all

cultivators who had held land on an estate for twenty years, "and in whose family cultivating occupancy may have descended at the least to a second generation," should be held entitled to be maintained in possession at the rent rates prevailing in the neighbourhood. Ordinary ryots, however, should still find the courts open to them if their possession was interfered with, and their claims should be decided according to local custom, which would also regulate claims based on implied contracts growing out of custom, such as claims to compensation for improvements. From decisions in such suits, a code of precedents would in time spring up.

To those who believe that security of tenure at a fixed rent should be regarded as a necessity for all cultivators, not the privilege of a favoured few, this cannot but seem a somewhat disappointing conclusion; for Mr. Muir's premises appear to justify far more thorough measures than these.

We must now turn from the question of occupancy rights of cultivators to that of under-proprietary rights in general, and subsettlement in particular. There is no formal connection between the two, but the logic of events requires that they should be treated concurrently. It will be remembered that Mr. Wingfield's "record of rights" circular had barred from hearing all claims to under-proprietary rights in talaque except such as were enjoyed in 1855, and that in September 1864 the Taluqdars a greed to the extension of the period of limitation for such claims to twelve years from the date of annexation, i.e. to the 13th of February 1844, subject to the stipulation that the claimants of such rights should not be decreed anything more than the most favourable terms which they had enjoyed in any one year since the incorporation of their lands in the taluqa. The question soon arose whether, if the under-proprietors had held the lease of the whole village from the Taluquar at any time during the twelve-years period of limitation, they were now entitled to be decreed a sub-settlement of the whole village, i.e. a lease at a rent amounting to the Government demand on the village, plus a cortain proportion of the assumed profits, fixed for the term of settlement, and · deer payable to the Taluqdar. It might too

the matter was not open to doubt,—that the right of engaging for the rellage having been kept ahre by exercise within the period of limitation, must, as a matter of course, have been decreed. And this was the principle adopted by Mr. Davies in what is known as the Morárkhera case, decided on the 26th of November 1864.

This was an appeal from the order of Mr. Currie as Settlement Commissioner, rejecting the claim of Dalift Singh and others to the subsettlement of the village of Morarkhera, in the estate of Mahesh Baksh Singh, a Taluqdar of the Rai Bareli district The court of first matance found that the claimants were the hereditary samindars of the village, had held leases from the Talugdar within the period of limitation, and had engaged direct with the Challadar when the village was held kham. But it dismissed their claim to subsettlement on the ground that they had not held at a fixed rent. The Settlement Compassioner upheld this decision, on the ground that "there was not even a terminable lease allowed to run on without renewal, for a regular lease was not given until one crop had been cut, and the prospects of the next ascertained." Mr. Davies, howover, upset these decisions, holding that "the main point, namely, that the appellants are the heroditary ramindars, being fully esta-blished, it follows that if they have held a lease of any sort within the term of limitation, they are entitled to subsettlement under the British Administration For it was of such a tenure that the Government of India, in their letter dated the 19th of October 1859, observed that "it is not a new creation, and is a tenure which, in the opinion of the Governor-General, must be maintained, and that 'the only effectual protection which the Government can extend to inferior hoblers is to define and record their rights, and to limit the demand of the Taluqdar as against such persons, during the currency of the settlement, to the amount fixed by the Government as the basis of its own demand.' Wherever the zamiodári right is clearly established, and the fact of the zamindar's having held a lease under the Talugdar within the period of limitation, the Financial Commissioner considers that he is bound, not merely by the common law and usage of the

country, but also by the terms of the letter above quoted, to admit the claim to a subsettlement."

To an unsophisticated mind, this doctrine seems unimpeachable enough, but it was objected to by the Taluquárs, who, to a certain extent, succeeded in converting Mr. Davies bimself to their views. One of the stipulations on which they had agreed to the extension of the period of limitation for under-proprietary claims to twelve years has already been But there was another, or rather, two others, mentioned. viz. that only such subordinate interests should be recorded as were held of right, and not by favour or for service, and that temporary leases be not confounded with under-tenancies carrying a complete right of property. This latter sentence is the important one for our present purpose. The leases held from Taluquars by under-proprietors during the Nawabí were almost always "temporary," often for one year only, and Mr. Davies, though holding that "a pakka lease held by under-proprietors does constitute a tenancy carrying a complete right of property," and that the holder of such a lease during the Nawabi for a single year, would be entitled, political restrictions apart, to a subsettlement, yet thought it highly probable that by the italicised stipulation the Taluquars intended to protect themselves against this construction, and were therefore entitled under the agreement to resist its application, except as regards such leases as were in force in 1855.

Mr. Davies "confessed that he had hitherto construed the word 'temporary' as the converse of pakka." Now almost as many volumes might be written on the meanings of, and the distinctions between, the words pakka or pukhta, and kachehha or khám, as concerning the terms "objective" and "subjective." Let it suffice, however, to state here the definition given by Captain Macandrew, which was adopted by Mr. Davies, and has since met with general acceptance. According to this definition, a village is said to be pakka when the zamindár (or the lessee) is entitled to the profit, or liable to the loss, that may accrue according as the collections exceed or fall short of the sum which he has bound himself to pay us the rental for the year. It is said to be kkleri

when there is no such title to profit or liability to lose, the rents heing collected directly by the landlord or his agents, and no account of collections being rendered to a zamindár or lessee A village may be patka even if the person who has engaged for it at a fixed sum be put out of rent-collecting possession altogether, as in the case where a third party, commonly called a jamoujádn; is put in to make the collections, or even when the landlord makes them himself, so long as this is done with the consent of the zamfadár or lessee, and an account of the collections rendered to him. The point upon which the distinction turns is the existence or non-ensistence of the right to profit and the correlative liability to loss on the part of the person who has engaged for the lesse, whether he be an under-proprietor (canindár or gábu darmityán), or a mere farmer (lichádár or mutifir). Bearing the analvas in much it is not ears to understrip).

how Mr. Davies can have "construed the word 'temporary' as the converse of pakka." There is only one possible converse to pakka as above defined, and that is kachchha, and it is of course clear that a pakka lease may be, and in ninety-nine cases out of a hundred actually was, 'temporary' Every lease, indeed, so long as it lists, is pakka, and only ceases to be so on heing cancelled. Such a thing as a lackekka lease 18 a contradiction in terms But whatever the process by which Mr. Davies arrived at the distinction, the principle by which he had been guided was, in his own words, that "though the mere holding of a temporary lease was not sufficient proof of intermediate proprietorship, yet that if this main point of zamindán tenure were clearly proved, the holding of a temporary lease under the Taluqdir within the twelve years would qualify an under-proprietor for a decree of subsettlement, but would not so qualify a mere thekadar, or farmer, without any under-proprietary right" He still held that, "as a matter of law, settlement officers were bound to decree subsettlements wherever persons were bound to be under-propuetors could show that they had held a village patks from the Taluqdar during the period of limitation. But as the question was complicated by the conditions under which the Taluqdars had agreed to the

extension of the period of limitation, he requested, in his letter to the Chief Commissioner dated the 10th of June 1865, that his 'views should be authoritatively affirmed or corrected.' Mr. Wingfield's opinion was that there was a well-marked distinction between the right to subsettlement founded on usage and prescription, and claims based on mere temporary casual leases"; and that "some continuance of possession, not by any means necessarily uninterrupted, of a bonâ fide lease, giving the management of the village lands, is necessary to establish the pukhtadári right (i.e. the right to hold pakka), and a title to a subsettlement." He also felt himself in a position to state, "as the interpreter of the sentiments of the Taluqdárs," that their object in making the stipulation against the confusion of temporary leases with "under-tenancies conveying a complete right of property" was to protect themselves against claims to subsettlement of the kind which Mr. Davies thought himself legally bound to decree.

In reply to this letter, the Financial Commissioner took up a more decided position, and, though regretting that the ambiguous stipulation aforesaid had been made, as susceptible of misconstruction, thought that he had in his letter of the 10th of June attached undue weight to it, and that its terms were not infringed by a subsettlement, if the under-proprietors had contracted for the revenue for any one year during the period of limitation.

Apart from the legal effect of this questionable stipulation, the point in issue between Mr. Wingfield and Mr. Davies was briefly this—Had zamíndárs whose villages had been incorporated in a taluqa a right to engage for the revenue of them under native rule?

Mr. Davies maintained the affirmative. According to Mr. Wingfield, they sometimes had the right, and sometimes not, according to the circumstances under which the incorporation of the village with the tahuqa took place.

Captain Macandrew maintained the still more extreme view that the ramindars of a village included in a taluque had no right to engage for the revenue of it, and that their sir and nankar lands were the measure of their rights. This

position, however, seems aufficiently disposed of by Mr Carnegy, whose opiniori here, as in the case of occupancy rights, coincided with that of the Chief Commissioner. "In this class of unpurchased tenures," he wrote, "it was very far from the impression of the former proprietors that it was a matter contingent solely on the will and pleasure of the Caluquich to hold pakke or I kim at his option; on the contrary, they believed that in all justice they had the most undersable right themselves to hold pakk a under ito Taluqdic, to the ertent, and I know many instances in which the right was creased, that they could even withdraw their vallage altogether from a taluqa, and themselves engage for it direct with Government, or include at in the rent-roll, on similar terms, of some other estate. In such cases as these, how is it possible to say that the rights of the sub-proprietor under the native rule amounted to no more than the profits outher the native rule amounted to no more than the profits of their six and nánkár and on what principle of justice could we now confine their sub-proprietary interests to these previousies alone?"

Apart from the ambiguous provise by which the Talluqdiar had guarded themselves, and which had been accepted by the Governor-General, apparently without a clear apprehension of the use which might be made of it, there would seem to he little doubt that Mr. Darses was correct in his contention that proprietors of land had an inherent right to engage for the revenue of that land, which was not abrogated merely by its incorporation ms talluga. If they parted with their proprietary right to a Taluqdiar by bond fide sile, then of course the right to engage for the revenue was transferred from them to him. Or if their proprietary right had been forcibly effaced beyond the period of limitation, it could not be recognised by our courts, and the right to engage peralsed with it. But fundalent and fictitious sale deeds, such as were many of the bainsimus produced in the settlement courts, should have been treated as worthless; and where a village was voluntarily, and without even any pretence of purchase, put into a taluga for the sake of the Taluqdiar's production, justice, of course, demanded that when the protection cassed, the village proprietors should be released from

a connection which they wished to put an end to, and which, owing to altered circumstances, was no longer in any way advantageous to them. Cessante causa cessat et effectus. This eourse, however, being barred by the sannads, the next best thing would have been to give the zamíndárs a subsettlement under the Taluqdar, allowing the latter a small percentage on the revenue as málikána, whether the right of engaging had been exercised by the proprietors during the period of limitation or not. This course, again, was limited by the proviso on which the Taluquars had consented to the extension of the period of limitation, viz. that under-proprietors should not be deereed anything more than the best terms they had actually enjoyed in any year since the incorporation of their village in the taluqa, and within the period of limitation. All under-proprietors who had not held pakka within that period were thus debarred from a subsettlement. But in the case of those who had held pakka, even for a single year, the right was kept alive, and should have been deereed. It was at this stage of the eliminative process that the stipulation regarding temporary leases came into play, and that the dispute as to its meaning became important.

It is much to be regretted that the Taluquars were ever asked for their assent to the extension of the period of limitation, and that any stipulations at all on the subject were allowed. For their assent was wholly unnecessary. Our pledges to them provided that "whatever holders of subordinate rights might be under them should be preserved in their former right," and there was no limitation of these rights to those actually exercised in 1855. Mr. Wingfield, indeed, had attempted so to limit them in his "Record of Rights" Circular, but that was a mere instruction to settlement oflicers, having no legal force, and containing no pledge or promise to anybody. It was therefore perfectly open to the Government of India, and was indeed its bounden duty, to prescribe a proper and reasonable period of limitation for the assertion of subordinate rights, and the Taluquars should not have been allowed, nor, had they not been given false notions of their own importance and positive.

toon, is it in the least probable that they would have claimed, any voice whatever in the matter. About the right of underproportors to engage for the revenue of their lands, there seems, apart from the complications with which it was injudiciously hampered, to be no reasonable doubt. "The truth is," as Mr Davies puts it, that "there was neither truth is," as Mr Davies puts it, that "there was neither at large the might decline in the Nawbi. As proprietor, a zamindár had a right to contract for the revenue of his village, but he might decline suthout loss of proprietorship. In the Nawbi the contract was not sought after, now it is. We cannot deprive a proprietor of the right of contracting for the revenue without hreach of the conditions of the sannads. This privilege is inherent in the proprietorship of land in lands. The Taluqdish has now obtained the right to pay to Government the revenue of all villages in his taluqa; but as the nights of the under-proprietors are reserved, that which they formerly enjoyed, of contracting for the revenue of their own villages, remains to them."

Rights, in short, do not cease to be rights because in certain states of society their assertion is not always profitable. Land may have been worthless until the construction of a railway in its neighbourhood turns it into a valuable property. But the right of the source before the construction of the railway only differs from his right after it has been constructed in heim more valuable.

During the Nawabl, villages were usually included in taluqua either by purchase, withich, when book fide, we have here no concern; or by violence and against the will of the rillage proprietors, in which case the incorporation was simple robbery; or with the consent of the village proprietors, yielded for the sake of the protection sgainst Government officials and other landholders which the filled life was able to afford. In this latter case the incorporation was intended to be temporary, and terminable at the will of either party, and it was, in fact, based on a fair, give-and-take, hilateral contract, in which protection and assistance on eside were exchanged for submission and services, and generally a money payment, on the other. The arrangement when youlnatily entered unto by both parties, though neces-

sitated by a vicious and disorganized state of society, was not, in itself, morally objectionable. But the Government of India, by confirming in perpetuity to Taluqdárs the superior right in all villages for which they happened to have been permitted to engage at the second summary settlement, turned a bilateral into a unilateral contract. The protection afforded by the Taluqdár was the correlative of the services and payments which he received from the village proprietors, and when that protection ceased to be afforded, the payments, as well as the services, should also have been discontinued. The duty, however, of the Taluqdár to afford protection seems to have been altogether ignored, and was rendered unnecessary and impossible by the introduction of a settled system of Government, while the dependence and payments on the part of the village proprietors were continued and enforced.

In most taluques there is a considerable proportion of villages of which the Taluquar was, during the Nawabi, the real and sole proprietor, and that in these he was rightly maintained as such, no one disputes. But in very many of the villages in which subsettlements were claimed, the Taluquar's tenure under native rule had been, at best, that of a mere farmer, and was, not unfrequently, something very much worse. This farming, or other still less respectable tenure, was by our policy and proclamations converted into a superior proprietorship, and all, therefore, that could be awarded to the zamindars was an under-proprietary right. The fact that we had put it out of our power to do them complete justice was surely an additional reason, if any were needed, for doing them such justice as we could.

It is but fair, however, to note that no less an authority than Sir William Muiragreed with Mr. Wingfield in thinking that the fact of under-proprietors having held pakka from the Taluqdár within the period of limitation was not in itself enough to entitle them to a subsettlement of any other lands than those in their own cultivating possession as sir or nankir. To obtain the subsettlement of a whole village, he thought it was necessary that they should show that "the title has been kept alive over the whole area."

the term of limitation." Such evidence was to be found in the exercise of such incidents of proprietorship as management of the cultivating arrangements, breakage of waste, control over the jungle, and enjoyment of manorial dues and

i . :

rillages, where the Talangdar had hardly interfered at all, and the lease had been held continuously by the samindars, he proposed that the māhkāna allowance should be fixed at 5 per cent, on the revenue, in the second class, where the ramindars were occasionally seculed, at 5 per cent, in the third, where they were frequently excluded, and their interest in the property weakened by this or other causes, at 25 per cent

The tenant and under-proprietary right questions having heen thus exhaustingly, if not exhaustively, discussed in minutes and memoranda, were next to pass through a phase of demi-official correspondence hetween Sir John Lawrence and Mr Wingfield, conducted through the medium of Mr. The Governor-General desired to secure some degree of fixity of tenure to all cultivators of old standing, but his sympathies were more especially enlisted on behalf of exproprietors or their descendants, whose proprietary rights had been obliterated, but who remained in possession, as tenants, of the fields of which they had formerly been owners This class, he thought, and, no doubt, rightly thought, had a stronger claim to protection than the mass of cultivators whose condition was not embittered by memories of ancient ownership. The object which he insisted on was that such cultivators should be "recognised and recorded as possessing a right of occupancy in their hereditary fields, and entitled to hold at the most favourable rates of rent prevailing among other classes of cultivators in the vicinity," whether they were forcilly dispossessed of their former proprietary right, or voluntarily parted with it, unless, in the latter case, it was stipulated or implied, as a part of the transaction, that their most to retain their cultivating holdings was annihilated. If the Taluqdárs would assent to this, the question of tenant-right, "so far as the late proceedings and the present settlement are concerned," was to be considered closed. Sir John Lawrence, however, had extremely exaggerated notions of the proportion of Oudh tenantry whom such a measure would protect. He thought that it would "not, probably, be found to comprise more than 15 or 20 per cent. of the whole number of cultivators." Two per cent. would probably be an excessive estimate.

These views were conveyed by Mr. Grey to the Chief Commissioner. The latter wished to see the question finally disposed of by the simple expedient of cancelling all circulars or orders which in any way recognised a right of preferential or any other occupancy on the part of non-proprietary cultivators, and confining the jurisdiction of the revenue (rent) courts to suits brought by such tenants for breach of contract by the landlord, or for ouster in the middle of the agricultural year. The admission of even such suits as these to a hearing was, of course, to confer upon the rvot a right which he had no power, during the later years, at any rate, of the Nawabi, to enforce, and might therefore, on Mr. Wingfield's principles, have been deemed an infringement of the sannads as he interpreted them. Practice is, happily, sometimes more benevolent than theory. Mr. Wingfield, however, agreed to waive his own ideal solution of the difficulty so far as to consent to propose to the Taluculars to concede a right of occupancy, at a rent less by two aunas in the rupee than that of ordinary cultivators, to ex-proprietary tenants or their descendants. But he was only prepared to do this on the following conditions:-

(1.) That the Talaquers might be assured that no other occupancy rights "arising from prescription and not from special contract," should be recognised, and that the proposed arrangement, if carried out, should be "held to dispose finally of the tenant question in Oudh";

(2.) That the protected class should include only exproprietors who had been forcibly disposenced, or their descendants, and not those who had "alienated their rights";

(3) That each case was to be decided on its merits, "after judical inquiry," by a European officer.

The Governor-General would not agree to any promises which might seem in any way to waive the right of Government to interfere for the protection of oppressed cultivators, which would, he comadered, he as breach of the conditions under which Lord Cauning granted the sannade. And in this particular, both Mr. Grey and Mr. Wingfield practically agreed with him. They differed, indeed, in thinking that the right to interfere should not be expressly reserved, on the ground that such corpress reservation would be likely to "make the Talaqdárs susplicions," but their language as to the existence of the right leaves nothing to be desired.

"Inasmuch," wrote Mr Grey, "as the sunnads clearly stipulate for a proper and considerate treatment of their ryots by the Tainqidits, nothing that may be said now could be held to prevent the interference by Government at a future time, by legalation or otherwise, if the relations between the ryots and their landlords should at any time become so unsatisfactory as to demand the interrention of Government. This is so obvious that, perhaps, it may not be thought

necessary to express such a reservation."

Similarly, Mr. Wingfield:—
"The condition in the somead, that the Taluqdárs shall promote the agricultural prosperity of their estates, would alone furnish the Government with warrant for stepping in to prevent oppression of the cultivators, for they cannot be oppressed without agricultural deterioration. But interference would be justified on the broad grounds of good government. The Taluqdárs will see this clear conogh"

In other words, all that it was proposed to alandon was the intention of according firity of feature to non-proprietary cultivators on the ground of any right, prescripture or otherwase, on their part. The competence of Government to content fairty of tenure on every cultivator in Oubl, if it should ever become, or be perceived to be, necessary, as a matter of public polery, to do so, could be in no way affected by any engagements which had been, or which might be, made with the Talandárs. Of course no used engagement ever was made, and had it been made, it would have been invalid, for the simple reason that no Government can bind its successors, or itself, by promises to any class of its subjects, not to do what may at any time be found requisite for the welfare of the nation as a whole.

To Mr. Wingfield's proposals, including the cancellation of the existing revenue circulars regarding occupancy and rent rates, the Governor-General assented, but insisted that all ex-proprietors should be protected who had retained possession of the fields they formerly owned in their ancestral villages, in whatever way they might have parted with or been deprived of their proprietary rights.

To these conditions Mr. Wingfield endeavoured to induce the Talugdars to agree. He reduced the Governor-General's terms to writing, and made them over to the two Mahárájas, Sir Man Singh of Mahdona, and Sir Dirgbigai Singh of Balrampur, for communication to all other Taluquars then in Lucknow. This was on the 10th of March 1866, and on the 14th id., some fifty of the leading Taluquars waited on Mr. Wingfield with their written reply. This was, in substance, a flat refusal to accept the terms proposed. It seems scarcely possible that they can have understood what those terms really were, for "we look in vain," they said, " to see what compensation we are to get in lieu of the perpetual loss, year by year, of one-cighth part of our recognised and custo-mary income." They can hardly have supposed that what the Governor-General desired was a general reduction of rents all round by two annas in the rupee. Yet the words underlined seem incapable of bearing any other construction. What Sir John Lawrence supposed himself to be seeking was a reduction by that amount, or one-eighth, of the rents payable by, at the most, 20 per cent, of the cultivating body, which would have lowered the income of the Taluqdars not by one-eighth, but by one-fertieth. And they must have been aware that the proportion of tenants whom the proposed arrangement would protect certainly did not amount to a per cent, of the whole. Lither, therefore, they wholly misunderstool the terms offered to them, or else they multiplied by at least twenty the effect it would have had upon their

incomes. If, they continued, Mr. Wungfield, who was on the point of retiring from the service, would stay six months longer in Oudh, then, indeed, they "night do something". But as it was, they had "now no expectation of gaining any advantage in this matter." At a final discussion on the 15th of March, they declined to "give up one cowry on plea of proprietorship." Thus this attempt at a compromise ended in failure

Mr Wingfield gave over charge of his office to Mr Strachey s day or two afterwards. From Campore, on the 19th of March, he wrote his report of the breakdown of the negotiations, and with a Parthian arrow fired from Karáchi in the shape of a memorandum dated the 22nd of April, finally disappeared from the stage of Oudh politics, which he had dominated so completely for nearly seven years, and where he cannot be denied the ment of having left an enduring mark He had espoused the interests, real or supposed, of a small but influential class with a fervour which, though doubtless sincere, blinded him in a great measure to the rights and the welfare of the great mass of the agricultuml population, the high-caste yeomanry and the low-caste culti-vators He had endeavoured, not altogether without success. to commit the Government of India to declarations which should render it impossible beteafter to modify his policy without incurring the charge of breach of faith; and had fostered on the part of the Talaqdara a sense of their own importance and of the magnitude of their claims which has become a serious obstacle to anything like even-handed revenue legislation for the province. It would, however, be absurd to deny that he conducted the affairs of his clients, the territorial aristocracy of Oudh, with great ability and unfailing energy and persistence, and that by his singular mastery of details, combined with argumentative power, he practically gained his object of establishing their ascendancy in the teeth of the preposerations of the Governor-General and of a majority of the Council.

In a "Note on Tenant-Right in Oudh," dated the 18th of May 1866, the new Chief Commissioner, Mr. Struchey, recommended that Government should accept the result of

the late inquiry, and declare that there were no rights of occupancy which could be maintained against the will of the landlord; that all orders recognising any such right should be cancelled; that no further inquiry into other than pro-prietary rights should be made by settlement officers; that claims to an occupancy right by non-proprietary cultivators should be heard only in the civil courts; that "the jurisdiction of the summary suit courts in respect of suits brought by tenants-at-will should be confined to complaints of illegal distraint, breach of contract, exaction, or ouster in the middle of the agricultural year"; that previous rules should be revised so as to be brought into conformity with orders based on the above proposals; but, lastly, that "nothing in these orders shall affect the position hitherto held by former proprietors, or their descendants, who, although they may have lost their proprietary rights, still retain possession, as cultivators, of the land which they formerly held as proprietors. In respect of this class, the Government reserves, for further consideration, the determination of the measures which shall be taken."

Mr. Strachev urged that the Government was getting itself into an awkward position, and the sooner it got out of it the better; that his proposals afforded, if not a satisfactory, at least a not undignified solution of the difficulty; and that he was confident that the Taluadurs would agree to terms on behalf of ex-proprietors which the Government would consider fair; but, failing such assent, he thought that public opinion would support the Government in legislation for the purpose of protecting ex-proprietors. He thus converted the question at issue from one of the interpretation of our pledges to the Talugdárs to that of how much public opinion would stand in the way of contravening, or what he supposed to be contravening, there Mr. Davies assented to these proposals, but urgod that a clause should be inserted in the declaration, providing that nothing which it contained was to be "understood as lessening the obligation devolving on the Taluquare, under the conditions of their securite, to premote the agricultural prosperity of their estates."

16 *

Mr. Strachey followed up has suggestions for a disposal of the tenant-much question by proposing saundy rules regarding subsettlements and other under-proportary rights. The most important of these rules was that which laid down the conditions on which alone a subsettlement could be decreed. These conditions were—(1) proof of under-proprietary right in the lands of which subsettlement was claimed; (2) proof that such right had been kept alive, within the period of limitation, over the whole area claimed, (3) proof that the claimant, or the person from whom he had unherited, had, "by writing of his under-proprietary right, and not merely through privilege granted on account of service or by favour of the Taluqdár, held such land under contract (paiks), with some degree of continuousness, since the village came into the taluen."

The phrase "some degree of continuousness," of course, required definition, and was to be interpreted as follows:—

(1) "If the village was included in the talinga before the 13th of February 1836, the lease must have been held for not less than twelve years between that date and the annexation of the province

(2) "If the village was included in the taluqu after the 13th of February 1836, but before the 13th of February 1834, the lease must have been held for not less than one year more than half the period between the time at which the village was so included and the anneration of the province.

(3) "The lease must in all cases have been held for not less thin seven years during the term of limitation, unless the village was included for the first time in the talaya site the 13th of February 13th, in which case the village must have been held for not less than one year more than half of the period between the time at which the village was so included and the amenation of the propriet."

It was further provided that if both Taluplis and underproported had been for any period disposes and for the village and lease respectively, the term of such disposes such should not count against the latter. Also, that nothing in these rules was to apply to the case of villages which had been included in a taluqu after the 13th of February 1844, and in which no lease had been held for any period under the Taluqdár. It will, perhaps, be remembered that, in May 1861, Mr. Wingfield had entered into an agreement with the Talandars by which the latter consented to the establishment of a twelve-years' period of limitation for under-proprietary claims, on the condition that "where villages have been annexed to the taluqa within twelve years, the persons who were in full proprietary possession will not be entitled to recover the equivalent of their former rights, viz. a subsettlement at 5 per cent, upon the Government demand, but only to the most favourable terms they had enjoyed in any one year since the incorporation of their lands with the taluga." From the wording of this agreement it, of course, resulted that if a village had been foreibly seized by a Taluqdar in, say, 1853, and the zammdars entirely crushed or driven out, so that they had exercised no rights whatever in the village from the time of their dispossession up to annexation in 1856, they had no claim to my rights at settlement. For the only rights that could be claimed were such as had been held in subordination to the Taluquar, and by the supposition they had held no such rights, though they had been independent owners of the village, and forcibly dispossessed of their property within three years before annexation. This result, however, was too much for the Government of India to swallow undiluted, [though Mr. Wingfield thought, and no doubt correctly, that it was "in entire accordance with the pledges given by Government "j, and it was finally agreed that zamindars in such cases should be allowed a subsettlement at the Government demand, plus 25 per eant. as a rent-charge to the Talugdar. Thus the loss which the zamindars had suffered by robbery of the worst type was halved instead of being wholly redressed. The Oadh settlement, in some of its aspects, has certainly been a forcible illustration of Shakespeare's aphorism,

"Some sins do bour their privilege on earth,"

and the deputation of Taluquars who congratulated and were congratulated by Lord Lytton in the autumn of 1276

on the passing of the Oudh Land Revenue Act, did not speak without reason when they assured him that "the land and all its complex relations are viewed in Oudh in quite a different light from those in other parts of the country, and our ideas of social economy and political connection "--whatever that may mean, perhaps political justice---"have little in common with those of other provinces."

It is time, however, to return from this digression to Mr Strackey's proposed rules, of which the most obvious scature is that they require the existence of a right to be proved by its exercises from seven to twelve times instead of once, as is the ordinary maxim of law. This somewhat anomalous principle, moreover, was given retrospective effect, and all cases in which claims to under-proprietary rights had been already decreed otherwise than in accordance with these and sundry other rules-excepting cases disposed of by arbitraof the gross rental plyable by the under-propertor to the Taluquar was to be calculated with reference to the former gross rental and the former payments. No subsettlement could be made if the under-proprietor's share of the profits had amounted to less than 12 per cent, but in that case and amounted to see than 22 per cent, out in that case the latter's are and sakes! Lunes were to be preserved to him, and if the protes of such lands full short of 10 per cent, of the gross zental, they were to be increased by the ladingdar up to that sam. If the protes of an under-pretor entitled to subsettlement exceeded 12 per cent, but fell short of 25 per cent of the gross rental, they were to be increased to 25 per cent, the sacrifice being equally shared by the Takaqlar in the shape of reduced profits, and the Government in that of a lower revenue demand.

demand.

In return for this disposal—so favourable to them—of the subsettlement question, the Talundars signified their consent to a concession of the right of occupancy proposed by Mr. Strachey on behalf of ex-proprietary tenants, who had been, either by themselves, or by the persons from whom they claimed, in possession as proprietors in any vallage or estate within tharty years prior to annexation.

Such persons were to have a right of occupancy in the lands which they held or cultivated on the 24th of August 1866, provided those lands had not come into their possession for the first time since the 13th of February 1856.* The rent payable by such tenants was to be two annas in the rupee less than the rent usually paid for similar land by tenants not having a right of occupancy, and of the same class or caste, and was not liable to be enhanced more than once in five years. Tenants, also, who had improved their holdings were not to be liable to ejectment, nor was their rent to be enhanced, for thirty years after the execution of the improvements, except on payment of compensation.

Why the limit of thirty years was fixed is not clear. The original phrase employed was "unexhausted improvements," which was obviously much more fair. The imposition of a thirty-years limit merely postpones for that period the landlord's power of appropriating the fruits of another's labour. As long as the improvements have any appreciable value, so long should the tenant, if ejected, be deemed entitled to compensation for them. "Considering," as Mr. Struchey wrote, "that, as a general rule, all improvements are made by the tenants," it is surely desirable that they should have some inducement for making improvements likely to endure longer than thirty years.

Such, in substance, was "the Oudh Compromise" which was embodied in Act XXVI. of 1866, and Act XIX. of 1868, the former pleasantly called the Subsettlement Act, as having for its object the prevention of subsettlements, and the latter the Oudh Rent Act. In return for curtailments of, and restrictions on, subsettlement, by which they, as a body, gained very largely, the Taluqdárs consented to the recognition of rights of occupancy, of the value above indicated, on the part of what may be, at a liberal estimate, I per

^{*} The possession of this right was made incompatible with the retention of any under-proprietary right whatever, e.g. a man who had been decreed half-a-dozen trees in under-proprietary right could not sequire a right of occupancy in the same village or estate.

cent. of their tenantry, and yielded a very limited right to compensation for improvements. It is probable that both concessions together have not reduced their incomes by 1 per cent , say eight annas in every hundred rupees. Assuredly they were wise in their generation, and well deserved Mr Strachev's congratulations on "having as their chief leader and adviser a man of such remarkable intelligence as Mahiraja Man Singh" The Talinquars in general, and that noble-man in particular, received an assurance that their conduct was highly appreciated by the Governor-General in Council. and Mr Strachev was warmly thanked for his services in inducing them to concur in such a satisfactory conclusion of questions of so difficult and complicated a character" Thus everybody-except, perhaps, a few thousand under-proprietors who lost control over their villages, and a few million cultivators whose fancied security of tenure was somewhat rudely disturbed-was content, and the chorus of complacency was wound up by Sir Stafford Northcots, who, as Secretary of State for India, had much pleasure in learning that this long agitated question had now been "settled in a manner which appears to be in every respect satisfactory, alike to your Excellency's Government and to the Talugdárs."

CHAPTER VIII.

CONCLUSIONS.

NEARLY twelve years have elapsed since the edifice of the Ondh Compromise was crowned by the passing of Act XIX. of 1868, but such legislation for the province as has been effected during that period has been concerned with details rather than with principles, and has but little general interest. The main features of the system of agricultural polity have not been materially altered by Acts XVII. and XVIII. of 1876—the Oudh Land Revenue Act and the Oudh Laws Act—and the different classes of the population have been left "free to work out their several good, to go forward in the bright career before them," almost wholly unhampered by any such interference between landlord and tenant as was so carnestly deprecated by the organ of the Taluqdárs.

In the preceding chapters it has been attempted to give a general idea of the actual condition and mutual relations of the agricultural population of Oudh, and to sketch, however inadequately, the process by which that condition and those relations have been arrived at. A more difficult task remains, that of endeavouring in some degree to estimate the effects on various classes of twenty-four years of British rule, and to indicate certain practicable remedies for some of the evils from which the province at present suffers.

For the purposes of this endeavour, the rural population may be roughly divided into four classes, viz.:--

 Single landowners, large and small, superior and subordinate.

(2) Coparcenary communities, independent or under-proprietary

(3.) Tenants without any proprietary right, including that very minute proportion to whom a right of occupancy has been decreed.

(4) Labourers, ie persons anhaisting mainly by agricultural labour for hire, whether they cultivate a small patch of land on their own account or not.

Concerning each of these four classes a few remarks will be made.

(1) Single landowners, large and small.

This class includes the most prosperous portion of the agricultural community. It consists of everyone who holds land in his own right and without shareholders, from the large Taluqdir, master of several hundred thousand acres, to the petty under-proprietor who has obtained a decree for a few biphas of sir or nánháir. Many of the class are very wealthy; nearly all ought to be above the reach of actual want of the means of subsistence; most bave the fate of a larver or smaller number of tenants as their hands

The Taluqdárs bare, of course, gamed immensely, from a pecuniary and social point of wew, by British rule. The very stars in their course seem to have fought for them ever succe the Mutiny. The irregular and temporary accessions to their estates to which the disorders of the Nawish gave rise have been legalised and made permanent. Their tutle to the superior ownership of every village included in their standar, has been rendered unassuilable. They have been exempted from all the risks of oppression and all-treatment to which they were exposed at the hand of the King's officials, and, since the passing of the Oudh Land Revenue

arose between them and their under-proprietors have been decided in their favour.

Socially, they have been treated as the honoured favourites of the Government, instead of as its natural and, at best, its tolerated enemics. They enjoy a degree of personal liberty and consideration to which they were formerly strangers. They can come and go whither they will, may array themselves in silk, and drive their carriages in the streets of Lucknow, privileges which were never conceded to them by the Nawabs or Kings of Oudh.

Altogether, they are probably the most fortunate body of men in India. And taking them us a whole, one may gladly admit that, considering all things, they have done quite as much in return for the favours they have received as there was any reasonable ground for expecting of them. Though often culpably indifferent to the welfare of their tenantry, they are seldom actively oppressive. They are entitled to the credit, and it is no small praise, of having generally refrained from any very marked abuse of the almost unlimited powers conferred on them by our legislation. Improving landlords, or regenerators of agriculture, of course they are not; but that was not to be expected.

The smaller independent zamindars have also gained largely by the introduction of British rule. They have been secured in their property against all risks but such as arise from bad seasons or their own mismanagement. And the danger which they ran in the Nawabi of being crushed by the exactions of native officials and of absorption by their more powerful neighbours, was, of course, greater than in the case of a strong Taluqdar, who was generally able to protect himself against oppression. They probably live more within their incomes and are often less pushed for money than Taluqdars, their scale of personal expenditure being less pretentions.

The same remarks apply, mutative mutantie, to six and mink-ir holders, who are mostly to be found in Taluqdari viflages. Where their holdings are very minute, they, of course, are raised but little above the level of the ordinary cultivator, except, and it is a considerable exception, that

they are, pro tanto, exempt from all fear of enhancement of rent during the term of settlement, or of eviction. The economic evil from which the class in question chiefly suffers is indebtedness. They are probably much more deeply in debt than they were in the Nawabi, their credit being better, and the demands both of the State and of their creditors being much more irresistible. So far as their indebtedness is due to personal extravagance, there is nothing to be done but to trust to the gradual influence of education and experience of the painful results of careless lavishness and experience of the paintin results of access haranness. No Government can have spendthrifts from the consequences of their own fully, and no wise Government will attempt that hopeless task. But so far as it is due to our revenue arrangements, it behaves us to consider whether the latter are in fault, and if so, how they can be amended

There is, apparently, something like a general consensus of opinion that our revenue system errs in being over-rigid and inelastic, that, by compelling payment of the same fixed sum in good and bad years alike, it is apt to drive into debt and embarrassment many who, if allowed time, could estisfy all demands without incurring any such burthen. Our theory assumes that, the assessment not being more than Our theory assumes that, the assessment not being more than might be paid without difficulty on the arraige or a stress of years, the landholder will save in good years enough to make up for the deficiencies of bod once. But thus assumption is vitated by two facts. Firstly, where money routs prevail, as is the case over by far the greater part of the province, a landowner cannot rame rents within the year mertly because the harvests of that year bappen to be good. It is only in so far as he is himself a cultivator, which, in all but very so far as he is himself a cutterator, which, in all out very diministric extacts, is but to a very slight proportional extent, that he reaps any further benefit from a plenteous hirrest than that of being able to collect his rents with comparatively little difficulty or pressure on his tenants. For instance, if a settlement officer has assertanced that the full gross rental of an extact is, or ought to be, Hs 10,000, he will probably assess the revenue of that estate at about Hs. 5,000. However good the harvests of any particular year, the landlord cannot, if cash rents prevail, realise more than Rs 10,000.

But in a bad year, if the failure of the crops be at all general, he will not be able to realise so much, within the year at any rate. Perhaps he may not be able to collect more than Rs. 8,000, or, if he does, it will be by forcing his tenants to plunge into debt to satisfy his rent demand. is therefore only to a very limited extent correct to say that the extra profits of good years make up for the deficiencies of bad ones.

In the second place, so far as landlords do realise larger profits in seasons of plenty or of high prices, as undoubtedly is the case in grain-rented estates, it is not true that by any means all, or even perhaps a majority of them, put by their extra profits as a fund to meet the strain of bad seasons when they come. When they find themselves with more money than usual, they, as a general rule, spend more.

Thus the assumptions on which our system rests of fixing the revenue demand for a period of thirty years, and realising the total sum assessed in thirty annual instalments, do not correspond with the actual facts of the case, and a rigid adherence to that system, as, for instance, during the searcity, in many districts amounting to famine, of 1877-78, has sometimes undoubtedly the effect of forcing into debt men who might otherwise have kept out of it.

One remedy for this defect in our arrangements which has been often recommended of late may be stated as follows, Instead of realising the same amount every year, whatever the state of the burvest, it is proposed that the demand of, say, ten years should be regarded as a lump sum payable by instalments varying according to the seasons. Thus, if the revenue assessed on an estate be Rs. 5,000, the demand for ten years will be Rs. 50,000. If the first year of the series be a bad one, the collector will p rhaps think that not more than Rs. 3.500 can be realised without driving the ramind ir. or his cultivators, or both, into dold. He will content himself, therefore, with collecting that amount, buying 10s. 40,200 to be recovered during the remaining nine years. If the next harrest be more than usually abundant, perhaps the ramindar may be able to pay as much as Rs. 7,000. And 80 on.

This scheme, if it could be carried out, would certainly have the effect of making the revenue demand buoyant and clastic enough. But it appears open to sundry grave objec-tions. It would, to begin with destroy the moral effect in tons I would, to segal with dearry for mora effect in promoting providence and foreight which the present system of a fixed annual demand certainly tends to produce. And its introduction, so long, at any rate, as the rents of cultivators are not authoritatively fixed, would almost inevitably cause great confusion in the accounts of Indioval and tenant While the rents pavable by the great majority of tenants are, as at present, left to be determined by agreement between are, as a present, etc. to be determined by agreement (extreme them and their landlord, there would be serious difficulty, on the one bund, in preventing the midjustar from re-ulsing from his tenuts in lad vers so a monout of rent proportionately greater than the amount of revenue realised from himself, and, on the other bund, in enabling him, in good years, to realise a proportion of his rental corresponding to years, to remain proportion of my retain corresponding to his own revenue payments. If, indeed, rents of all culti-vators were authoritatively fixed, the matter would be greatly simplified. Until, however, that desirable consummation is attained, Ou lh does not seem the most fitting field on which to try the experiment. In grun-rented lands, of course, to try the experiment. In grant-rented lamis, or course, there is a natural and self-working process of adjustments between harvests and rents, and in estates where grain-rents largely prevail, such as are to be found in some parts of the three northern districts, a revenue demand varying with the season would have a very fur chance of success. Where cash rents are the rule, the fluctuations in rental are, naturally, much more limited, the brunt of had seasons falling more on the cultivators and less on the landlord; and in such estates a varying revenue demand would be chiefly valuable as giving Government an authoritative right to forbal the realisation from tenants of any larger proportion of rent than it itself collects of revenue. The more the . the more his income tends to fluctuate with good or had barrests, the greater would be to him the boon of an elastic revenue demand. Therefore grain-rented makils, and makils in which, though eash rents prevail, the landlord himself

cultivates a large proportion of the land on which he pays revenue, seem the most suitable for the tentative introduction of the proposed scheme.

On the whole, it appears probable that the good effects which might result from a sliding scale of revenue collections have been a good deal over-rated, while the objections to it have been a good deal overlooked. Most of its advantages, without its drawbacks, might be attained by the simpler process of giving collectors larger discretionary power to suspend the demand whenever they consider that to satisfy it in full would cause actual distress or compel resort to the money-lender, and to worry them with fewer requisitions for reports and returns. One great, if not the greatest, cause of the growing lack of independence on the part of Anglo-Indian officials is the yearly increasing certainty that the utterance of any objection to carry out orders, or the expression of any opinion unpopular with their superiors, will be met by an immediate demand for a detailed report, accompanied probably by a tabular statement, as to the grounds of the objection or the opinion. So much energy is expended in showing why things should or should not be done, that little is left for doing them, and almost as much time is spent by a district officer in recording or defending his administrative achievements as in their actual performance. The result is too often little but acres of statistics, which, even if trustworthy, are apt to be wholly uninstructive.

The conclusion of the whole matter, as regards single landholders, large and small, appears to be this, that, except in occasional cases of over-accessment, the only economic evil from which they suffer with which we can in any way deal is indebtedness; and that the only remedy which, at present, at least, we can advantageously apply is to give the e-disctor a more unfettered discretion as to suspensions, and, in a few rare cases, as to remissions of the land revenue.

2. Cop recenary communities, independent and under-green writters.

The sorrows of these village brotherhoods have always, and naturally, attracted more attention than the less remantic

troubles of mere cultivators. It may be that we, like Sordello, have-

" . . unconsciously contrived forget
The whole, to dwell on the points,"

and thought, like him, that we-

But their woes, though more picturesque, are none the less sternly real, and it is to be feared that the sympathy and attention which their interesting nature his excited have done little to alleviate the sufferings of those who have to bear them.

The condution of the village brotherhoods, though it my be theoretically better, as probably practically worse than it was during the Nawabi. It is true that under native rule they had been rights which they could legally enforce, and certain such rights we have given them. But our general principle of maintaining the status guo in name has really instend it to their disadvantage. The native officials and the Talundars often excressed powers unlimited by any law proprily occalled, and the village communities were not seldon the victims of unscropulous aggression. But the fact that they could frequently resist the Chilladria and the baron with some chance of success preserved them in most cases from extreme oppression. There were doubtless instances not a few of hardship and tyranay and torture very much worse than any which could be found now, but our system of giving ourselves and the Talundars powers which, though theoretically less, are practically and in the long run more string in than those which the native Government and the Talundars previously exercised, seems to have reduced the coparen any brotherhoods to a deal level of poverty and discomfort. The possil ship of successful resistance saved them at least from the crushing eases of Leurg in the vice of an

irresistible necessity. A state of chronic warfare with an enemy who, though bound by no rules, is not invincible is, to people of the habits and character of the Oudh yeomanry, less oppressive and disheartening than hopeless subjection to a power which, however well-meaning and observant of the laws of war, is at once exacting and irresistible. Our system makes it comparatively easy for them to default, but also renders the penalty of default, when it does come, far more crushing. "The bankruptev court of the jungle" is a thing of the past. When we annexed the country, we found it in a state of anarchy and solution which, after considerable modifications, at first favourable, but after the Mutiny generally adverse to the interests of the zamindars, we proceeded to crystallise. In this process we have raised the depths and depressed the heights, while the general level of comfort among the copareenary bodies is, it is to be feared, lower than it was. When a rigid system of law is imposed on an anarchic country, the gain or loss of the conflicting elements in that country will be in proportion to their capacity or incapacity of adapting themselves to the new conditions, their ability or inability to comprehend and utilise the altered situation. Without forming any extravagant estimate of the mental calibre of the Oudh Taluquárs, it may be safely asserted that they possess a larger measure of this adaptive faculty than belongs to the village communities, and the loss of the latter has been the gain of the former.

In addition to the burthen of indebtedness, which is probably still heavier and more general among coparcenaries than among single landholders, is the evil of internal dissensions, arising mainly from disputes about shares of land and division of profits. Where the community is numerous, the shares are often exceedingly small, and the profits in proportion.

These are evils which it is only to a very limited extent in the power of Government to mitigate. Reduction of revenue can have but a very insignificant effect in relieving the poverty of a crowded coparecentry body. If, for instance, the revenue demand on a village held by such a body be Rs. 1,000, and there are twenty-five sharers, the reduction of the revenue by caschaff would only give them, on an average, Ra. 20 each, a sum which would not make a very appreciable difference in their condition, would not suffice to raise them from disconder to comfort. All that can be done for them, except where their assessment may be proved by experience to be excessive, is to suspend the demand when seasons are had, and to facilitate and cheepen partitions. Partitions, it may be here observed for the benefit of the unitiated, are of two kinds, "perfect," which terminates all joint responsibility for the revenue, and "imperfect," which cleaves that responsibility m the last resort unimpured. Generally speaking, it may be each that imperfect has less.

the latter where enmity between co-sharers is very inveterate indeed. All that was practicable in this way has perhaps been done already by the provisions relating to partition of the Ondh Land Revenue Act.

One other object there is to be kept in view in the case of subsettled Talandari villages, and that is the dissolution. wherever it may become possible, of the tie which binds them to the Taluadar This cannot be done without the consent of the latter-which would seldom or never be accordedexcept in case of sale. Where this occurs, the opportunity should be seized to assert a right of pre-emption on tehalf of the State, and then make the settlement direct with the samindars, no longer under-proprietors, recovering the rarchase money from them by instalments spread over a long series of years. This remedy can only be partial, but where we cannot do all we would, we must try to be content to do what we can. The experiment would at any rate be worth trying when it happens that a portion of a taluqu, containing subsettled villages in which marked antagonism exists between the superior and subordinate holders, comes to be sold in satisfaction of decrees against the Tslugdar. The under-proprietors of Oudh, as a body, have suffered many things at the hands of our administration. But we can at least address to them, though with truer meaning, the

words of Richard of Gloucester to his brother's injured widow-

"We cannot make you what amends we would, Therefore accept such kindness as we can."

(3.) Tenants without any proprietary right.

Here is the field which is still open to us if we have a sincere desire to elevate the condition of the rural masses. Something like six millions of cultivators, men, women, and children, are material sufficient for the widest philanthropy to operate on. The evils which chiefly afflict this "dim common population" are poverty and ignorance, both of a more intense type than prevails among the peasant proprietors and under-proprietors. Of their mode of life some account has been attempted in Chapter II. Here let it suffice to say that they are often under-fed, generally underclothed, and have, as a rule, scarcely anything that they can call their own beyond a pair of lean little oxen, half-a-dozen pots and pans, a few rude agricultural implements, and, perhaps, a little silver jewellery. Are they ever to rise above their present condition? That is the biggest question which the future of Ough has to solve.

If they ever do so rise, it will have to be by their own exertions. No Government can hope to do for them what they can, and, there is every reason to believe, will do for themselves, if only the ordinary motives to exertion are allowed free play. That free play is not allowed at present, owing to the tenure under which they cultivate their fields. The one great boon which we can bestow upon them is perfect security of tenure, at a rent either fixed in perpetuity, or, at least, not liable to be enhanced by the caprice or greed of an interested individual. most essential of all conditions to the growth of industry and foresight is rimply this, that to each man be assured. with the utmost attainable certainty, the fruits of his own labour. In no agricultural community where the land in tilled mainly by petty cultivators can this condition be realised without fixity of tenure. This is a corvice which it is, beyond dispute, in the power of our Government to per-

20 4

form But it has not been performed while, as in Oudh, hundreds of thousands of cultivators are always liable to ejectment for no better cause than the sic volo sic jubeo of their landlord, he he the Tafuqdár of half a district, or the zamindar of a fraction of a village. For it must be remem-bered that it is perhaps in small even more than in large properties that the cultivator is in need of protection poor man that oppresseth the poor is like a sweeping ram which leaveth no food "Whether nr no the power of eviction is very frequently exercised may, perhaps, be a disputed question, though 38,636 notices of ejectment were issued in 1877, and the average of the three years 1875-1877 was over 30,000, but the power is always there. The Oudh peasant who has no recorded rights has no twelve-years' rule to fall back upon His teams depends altogether on the will of the proprietor of the soil which he happens to culturate, and it it is the profit or the caprice of that proprietor to eject him, ejected he must be It does not need much reflection to prevere the reality of the hindranes which this obstacle must oppose to habits of continuous industry and exertion, and to all efforts at improvement which rise beyond the mere routine of agriculture. The natural hindrances in a country great part of whose harrests are dependent on an uncertain randall are sufficiently serious to relieve us from all necessity of creating artificial ones. vast sums have been, and are thely to be, expended in India in famine relief. Would it not be well to devote more attention in the duty which lies pearest to our hands, a duty which ties beyond question neumbent upon every state to discharge, that of affording security to the cultivator where he is too helpless to take his own part, rather than expend our energies helples to take his own part, rather than expend our energies in the attempt to secure dense populations against famine by expedients which, hawever indispensible they may be, and, so long as the land lives remain unaltered, are hiely to continue, cannot but tend to weaken habits of independent self-relance? The necessity for abnormal efforts such as these as nearly always the result of a long course of previous neglect of the commonplace every-day duties steady per-formance of which would have rendered the application of

more heroic remedies superfluous. Let the peasantry of Oudh, or of any part of India, enjoy for thirty years security of tenure at a fixed rent, without the power to sublet or mortgage their holdings, and it is hardly too much to predict that the necessity for famine relief will disappear. Speculation on final causes is always somewhat hazardons, but if such a conjecture may be ventured, perhaps the final cause of the famines by which India has of late years been afflicted is to inculcate the necessity of a return to a normal and healthy system of agricultural tenure. In proportion as life conforms more nearly to rational principles does the sphere of casual acts of benevolence and good nature contract Si nous voulions (tre toujours sages, rarement aurions nous besoin d'être vertueux.

This question of land tenure is more important to the welfare of the cultivator in particular and the Empire in general than irrigation, roads, milways, improved agricultural methods, or any other thing whatsoever. The State cannot hope to do as much for the people, as it may reasonably count on being able to do through them. And not only will what the people can do for themselves if they get fair play, and if the ordinary motives to exertion are allowed to operate, be far greater in amount than anything that can possibly be done for them, but it will be more intrinsically valuable, inasmuch as it will not only improve their material condition, but will also tend to raise their character as human beings.

There is, as has been already observed, no question in Oudh of the relative merits of grande and petite culture, for the sufficient reason that the former cannot be said to exist. There are very large proprietors, but no large farmers. A farm of five hundred acres in the hunds of one man is almost, if not altogether, unknown. Wealthy ramindars, indeed, and large lessees occasionally cultivate considerable areas with their own ploughs. These, however, are not in one plot, but consist of scattered patches in perhaps two nty or thirty villages, tilled in methods which in no way differ from those employed by the petty tenant of a dozen acres. Given, then, patife culture, the problem before us is to make the best of it. The agricultural system of Oudh is, speaking broadly,

a system of large estates divided into very small farms, coccupied by transite-at-will, cultivating with their own etck, and without any security of tenure. Under such conditions points culture never has succeeded, and it may be eately prophened that it never will succeed. For the one great strong point of petits culture by peasant proprietors or by rent-paring cultivators secure of their tenure, is the andour of individual industry with which it imagines the cultivator. It is this that has enabled it to trumph over all the superior advantages of capital and machinery possessed by large farmers. But that ardour of individual industry cannot exist where there is not perfect security that it shall enjoy the fruits of its own labour. This truth has been at less, though anadequately, recognised in Ireland, it is surely time that it becam to be recommissed in Outle.

Advocates of the Taluqdari system seem to have imagined that if they could but create a class of large landed proprietors at the top, and a mass of tenants-at-will at the bottom, with only the smallest possible number of peasant proprietors and privileged tenants between them, the virtues such as they are, of the English agricultural polity would, in some mysierous way, be the result. They seem to have seriedly ignored the fact that there is no class of capitalist farmers in Oudh, and no large farms, that the agricultural classes, instead of being, as in England, one-sixth of the population, are more than two-thirds, i.e. seventy, instead of seventeen, per cent; and lastly, that there is no commerce or manufactures capable of finding employment for the agriculturiate whose diverce from the soil they looked forward to with so much equanitity. There is only one profusion which existed peasants are lakely to embrace, and that is, theft in its various branches, dooxly and highway robbery for Bristmans and Hájpáte, petty larceny for low-caste men.

No position, consistent with a settled government, can well be conceived less likely to encourage industry and a deurs to make the most of the soil than that of the tenant-at-will. If he improves his land by high cultivation, he exposes himself at once to the risk of a demand for enhanced rent, This demand can be enforced by a notice of ejectment, which no length of occupancy, no regularity in paying his rent, can give him any legal grounds for successfully contesting. It is true that if he builds an irrigation well, or digs a tank, or constructs an embankment, he cannot be ejected for thirty years without payment of compensation, nor can his rent be enhanced. But, to say nothing of the frequent unwillingness of landlords to allow him to do anything of the kind, the tendency towards making such improvements is in itself so weak as to be very effectually discouraged by the prospect of having to prove a title to compensation in a rent court. Why, moreover, should the limit of thirty years be fixed? As already remarked, the phrase in the original draft of the Rent Act was "unexhausted improvements," and as long as the well, tank, or other work, possesses any appreciable value, so long should the constructor, or his heirs, be deemed entitled to compensation if deprived of it by ejectment. Yet this provision. such and so limited as it is, is the only security which a tenant-at-will, i.e. ninety-five out of every hundred Oudh cultivators, at present possesses against capricious eviction.

There is no need to prove, nor is it here asserted, that the majority, or even a large minority, of Oudh landlords habitually abuse the power of ejectment which was-provisionally, as I believe-entrusted to them by the Rent The mere fact of such a power residing in Act of 1868. the will of any individual landlord, however benevolent or enlightened, is quite sufficient materially to check the spirit of improvement on the part of those over whom he is at liberty to exercise it. It is idle to expect men to work without motive, and from the influence of the strongest of all motives to exertion the tenant-at-will is wholly excluded. It is to the cultivator, not to the owner of the soil, that, in Oudh, at any rate, we must look for improvements. Of such improvements as are made at present, by far the greater part are made by tenants. If an illustration be asked for of the extent to which the improvement of the country depends upon the actual cultivators, and how small a part the large landlords play in it, it may be found in the Oudh Revenue Report for 1864-65, where we read that in the Sultanpur

district, which is largely Taluqdárf, between 1861 and 1864, there were 7.947 common wells dug, of which 6.476 were dug by cultivators. Out of 1,172 mesonry wells built during the same period, 913 were built by cultivators. "In the late drought the dispreportion was still greater, the Taluqdárs contributing only three wells out of several thousands." Lambholders do often contribute something in the shape of materials, but it is "quite certain that the agriculture of the country a carried on by buildocks, stragation, manure, and labour, all provided by the ryots, and that the interposition of the large landholders is confined to assessing and collecting the rents". We have heard a great deal of the necessity of creating a feeling of security in the munds of landbowly Would it not be well to try the novel experiment of creating a sumlar feeling in the minds of tenanties?

There is no denying that the condition of the ordinary tenant, cultivating, say, five acres of land with a single plough, and paying a rent of, perhaps, thirty or five-and-thirty rupees, and with a wife and three or lour children, not to speak of aged and helpless relatives, to support, is unsatisfactory. And so long as he remains a tenant-st-will, there seems little chance of its amelioration. The most obviously needful preliminary to such amelioration is an increase of the produce which he grows, and, as a necessary condition precedent to this, the percention, not so much of the increase of rent, as of the liability of rent to increase. As long as the cultivator is aware that if he calarges the out-turn of his behing by careful tillage, or by the construction of improvements, he is label to have the whole or a part of the increment taken from him in the form of enhanced rent; as long as he knows that he is hable to eviction at the captice of an individual who is pretty certain to excress his power of ejectiment if crossed in any way by any inconvenient manifestation of independence, so long will he shut out from the influence of the strongest notive to improvement, the certainty that he will enjoy the fruits of his own labour.

Mero fixity of tenuro will not suffice to make the position of those who are now tenants-at-will what it ought to be.

But it is the indispensable condition without which no appreciable improvement can be looked for. Arguments against interference between landlord and tenant derived from experience of capitalist farming in England, and from the theories regarding the rights of private property which find acceptance there, have, it need hardly be said, no application to the state of things which exists in Oudh, or, perhaps, in any part of India. It is only rent paid by capitalists that is regulated by the capacity of the soil alone. In India the helplessness of the cultivator is one of the chief factors in determining its amount. Competition rent and wages, in the mouths of the well-to-do, usually mean rent or wages determined by free competition of one cultivator or labourer against another, for the benefit of a landlord or a capitalist employer; not a competition on something like equal terms between capital and associated labour. Strictly speaking, the rent paid by the Indian ryot is not economic rent at all. This is recognised by Act XIX. of 1868, which defines rent simply as "the money or portion of the produce of land, payable on account of the use or occupation of land, or on account of the use of water for irrigation." And yet the assumptions on which the Act is based are such as to apply only to economic rent, of which the theory may be said to be that it is limited by the necessity of leaving a sufficient margin of profit to the agriculturist to prevent him from withdrawing his capital to other employments. Rent paid by Indian ryots is not so limited, for there is, speaking broadly, no other employment save field labour to which these hereditary cultivators can turn. One in a thousand may obtain domestic service, but what is he among so many? A tenant who, rather than submit to a demand for enhanced rent, relinquishes his holding and migrates to another village can rarely get, at the most, more than a field or two of arable land to begin with. He has to break up waste soil for himself, which is probably of inferior quality, and for the first year will most likely yield no return. Rather than do this, he will often submit to enhancement to an extent which encroaches on his small capital until the chance of a bad scason, or an outbreak of cattle disease, leaves him without

the means of cultivation, and he sinks to the level of a day labourer on a precarious meome of from three-halfpence to two penes farthing, or, at most, in harvest-time, threepence a day, or, for an advance of a few rupees, becomes the bond slave of some cultivator better off than himself, whose plough he and his children after him will be henceforth destined to guide. Even if he had the prompitude and decision of character to rebinquish his holding at once on the first demand for enhunced rent, and seek better terms elsewhere, he would still he hat putting off the evil day, and, sooner or later, he or his children would have to "go through the sad prohation all again," and betale themselves once more to unfamiliar fields. Even if, warned by hitter experience, he should induce the sowner of the waste which he broke up to give him a perpetual lease, the chances are that the latter, or his successor, would under the confiscation sections (2c and 53) of the Ondh Revenue Act, be able to resume the central thould it ever become worth resumes.

The material condition of rural Oudh cannot improve without an increase in the amount of produce raised, which can only result from the application of more industry and capital to the soil. The experience of twenty years has shown that large landlories will not thus apply capital to any considerable extent, and the industry is not there is apply. Even if they did key out expetial in unprovement, by for the greater part of the returns would only benefit themselves in the form of increased rent, whould coling any good to the cultivator. It is to the actual cultivators themselves that we must look to work out their own economic salvation by the anchoration of the soil. If the capital in their hands increase, we may safely true to their applying it to the land, provided that the investment be made a perfectly secure one. What is wanted is, in the words of Mr. Card, "a counter system of land tenner, and thereby the substitution of an improving for an achaziting agraeuliur."

The increase of capital must depend, according to Mr. Mill's analysis, on (1) the amount from which saving can be made. (2) strength of the dispositions which prompt to saving.

Now, in Oudh, as regards ordinary cultivators, both these are at a minimum. The surplus of the produce of their labour, after supplying themselves with the barest necessaries of life and paying their rent, is on au average of years, extremely small, and in bad seasons, not unfrequently a minus quantity. And motives for applying the surplus. when it exists, to the improvement of the soil, are extremely weak. The small farmer knows well enough that if he makes his land capable of paying an enhanced rent, that enhancement is pretty sure to take place. Why, then, should he improve his land? The result is that the few small farmers who have a little spare cash do not apply it to the soil, but lend it to their poorer neighbours-who, as likely as not, expend it in marriage festivities-at 24 or 36 per cent. interest, which undoubtedly, as far as they are concerned, is a more profitable investment.

The stock assertion that tenant-right is "opposed to the principles of political economy" is at present, perhaps, hardly in need of refutation. Political economy, so far as it is a science, rests, partly on physical laws, partly on certain assumptions as to the motives which govern human conduct, and its fundamental assumption is that every individual desires to accumulate wealth. That measure or policy is most in accordance with the dictates of political economy which, by giving the freest scope and fullest play to the. ordinary motives to accumulation, holds out the strongest encouragement to productive industry. And that measure or policy is directly opposed to economic principles which, by weakening or hampering those motives, checks the productive and accumulative tendencies. The first set of characteristics belongs to any system of land tenure under which the actual cultivator enjoys the secure possession of his fields at a moderate fixed rent; the latter, to any system under which he eujoys no such security; and a system which exposes the tenant to the double risk of capricious ejectment from his land, and to a demand for enhanced rent if his industry should increase the margin out of which rent can be paid, is in the highest decree discouraging to the productive impulse, and therefore deserving of the most severe and summary condemnation upon all principles of political economy in any reasonable sense of the term

That is an exceedingly narrow and, consequently, mistaken conception of political economy which regards it as merely the theory of lauser-faire That this conception of it should be so common as it is, is probably due to the fact that the science-if one's Positivist friends will allow one to call it so -- came into contact when it first arose with sundry restrictive and cumbrous regulations, such as the mercantile system. the corn laws, and others, over which it has now happily traumphed by opposing to them the principles of free trade, an important branch of the still wider law of the "freedom of each, limited only by the equal freedom of all" Political economy has positive resources as well as negative, though economy has positive resources as well as negative, though it is doubtless true that the most important serrices which it has hitherto performed have been wrought by demonstrating ing the injurious results of many kmos of interference on the part of the State in the private concerns of individuals. The attacks of Comte and his disciples have contributed much towards establishing economic theories on a sound footing, by drawing attention to the radical distinction between those so-called laws which have no other bass than positive morality and existing social arrangements, and those positive morany and extering source arrangements and another which are beyond the control of human will The absence of a clear perception of this distinction, a confusion of the transient and arbitrary with the permanent

except perhaps in England during the last century and a half or thereabouts, his always regarded the possession of land as carrying with it very different duties and responsibilities from those anolved by the possession of merchandise; and the argument that a handlord is as much entitled to "market rates" for his land as a shopkeeper to the basis proof of his goods, is merely a mulcading analogy. There are moral relations between the owner of the soil and those who cultivate it which differ tots colo from the mere "cash-rayment" which suffices for the "soil eneurs" butteen seller and buyer. As a writer in the "Academy" has well remarked, "the tendency of the law of advancing society has been in the direction of increased legal protection to tenant farmers. The history of the law of tenure is in fact the history of successive interpositions of courts of justice to give security to the cultivators of the soil, who originally were regarded as the servants or serfs of the landlord, and as holding their farms at his will." Landed property is to a great extent "out of the ordinary region of commercial contract, to which the maxim of laisser-faire applies. A landlord runs no risk of being driven out of the field by competition like an ordinary trader."

It is true that there is always, even in India, a prima facie presumption in favour of laisser-faire; but the presumption is much less strong than in any country of Western Europe. In India, where civilisation, in the modern sense of the term, is still an exotic, the secret of growing which is at present almost confined to its foreign rulers, the duty of the Government is considerably wider than in a country of which the civilisation is indigenous, and has been worked out by itself from within. Mere tranquillity, simple preservation of the peace, will not suffice to bring about progress unless the germs of growth which every society has in itself are carefully fostered. It is not enough to leave the capacity for improvement alone; it must be judiciously nurtured. The task of the Government of India is, on the one hand, so to mould outward circumstances, and on the other so to tutor the intelligence of the people, that the two may act and react on each other until between them they generate a force sufficient to lift the masses out of the ever-deepening pit of stagnation in which centuries of immobility have left The first indispensable condition of success in this attempt is, by maintaining order and tranquillity, to secure a medium in which the real work of enlightenment and education may be done, and without such a medium that work could no more be performed than certain experiments could be carried on without the previous exhaustion of the atmosphere by an air-pump. But the maintenance of a medium alone will not suffice for the success of an experiment. In

other words, the people of India will not be regenerated by mere preservation of the peace, by lauser-faire and unstancial competition. The germ of growth which is to be found, so far as the rural masses are concerned, in the capacity for improved agriculture and a higher standard of lung, must be preserved from the blighting influence of rack-rening and competition, and fostered by the maintenance of perfect security of tenure, of the certainty of enjoyment by the labourer of the fruits of his toil. It may be that at some distant period the day will come when Indian agricultural industry shall be able to take care of itself, and when the keen air of competition shall be but a healthy tonic, and not, as at present, a withering hlast. But if the advent of that time is prematurely anticipated, and acted on as if it were already here, it may be safely predicted that it will never come at all

The trum of ideas which we have introduced—inclindual right, as opposed to family or tribal custom, contract as opposed to status—bas already vastly changed, and seems certain, if unchecked, entirely to after, and that ruinously for the worse, the conditions of agricultural life. And the change is one over which it is impossible, at present, to feel enthusiastic, and which, if, as it has been, and is being, prematurely accelerated, will not and cannot come to good.

We all know what is to be urged in its favour. This

We all know what is to be urged in its favour. This breaking up of old ties, it is ead, is a necessary step in the path of progress. The earliest state of historic man is corporate life, the life of the family and the tribe. From this he has emerged in Europe, and is emerging in India, to the

towards a system, chiefly, at first, at least, industrial, of conscious and voluntary association. Individualism is a necessary step in the progress towards a higher mode of association, towards the co-ordination of the individual with the collective life.

Now few, probably, will deny the justice and truth, on a

large scale and in the long run, of such considerations as these. But it is surely difficult not to feel that they look, so to speak, far over the heads of the actual facts around us. The terms of the formula are too vast to admit of its being a safe guide for our practice. The periods of time which are required to render it a trustworthy clue to the interpretation of history are centuries, not years, or even decades. The state of social conditions prevailing in rural Hindústán at the present day probably resembles that which existed in England a few years after the Norman conquest more nearly than any which has succeeded it in our own history. results which it has taken England, roughly speaking, eight centuries to reach, Oudh is not likely to go very far towards attaining in twenty, or in two hundred years, even supposing the results themselves to be much more undeniably satisfactory and desirable than they actually are. In truth, these large generalisations are apt to lend themselves to the formation of most dangerous rules for the guidance of our political practice. The principle of natural selection, as exemplified in history, is a grand and imposing spectacle, but it is not for us to identify ourselves too closely with the destruction of weaker by more vigorous types. Natural laws can take very good care of themselves, and the true function of the rulers of mankind is rather to temper and soften their working, to infuse, so far as may be, an element of kindly human pity into the vast unconsciousness and impersonality of these tremendous agents, than to throw their influence, such as it is, into the descending scale, and to attempt the wholly superfluous task of assisting a chemical movement by mechanical means. "Wholesale moral arrangements," as Oliver Holmes happily remarks, "are so different from retail," and all consciously devised human efforts may be regarded as retail, in contradistinction to the illimitable and ultimately irresistible march of Nature. Nor is this in any way to advocate a blind resistance to natural processes; rather is it to urge the duty of clearly apprehending the character and tendency of those processes, that so we may follow their movements, and succour the unfortunates who have been crushed beneath the mighty wheels of the irreversible engine. We can never break the laws of nature; to attempt it is but to break courselves against hem; but we may do something, at least, to allerate, if not to avert, the run of those whom these laws have overwhelmed. Progress, we have been told on high authority, is a "march from status to contract," and it is probably impossible, still more probably undesmible, to reverse or maternally alter this tendency. But it is both possible and desirable to make the change less butter and runous, to make it even beneficial, to those whose hees, inthict to based on custom, are being broken up and overwhelmed by the subversive agoney of contract.

Wherever the operation of the principle of laisser-faire, or unrestricted competition, does not suffice to secure a fairly approximate coincidence of actual with economic rent, a case is made out for legislative interference. The rents paid by Oudh cultivators leave them little or no profit after supplying themselves with the barest necessaries of life. thus no source from which they can accumulate capital, and so long as the rent demand remains unlimited, and ready to swallow up a part if not the whole of the mercased produce which would result from better agriculture, it may be safely asserted that such increased produce will not come into existence at all The land, as has been well observed, "reflects like a mirror the motives at work upon it." and as long as the predatory impulse of the landlord is allowed to swamp the productive impulse of the tenant, so long will the morease of wealth, if not altogether checked, be confined within very much narrower limits, both of extension and intension, than it would attain if the productive impulses had free play

The great end of rural Oudh as, as has been already observed, the insufficiency of the produce of the soil to maintain the population in comfort, after defraging their rent and the cost of cultivation. While this is a, of what has is it for Government to devote its energy and its resources to

beyond the ceaseless pressure of physical want. While the one thing greatly needful is left undone, mortuary statistics, and crop statements, and wholly uncalled-for alluvion and diluvion reassessments, and all the similar inventions on which the energy and patience of district officers are at present expended, can be little better than so many pretentious futilities.

At least fifty per cent., probably far more, of the population of Oudh are too poor to be able to send their children to school, or to have any desire to do so. Their life is a narrow round of small but grinding vicissitudes, the pressure of which is not to be relieved by such instruction as our schools can impart. "Education," to quote Mr. Mill, "is not compatible with extreme poverty. It is impossible effectually to teach an indigent population." Population and subsistence are always running an endless race, and the material well-being of any community depends, cateris paribus, on the extent to which it can succeed in handicapping population. It is, of course, a physical impossibility for population actually to outrun subsistence, but it may press on it so closely as to leave no margin upon which men may pause a breathing while, no interval of ease which they can devote to any higher aim than the provision for merely physical needs. Human welfare is mainly dependent on the possession and good use of such a margin. To use it well is as important as to possess it, but it must be possessed before it can be used at all.

The soil of Oudh, densely crowded though it be, is quite capable of supporting a considerably larger population in far greater comfort than the existing population enjoys. Small as the great majority of cultivating holdings are, they are quite sufficient, if tilled with the industry which is at present almost the monopoly of a few special castes, to yield a return which, supposing the rent demand to be limited to its present amount, would put their occupants beyond the reach of actual want of food or clothing. It should never be forgotten that what is needed is not the breaking up of fresh soils, but the better and more careful cultivation of the land already under tillage. The area available for grazing is already far too scanty in at least nine

districts out of twelve, and the pastures of the three northern districts are by no means too extensive for the great herds of cattle which are driven up to them from the south. It is therefore far from desirable, except in small occasional tracts of peculiarly cremmstanced country, that cultivation should be extended. The increased produce which is needed for the adequate support of the people must be derived from an increased intensity of industry, not from an extension of its area. If, as is probable, the fixation of rents all over Outh should have a tendency to confine cultivation to lands already under the plough, and to linder the further hreaking up of wate, this result would be in tieff a very strong argument in favour of such a measure, not, as has been sometimes urged, an objection to it. The best, if no the only hope of saving the breed of cattle from still further deterioration is to keep the cristing grazing grounds smulmished.

The dependence of the Government of India for so large a portion of its income upon the land naturally disinclines it to interfere with the power of the landlord to increase the rents out of which his pars his revenue. But, if the condition of the rent-payer is not to go on from bad to worse, this reluctance must be overcome, and the fact recognised that rents have increased and are increasing, and that the increase ought to be checked. In some few instances in fixation of rents might necessitate modifications of the revenue demand. But these would probably be very few, and it any landlord chose to complain of breach of faith, though such a complaint would, considering the circumstances and history of Oudh, be without foundation, his mouth would be effectually stopped by an offer, which it is practically certain would not be accepted, to take his estate off his hands at a fair valuation.

But the value of lund, even to the landlord, would not, if Irish precedents may be trusted, be ultimately reduced by the establishment of a sound system of tenure. Mr. O'Connor Morris, in the "Fortinghtly Review" for September 1876, saurues us that the value of land in Ulster, in the time of Cromwell, was but one-third of what it was in Lemster, one-half of what it was in Munster, and about equal to that of Connaught. It is now nearly equal to that of Leinster, and much greater than in Munster or Connaught, having thus increased nearly three times as fast as in the former, and five or six times as fast as in the two latter provinces. The causes, apart from Protestantism and the growth of manufactures, to which this rise in the value of landed property in Ulster is attributed by Mr. Morris are the comparative soundness of the prevailing tenure, and the fact that the peasantry were not kept in such a state of serfdom as in the south and west of the island.

It is not uncommon to hear people defend the introduction of rules framed in the interests of landlordism, and the exclusion of rules opposed to those interests, on the ground that such introduction or exclusion is "a legitimate consequence of the Taluqdárí system." But, as a matter of fact, this is no argument at all. Inasmuch as no form of land policy produces, to adapt a passage from the sixth book of Mr. Mill's "Logic," "all possible beneficial effects, but all are attended with more or fewer inconveniences; and since these cannot usually be combated by means drawn from the very causes which produce them; it would often be a much stronger recommendation of some practical arrangement, that it does not follow from what is called the general principle of the policy than that it does." The sweeping measures in favour of a landed aristocracy which succeeded our reoccupation of Oudh are in themselves a sufficient ground for refraining from further minor arrangements of the same tendency, and for introducing qualifying measures to prevent the humbler landed interests from being altogether dwarfed and blighted by the shadow of a widely spreading landlordism.

Two of the most necessary qualities, and at the same time the most difficult of combined attainment, in any society are, manliness of individual character, and the habit of obedience to the law of the community. They cannot be combined if the law, directly or indirectly, requires the sacrifice of manliness, and the result of that requirement will be widely different, but equally pernicious, according to the characters of those brought under its influence. Those who are manly in excess, i.e. turbulent, will learn the habit

of evading and defying law until they become practically outlaws, while those who are naturally deficient in mailness will become mean and slavsh subservents of an authority which they do not in their hearts respect or recognise as just. This is exactly what our land policy in Onth tends to bring about. By putting under-proprietors and tenants in a position in which subservence and self-abasement before their landlord is their best chance of escaping oppression, we tend to disgust all nobler spirits with our laws and ourselves, while we put a heavy premium on mean servilly for those whose natural tendency is in that direction. Law should enlist on its side the best, not the most contemptible tendancies of human nature. "What is knount?" asks Wordsworth. And he answers that it is "the finest sense of justice which the human mind can frame." We may feel sure that a system which divorces bonour from justice will not be hiely to make those whom it affects either knourable

or just
Much as every reasonable reformer must wish to conclinate
all classes, and to avoid arousing class irritation, it is still
mostful clearly to recognise that the pecuniary interest of
the rent-payer and the rent-receiver are not, and nover can
be, identical it is an inseparable feature of all social
and more sharply defined. From a purely abstract point of
view, the soil of a country belongs by natural right, if there
and more sharply defined. From a purely abstract point of
view, the soil of a country belongs by natural right, if there
have a cloud that a summary of the soil of the people
who inhabit that country, and that portion of the people
who make the conditions as it imposes. Of these conditions,
the two most indispensable would seem to be the payment
of a tax as return for the previlege of occupation, and entivation by the actual holder. Such is the true Hindú theory
of land renure, which was adopted, though with many
divergences from it in practice, by the Mughals, and no more
unexceptionable source of public mecome could well be concived than a land tax based on these principles. To have

allowed individuals to acquire rights of property in the soil other than rights of cultivating occupancy under the State, has perhaps been one of the greatest inisfortunes which have befallen the societies of Western Europe. It was a mistake from which India, in theory at least, remained to a great extent free, until, in an evil hour, we committed her to its theoretical as well as its practical adoption. By this, of course, it is not intended that we found the country everywhere in the hands of occupancy tenants of the State, and subverted their rights in favour of a class of absolute landlords created by ourselves. We did something not very unlike this in Bengal, but in Oudh no one would think of denying the existence of a class of landlords whose rights it was impossible to ignore. But the native Government always asserted, and, in an exceedingly feeble and spasmodic way, sometimes actually exercised, its right to interfere between landlords and tenants in order to preserve the latter from extortion and oppression. So recently as at the beginning of the present century, S'ádat Alidid a great deal more than this, and asserted by actual exercise the right of the ruling power to abolish any privileges assumed by middlemen which it deemed injurious to the welfare of the actual cultivators of the soil. Our right thus to interpose has fortunately never been abdicated, but has been allowed to fall into abeyance by disuse. The evils of any system of absolute proprietorship exercised by individuals over land which they do not themselves cultivate may be disguised and modified by the benevolence and unselfishness of particular landlords; but every such system is in itself radically vicious, and, where carried out in all its bad integrity, means the exploitation of the industrious poor by the idle rich, the appropriation of the fruits of labour by indolence, the interception of the just dues, and far more than the just dues, of the State by landlords who, in England, give next to no direct return for thera, and in India-from an abstract, not an historical, point of view-are little better than absurdly over-paid taxgatherers. Underlying all agrarian struggles and controversies is the fundamental antagonism of the landlord to the actual occupiers and tillers of the soil, whether these be

farmers and labourers, or small labouring farmers. This warfare, which, at home, has been the evil outcome of the abnormal history of English land, might have been put an end to in India by clearly recognising and formulating the ancient doctrine of the country, that the State is the sole landlord, and that though the rights of middlemen, where of long and clearly defined standing, must in justice be main-tained, they should be confined to the limits which they have actually reached, and not permitted to extend themselves madefinitely in the future by absorbing a continually increasing share of the produce of the soil. It is true that in Oudh departures from and abuses of the original theory of tenure had, at the period of annexation, become so general and inveterate as to make the blunder of mistaking them for the theory itself more excusable than in, perhaps, any other part of India But the fact remains that the direct tendency of or India But the fact remains that the direct tendency or our rule has been to saddle the province with the burthen of something not unlike our own unique English system, which our enormous trade and manufactures have with difficulty enabled us to bear, and which, even with these advantages, seems on the point of breaking down. The benefits conferred by strong rule and settled Government should indeed be great to compensate such an overwhelming injury as this, if it were final and irreparable.

Final and inteparable, however, it is not. There is still room to remedy the mischief which has been wroughly by allowing the right, or rather the duty, of the State to interfere when needful for the protection of the cultivator to

remain thus long in abeyance

remain thus long a keyslate And here it will probably be objected that my such interference would be a breach of faith towards Taliqudárs That this objection is baseless seems to me to be demonstrated by a study of the controverses extending over the ten years from 1858 to 1868, of which in the preceding chapter I have endeavoured to give an impartial, though certainly not an indifferential, account. Here, however, it may be rejeated that the objection is sufficiently answered by the sircaly quoted third paragraph of Shedule I of Act I of 1860;—
"This right (the right of the Taliqudárs to engage for the

revenue of their taluques) is conceded subject to any measure which the Government may think proper to take for the purpose of protecting the inferior zamíndárs and village occupants from extortion, and of upholding their rights in the soil in subordination to the Taluqdárs."

To this there appear to be only two objections which a Taluquár could take, viz. (1) that "village occupants" does not include mere cultivators; (2) that the rights to be upheld were rights existing at the time the reservation was made, not rights subsequently created.

Now whether or no Lord Canning, when he penned the words in question, was thinking only of zamíndárs and of rights already existing, the plain meaning of protecting village occupants from extortion includes the protection of the resident cultivators of a village from rack-renting; and experience has sufficiently shown, what should never have been considered doubtful, that without a legally recognised tenant-right cultivators cannot be effectually protected from rack-renting.

If anything further were needed to complete the case in favour of interference, it might be found in Sir C. Wingfield's letter to Sir W. Grey, dated the 2nd of March 1866, of which the following passage bears directly on the point in question :---

"The condition in the sannads that the Taluqdárs shall promote the agricultural prosperity of their estates would alone furnish the Government with warrant for stepping in to prevent oppression of the cultivators, for they cannot be oppressed without agricultural deterioration. But interference would be justified on the broad grounds of good government. The Taluqdárs will see this clear enough."

What Sir Charles Wingfield has admitted, it is probable that but few advocates of the Taluqdárs will seek to deny. "The broad grounds of good government" suffice to justify, or, to speak more correctly, impose on us as a binding obligation, the protection of the cultivator from rack-renting in esse, and still more in posse. It is the liability to be rack-rented, rather than the severity of the rent actually paid, which is fatal to improvement. Even where rent is at which is fatal to improvement. Even where rent is at

present heavy, there is every reason to believe that, if it were fixed and made permanent, it would in a few years come to be paid with ease out of the increased produce to the growth of which the influence of the inagin power of security in the mind of the cultivator would almost certainly lead Even on grounds of "parchment," the case for interference is sufficiently made out; but

"What needs the bridge much broader than the fixed ? The fairest grant is the necessity "

That necessity being sufficiently patent, no word more need.

perhaps, be said here of its justification.

It has been well remarked, however, that "the arguments are never all one side in any political question, and the writer who sees absolutely no difficulty suggests to a vary reader that he is ignoring something relevant." Spealing in all seriousness and uncerity, I can see but two objections that are entitled to any weight to the establishment of universal tenant-right. That they are grave objections may be freely admitted, but they are not such as should turn the scale in tayou of leaving things as they are.

The first and least weighty of them is that the measures necessary for the introduction and record of fixity of fenure would involve the creation of a special agency, which would, of course, cost money It would probably, if the measures were to be effective, cost a good deal of money. The officers employed would need to be of the most undoubted trustemployed would need to be of the most undoubted trust-worthmens, epecually f, as seems most destrible, their pro-ceedings and orders were declared final and unappeablic They would have to reuse, on the spot, the rent-roll of veery 'village in the province, and to rely as little as possible upon thing like the same means of arriving at a sound decision on the facts as the officers on the spot, and even if they made occasional mistakes, it would be a far less evil that these should remain uncorrected, than that landlords and persants should be alike impoverished and their minds disturbed by the grant of the dangerons power of appeal. Supervision

of their proceedings could, of course, be exercised by the Commissioners of divisions.

The actual cost of such an agency can only be very rudely estimated. But suppose two officers to be appointed for each district on, say, Rs. 800 and Rs. 700 a month respectively. Each of them would probably require a couple of writers on, say Rs. 60 and Rs. 40 per mensem, and perhaps five amins on Rs. 20 a month each. Allowing a margin of Rs. 100 for contingencies, the total cost per district would be Rs. 2,000 a month or Rs. 24,000 per annum, and for the whole province Rs. 288,000 yearly, or about one-quarter of the land revenue of a good-sized district. If the work was carried on at the rate of a village a day, excluding Sundays, for thirty weeks during the year, the four and a half months from the 1st of June to the 15th of October being spent at the head-quarters of the district or in the hills, 360 villages would be disposed of yearly. Taking the average number of villages in a district to be 2,000, the work would be accomplished in between five and six years, and its total cost would be under £175,000, or less than one-eighth of the entire land revenue of the province for one year. It might, perhaps, be done more cheaply and more expeditiously, but the effectiveness of the work would be more important than the price or the rate of speed at which it was accomplished. If we call to mind the circumstances under which Oudh was annexed, and the protestations of the then Government of India that they were acting solely for the good of the province, and their assurances that the cost of all measures needed for its development should be defrayed out of its taxes before the appropriation of the surplus for imperial revenues, it can hardly be denied that the necessity for expending such a sum as this should not stand in the way of a measure of supreme importance to the welfare of something like seventenths of the population.

The second objection is of a more serious nature, and may be thus stated:—After a great deal of agitation and ill-feeling caused by protracted settlement operations and litigation extending over a long period of years, and only very recently concluded, the minds of the people should be allowed

or write from graces and to ground themselves to effect form. Let friedless describes we disconsistent and a continue to their of at the forground. Interference servers included and taken a forground to the friedless who which ground the many describes the former was former and the server friedless and the server of the server of the server of the service former and the server of the service former and the service former of the service for the se

to the property of the section and present and the section of the section of the section and t to mineralizate the grave. I made aim that if the measure I have truck a mirrorite ages of secondary impor-tant, if it were a measure of width heaven describe it मार्थ के का कुलान कर है किए में स्टार्ट के प्रतिकार के कि कि fair and an experience are more required in more case of the fair and survival exact principles and fair fair and present and the classification of the annual fair and fair them the defendant to its would be experienced. It is only leaded family beidere et an be an orterales stantium unt codentium serum exercises, that I return to ergo so permitted its adoption in the technic of all difficulties. The obstacles in the way of its accomplainment may be great, but they are far from insuperable; and if they were ten times as formidable as ther actually are, they will still have to be fared sooner or later. The scener, therefore, they are faced the letter, for they will increase, not diminish, with layer of time. The truth which underlies the fattle of the Sibilline books is of perennially wide application, and to no class of questions does it apply more forcibly than to that now under considertion. The longer we want the greater will be the strength of prejudice to be overcome, and the less valuable, though not prejudice to be overcome, and the less valuable, though not be less independently, will be the result to be obtained. If this presenting of Ondb are not to be degraded by want and shaned type, if they are not to be degraded by want and starration below even their present level, they must be given excited of the order of the present of the present of the cardinal point.

to be kept in view. That the tendency of the tenure of all unprivileged cultivators in Oudh is straight in the direction of cottierism, appears wholly undeniable. How long it may take to reach that evil goal, how long it may be before the last shreds of beneficial custom are torn away, it is impossible to predict. But this, at least, is certain, that when that goal is once reached—and we can see it clearly enough, even now, in its half-veiled nakedness—all remedial measures will be, if not altogether too late, at least vastly more difficult of execution and very much less likely to succeed than if they are applied now while it is yet time. Let us, therefore, "open our eyes, lest they be painfully opened for us."

I earnestly hope that arrangements to secure the end in view may be devised by heads so much wiser and more experienced than my own, as to render wholly superfluous any attempt on my part to propound anything like a scheme for its attainment. But after having insisted at, I fear, such wearisome length on the necessity of security of tenure and fixity of rent, it would, perhaps, be shirking the consequences to omit all suggestion as to the practical means of obtaining them. Let me endeavour, then, with all due diffidence, to state as briefly as may be what I hope some day to see done.

In the first place, it should be announced to the people of Oudh that the Government of India has become convinced that the condition of the cultivators is not what it ought to be; and has satisfied itself that the only way to prevent its passing from bad to worse is to fix rents for a term of certainly not less than thirty years, and to maintain the actual occupants in possession so long as the rents thus fixed are paid. The grounds of this opinion might be very briefly and clearly explained, and it might be pointed out that the Emperors of Delhi, the Nawabs and Kings of Oudh, and all native sovereigns throughout India, always possessed, though in modern times, at least, they rarely exercised, the power of interference for protection of the cultivator: that nothing which has occurred since the annexation of the province has, or could have, deprived the Government of the power so to interfere; and that that power it is now intended to assert,

not as a right, but as a duty which has been too long neglected

It might be added that the Government is aware that this announcement will be unwelcome to a large majority of the landholders affected by it, and regrets the fact; but believes that the incomes which they actually enjoy will not be diminished, and promises that if, in any case, the aggregate rent-roll of an estate as anthoritatively fixed should be found to be less than double the Government demand, that demand shall be reduced accordingly It would hardly need saving that, whatever the rent-roll, the revenue would in no case be enhanced during the term of settlement The only change which would be brought about in the position of landlords would be that they would henceforth have no power of enhancing the rents authoritatively determined, or of ejecting any cultivator on any other ground than failure to pay the amount so fixed, powers which cannot, consistently with the welfare of the cultivators, be left in the hands of any individual landlord, however benevolent or enlightened, and the withdrawal of which would, therefore, convey no slur or disgrace upon anyone In conclusion, it might be declared that while Government relies upon the loval acceptance by landlards of the decision at which it has arrived, and upon their belief in its disinterestedness and sincere desire for the good of its subjects, it will view with extreme displeasure, and will take measures effectually to punish, all wilful attempts to deceive or throw obstacles in the way of the officers to be appointed to carry out the necessary measures On the other hand, all landholders who co-operate cordially with those officers will be deemed to have established a claim to its special consideration and favour.

The next step would be to introduce a short Act to amend the Rent Act (XIX. of 1868) by providing that henceforth the only grounds on which a tenant shall be hable to ejectment will be failure to pay rent at the rate fixed by the officer supervising rent-rolls. Any tenant against whom a decree for arrears of rent had been passed should be inable to ejectment if the decree remained unsatisfied after one month from the date of an arphetation by the decree holder

for his dispossession, or after such further time, not exceeding six months, as the court in its discretion might allow. the idle spendthrift or dishonest embezzler, whom no one wishes to protect, would remain liable to the penalty of his idleness or dishonesty; while the hard-working, straightgoing cultivator would be secured from all danger of rackrenting or capricious eviction. The position of all nonproprietary cultivators would thus be assimilated to that of the few who at present hold a right of occupancy under the Rent Act, except that their rent would not be fixed at a rate less by two annas in the rupee than that generally prevailing, and would only be liable to enhancement in the event of a fresh settlement of the land revenue, instead of once in every five years. The effect which this new security of tenure would produce by stimulating its recipients to industry and improvement cannot be estimated by the use which the few who already possess it have made of their advantages, though that is not inconsiderable. For it must be remembered that the latter, with the exception of a few Musalmans, are almost exclusively Bráhmans and Chhatris, the idlest and least improving classes of the cultivating body. The Kurmis, Muráos, and all the more industrious castes, are at present almost wholly unprotected, and would certainly turn the boon of security to far better account.

Independently of any general fixation of rents, and as a temporary measure, it might be provided that no tenant should be liable to ejectment except for failure to pay the rent previously agreed on between him and his landlord, or for refusal to agree to the payment of a fair rent in future, of which the rate, where the parties are unable to come to terms, should be decided, on the spot, by the Court, whose order should be final and unappealable.

There should, it need hardly be said, be no limitation of the right of occupancy to tenants who have held the same fields for twelve years, or for any other period. Any such rule is open to the obvious objections that it would not protect the cultivators against the very class of landlords from whom they most need protection, the tyrants and extortioners who are constantly evicting their tenants and changing

their holdings; and that it would often lead landlords to evict wholesale all tenants who had not held for the prescribed period It must once more be repeated, with an iteration which would be utterly damnable if it were not so entirely indispensible, that absolute security of lenure, at a fixed, equidable rent, must be made the indefeasible right of every cultivator whomsnever, not treated as the privilege of a favoured few As a necessary condition of healthy agriculture and sound rural economy, it should be conferred on the Chamér no less than on the Brahman, on the tenant of one year's standing, as well as on him of five hundred years' As previously remarked, any special rights which may be derived from ancient status, high caste, or relationship to the landlord, should find recognition in a favourable rent-rate But security of tenure at a fixed rent must not be deemed a privilege of the cultivator, its maintenance is one of the very first and most binding duties of the State. In our accurate survey, in our field maps and settlement statistics, we have facilities for attaining this great ideal such as no previous rulers of Hindústán-Hindú, Pathán, or Mughalever possessed Let not the latter end of our rule in Oudh so wholly forget the beginning as to perpetuate and stereotype that degradation of the cultivators to remedy which we professedly annexed the country. The Mutiny should have been treated as an interruption of our policy, charged, indeed, with grave lessons well worthy of being laid to heart, but not as a new revelstion changing its aims and objects Let it not be said of us by the future historian that, with all the means in our hands of raising the peasantry of Oudh from he squalid poverty and debysement which for conturies past have been their lot, we ignobly suffered them to perish for mere want of an enactment that they should be saved.

The list measure requisite would be to append officers to carry out the version of rent-rolls and to frame the record of rents and holdings. Rules would, of course, have to be liid down for their guidance. These would involve many points of detail, to treat of which this is not the place, but the spirit of them should be, as far as possible, in favour of maintaining existing facts. Rents, it need excredy be said, should not be raised merely because they are light. Where a tenant holds land under a lease at a progressive rent, the amount payable in the last year of the lease, or one somewhat greater, might be taken as the rent to be paid from the date of its expiry. Where good reason is apparent for raising an inordinately low rent for the lightness of which no ground can be assigned, the increase should in no ease exceed 50 per cent., and wherever it exceeds 25 per cent., the rise should be gradual and distributed over not less than five years. If any tenant declined to agree to the rent fixed by the supervisor, it should be clearly explained to him that by declining he will lose all right to be maintained in possession against the will of the laudlord, except such as he may be able to establish in a civil court, whereas by agreeing to the rent determined he will be seeure from all enhancement until, at any rate, the expiry of the term of settlement. If he still adheres to his refusal, the fact should be recorded, and the recusant left to his fate. Rent-free holdings would, of course, not be interfered with unless on the application of both landlord and occupant. Powers should be conferred on the supervising officers summarily to fine any person attempting to obstruct or deceive them. Such sentences should be unappealable, but it ought, of course, to be impressed on the officers on whom these powers are conferred that their exereise must be strictly confined to eases in which there is no possibility of the least shadow of doubt. The hands of the supervisors would need to be strengthened in every reasonable way, and the principle to be kept in view should be to choose good men and then trust them implicitly. So far as practicable, and except where it is manifestly absurd or unfair, the status quo should be adhered to.

The most difficult eases to be disposed of would probably be those of the tenants known as shikmis, i.e. sub-cultivators holding under another, who is generally a proprietary or privileged tenant. Their names are not to be found in the rent-roll, though they may have cultivated the same land for generations. It is most desirable that the principle of fixing rents should be carried out in its integrity, and therefore that shikmi cultivators should be included in the operations.

But if it were found by experience so difficult as to he impracticable, the record might be confined to those tenants whose holdings are recorded in the rent-roll, and shikmis left to the protection of a general rule that no cultivator whose rent has not been authoritatively fixed shall be ejected, except for failure to pay the rent that has been agreed on between him and the person entitled to receive it, or for refusal to pay a fair rent in future, the fairness of such rent, where disputed, to be settled by the court, and when once thus determined, not to be altered during the current term of settlement. Subletting would have to be guarded against by some such provision as that no suit brought by a recorded cultivator, as a sublessor against a sublessee, for rent of the whole or any part of his recorded holding should be entertuned, unless where the sublease was in force at the time the holding was recorded The whole subject is hy no means free from difficulties, and the rules for guidance of supervisors would need to be thought out with the utmost care. Their chief characteristic should be what may be called an elaborate simplicity, se a simplicity resulting from the careful thought and patience devoted to their elaboration.

But, assuming tenant-right to be an accomplained fact, and every enlitrator in the proruse to be in the eapyment of security of tenure at a rent fixed for a period not less than the current term of settlement, there remains one difficulty to be considered. It may be said that the occupancy right of the cultivator will soon pass not the hands of the money-lender, whether mahijan or xamfold's, by mortgage, sale, and the action of the civil courts. Of the reality of this danger the state of the petty proprietors of Jiharis is sufficient proof. But the remedy is obvious and simple. All that is needed is a mere enachment that cocupancy right shall be incrupable of mortgage, or of attachment or sale in execution of evil decrees. Sale accompanied by an immediate delivery of possession might, perhaps, be permitted, to meet the case of a cultivator who had expended capital on his lind, and wished to abandon his holding for good, and to emigrate or pursue some other calling. But of such ade the sanction of the Collector should be an indepensable

condition, and should only be accorded where he has satisfied himself that the cultivator's intention and desire to sell are bona fide, and not forced upon him by any terrorism or cajolery of the would-be purchaser. This restriction would be needed to protect the peasant from his own weakness and ignorance and from the carth-hunger of the mahajan. As long as the former has land to mortgage on which he can raise money without immediate loss of possession, so long will he, in five cases out of six, be unable to resist temptation, and will fall, an easy prey, into the meshes of the net spread for him by the money-lender. But unless he means to abandon agriculture altogether, he will hardly ever sell his holding outright. He will thus have all the advantages of a zamindar, except that his rent will, of course, on an average, be about double the incidence of the revenue assessment on his fields, and that he will be without the dangerous privilege, which has been the ruin of so many zamíndárs, of raising money on his holding. Such a posi-tion will afford the strongest possible inducements to thrift and good husbandry, and will expose him to the minimum of danger from the wiles of his natural enemy, though, at present, indispensable associate, the mahájan. The stock objection that, without the power of mortgage, the tenant will be unable to borrow money when needed, and will have to pay a higher rate of interest on what he does borrow, is entitled to no weight whatever. His present power of borrowing, such as it is, is quite sufficient for him, and it would be, to say the least, in no way impaired by the measures proposed. It is very doubtful whether, even by mortgaging, he would be able to borrow on much more favourable terms than at present. Talugdars in Oudh seldom borrow at less than 18 per cent., and the poorest cultivators generally pay not more than 24 per cent. It is obvious that such a trifling difference as this would be wholly incommensurate with the risk which would be run by the tenant, were the power of mortgage conferred upon him, of the total loss of his rights. Moreover, such occupancy rights as at present exist under the Rent Act are incapable of either sale or mortgage, and no particular need

of any such power appears to be felt by their holders The power of sale might, as already remarked, be allowed, to meet exceptional cases; and it might be added to the conditions imposed upon it that the approval by the landlord of the intending purchaser should be required, the Collector being empowered to over-rule his objections if they appeared to be mainfestly due to epite or any unworthy motive. But the power to mortgage should be rigorously excluded.

If to these measures were added the extension to Oudh of the provisions contained in Chapter III. of the in Takkhan Agriculturists Relief Act, "enabling the court to go into the history and merits of all suits for debt brought against cultivators, and to decree only the principal cum actually recivity together with such interest as it deems reasonable; and allow the exemption from sale in execution of civil decrees of houses, plough-cattle, agricultural implements, and such grain, clothes, and household utensils as the court deems indupensable for the support of the cultivator and his family, and the payment of his rent; we should then, perhaps, have done for the peasanty of the province all this tiggislation are assonably be expected to do. If, in spite of all this, they still failed to ruse in the social scale, if they still remaind involved in debt and destitution, the fault would be theire, not ours. It is true that—

"The ample proposition that hope makes In all designs begun on earth below Fails in the promised largeness"

But there is, at least, strong reason for hoping that these designs, if fairly tried, would not fail, and while this us so, the mere possibility of failure is no excuse for a refusal to make the attempt to execute them. When that attempt has been honestly made, our hands will at any rate be washed clean of the heavy responsibility which attacks to suntil we have done what we can to redeem the cultivators of Oudh from the slough of powerty and degradation in which they are now mrowed. The present Lieutenant Governor and Chief Commassioner, in his "Oudh Revenue Administration Report for 1875," declared that he regarded the position of the Indian cultivator as the addest and

most difficult problem we have to solve; for all that he has to trust to against rapacity is the value of his services"; and wished that he "could devise a remedy for this state of things." The problem is indeed of the saddest and most difficult. Is it always to remain, I will not presume to say, unsolved, but even unattempted?

4. Labourers.

The lot of the landless agricultural labourers is one of the least enviable that can well be conceived. Those who, in addition to working for hire, cultivate small patches of land on their own account are so far better off that they can, if out of other work, employ themselves on their own fields. These, however, are probably a minority, and the greater number are dependent for the means of subsistence on the wages of their labour and on them alone.

The result of our rule, which has most markedly affected the condition of this unfortunate class, of whose whole expenditure four-fifths, perhaps, is on food, has been a marked and general rise in the price of grain. The Indian labourer, indeed, resembles Robin Ostler of the inn at Rochester, who, "poor fellow, never joyed since the price of oats rose; it was the death of him." During the Nawabi hardly any grain at all seems to have been exported from Oudh in ordinary years; and exportation, as already remarked, was legally prohibited whenever the price of wheat rose above twenty sirs to the rupee. The great fertility of the soil enabled it, in days when railroads were not, and roads could scarcely be said to be, to support its dense population with comparative ease. But of recent years, greater security of traffic and improved communications, combined, probably. with a fall in the value of money, have greatly raised prices in Oudh itself. Where the agricultural labourer receives his wages in grain, this rise in prices does not much affect him. except in so far as, on the one hand, it disinclines his employer to give him so much of it, and, on the other, increases the purchasing power of the very minute surplus, if any, which remains after himself and his family are fed. Generally speaking, where paid in kind, he still gets his kachchha panseri (or 4 lbs.) a day. If paid in eash, he gets from one

anna to one anna six pie per dien. The latter sum would rarely purchase more than 6 lbs of coarse grain, and in years of scarcity perhaps from 3 to 4 lbs Thus, the tendency, and to some extent the actual effect, of our rule on the agricultural labourer has been to reduce to a still lower pitch his already lamentahly low standard of living, and his condition, therefore, has been made worse rather than hetter by annexation and its results. For what good can the most enlightened and vigorous administration, with tranquillty never so profound, with never so many rail-ways, roads, bridges, schools, jails, police-stations, dispen-saries, and court-houses, do to the ordinary Indian coolie which can be at all commensurate with the ever-present evil of heng underfed? Mulis, Chamfar, or Lichts Baksh Louys, were just as happy going along the old cart-track as they are now on the most scientifically constructed metalled read. Once or twice in a year, perhaps, they might have had to pay a pice to the ferryman who took them over the river which they now cross without payment by a bridge. Their children never by any chance go near a school Dispensaries can do little to keep underfed bodies in a healthy condition. The thana, the jail, and the court house, indeed, they may know something of, but their acquaintance with those insti-tutions profits them but little. Comparative freedom from forced labour and from gross ill-treatment we have, to a great extent, conferred upon them, and this is probably the most real benefit for which they have to thank us. But exemption from occasional bullying and hrutality is hardly exempleton from occasional outlying and artumity is narrly adequate compensation for the grawing discomfort of chronic hunger. The rise in the price of grain and fall in the value of money cannot, of course, be imputed to our Government as a fault. These are effects of such causes as improved communications, increased security of property, which has caused money to be circulated instead of hearded, and import of bullton in payment of exports But the deleful fact remains that the tendency of our rule has distinctly been in the direction of making the life of the day labourers of Oudh harder and more pinched.

No direct amelioration of their lot cau be effected by the

influence of the State. It is in the power of Government, by a stroke of the pen, to limit rents, but not to raise wages. The only chance for the labourers seems to be a prior improvement in the condition of the classes who employ them, and an increased out-turn from the soil. If zamindars and cultivators become more well-to-do, they will have the means of paying their labourers better, and for inducement to do so, we must trust mainly to a diminution in the supply of agricultural labour arising from an increase in the demand for labour of other kinds, as, e.g. for railways and public works. If the great army of village drudges could thus be thinned, the services of those who remained would, it might be hoped, become more valuable and better paid. seems a somewhat distant hope to trust to, but it is to be feared that there is no other. Any idea of instructing Indian agricultural labourers in the gospel according to Malthus, and thus persuading them to restrain their rate of multiplication, is, of course, utterly visionary. Their children do not, and cannot, go to school, and we have no way whatever of getting at such intelligence as they possess. They can only be influenced from above. So much the more urgent is the necessity of doing what we can to raise the condition of the cultivators, any considerable elevation of which can scarcely fail to effect a corresponding improvement-and an improvement to be brought about in no other way-in the condition of the class below them.

Here must end this attempt to review the condition of the four classes into which the rural population has been divided, and to suggest specifics for its improvement. But to some it may seem that the main obstacle to all schemes for amelioration has, thus far, been, if not wholly shirked, at least not looked fairly in the face. No Government, it may be said, can do anything for a country of which the day labourers, with a precarious income of from fifteen to eighteen pence a week if paid in cash, and four pounds of coarse grain a day if paid in kind, habitually marry at sixteen, and have, perhaps, half-a-dozen starveling urchins by the time they are five-and-twenty. A people whose habits are thus hopelessly anti-Malthusian, and among whom im-

provements in production are almost unknown, can only be kept alive, paradorical as it may sound, by famine, slangiter, or disease. Where moral or prudential checks on multiplication have no existence, the balance between the number of cation have no entirence, the casance between the number or mouths to be filled and the quantity of food available to fill them can only be maintained by such physical checks as epidemics and starvation. While inability to procurs a minimum of the coarsest possible food continues to be the only limit to the increase of population, it will continue to be worse than useless to move the limit a little further back, for the only possible result of so doing will be to increase the number of beings whose existence can hardly fail to be the number of beings whose existence can harmy ran to be a curse to themselves and others Any addition to the numerator of that miserable vulgar fraction of life which they possess must inevitably lead to a corresponding increase of its denominator, and the fraction itself will be no larger than before. Unless their habits can be so altered as to accustom them to a higher standard of living, rather than forego which they will refrain from multiplying, we had hetter sit still and do nothing If, for instance, the had hetter sit still and do nothing If, for instance, the popere classes could be accustomed to two meals a day of wheaten flour, instead of to one meal of coarse grain, for a sufficiently long period to make them rogard these things as more indispensable than having a large number of children, they would be really benefited. But merely to enable a larger number of persons to subsist on one meal of pulse a day would be doing harm rather than good

This might argue a rigid disciple of Matthus, and it must be conceiled that it would not be a ttogether easy to answer him. Perhaps, indeed, all that could be replied as, that as, at present, all Hindés and most Musalmáns marry, and marry young, and apparently do not regulate the numbers of their children with any particular regard to their means of supporting them, an unprovement of their condition would only, for a good many years at any rate, tend to increase population by disminishing the number of deaths, not by increasing the number of births. And it might be boped that the effect of any considerable improvement in their condition would not be confined to a mere diministion.

of the number of deaths, but would also permanently improve the condition of those who remained alive. It may, however, be admitted that without a change of habits, no permanent amelioration need be looked for.

Now, is it possible thus to alter the habits of the people, and, if so, by what means? The answer is that the thing has been done before, and may be done again, by the adoption of measures similar to those which have elsewhere proved successful. If we turn to Mr. Mill, who, while insisting more emphatically than, perhaps, any other modern economist upon the impossibility of permanently benefiting the poorer classes except by restraining their tendency to increase, has also asserted with greater intensity of conviction the possibility of modifying that tendency, we shall find, in that portion of the second book of his great work which deals with the remedies for low wages, the following passage:—

"A sudden and very great improvement in the condition of the poor has always, through its effects on their habits of life, a chance of becoming permanent. What happened at the time of the French Revolution is an example. . . . The majority of the population being suddenly raised from misery to independence and comparative comfort, the immediate effect was that population, notwithstanding the destructive wars of the period, started forward with unexampled rapidity. . . . The succeeding generation, however, grew up with habits considerably altered. . . . For the purpose of altering the habits of the labouring people, there is needed a twofold action, directed simultaneously upon their intelligence and their poverty. An effective national education of the children of the labouring class is the first thing needful; and, coincidently with this, a system of measures which shall, as the Revolution did in France, extinguish extreme poverty for one whole generation."

To begin with the question of education:—It cannot be denied that the Government of India has at its disposal means for influencing the minds of the poorer, if not of the very poorest classes, which have hitherto been very imperfectly utilised. There are schools all over the country,

attended by large numbers of children drawn from the ranks of all the agricultural classes except the lowest, and it is for the Government to decide on the nature of the works to be studied there. Hitherto the books in use have consisted mainly of children fables, absurd mythological stories, and the barest skeleton outlines of bistory and geography. Would it not be well that these schoolboys, while learning to read, should at the same time learn something which might concervably be of some practical use to them in after life? The notion of teaching political economy in village schools may seem eutopian, but it would be perrings asnoot may seem entopian, but it would be per-fectly possible to state, in half-a-dozen pages of moderate size, the principles of population and subsistence in a form so concrete and simple as to be readily intelligible to any child capable of understanding the first four rules of arithmetic. Any child can comprehend that a field of which the produce is sufficient to support five people will not equally well support ten, even though double the amount of labour well support test, even though doubte the amount of association be bestowed upon it; or that five rupees divided as wages among twenty workmen will give them four annas acach, whils if it is divided among forty, they will get but two annas appece. That is practically the whole theory of population and subsistence, and it is at least as likely to impress a bey's mind as the information that Stambúl is the capital of Rúm, and, once apprehended, indefinitely better adapted to do him actual service in life than the most intimate famiharity with the exploits of Shri Krishn or Hanuman. Similimity with the exploits of Shri Krishn or Hanumán. Similarly, the great increase caused by irrigation in this fertility of the soil might be incalcated. Such sentences as, "Irrigated lands pield twice as much as unirrigated," or, "Dos pakka well will water twenty bighas of hand," might alternate in copy-books with, "Men increase faster than food no be grown to feed them," "The more mouths to feed, the less there is for each," and similar gens from Malthus. If the matter were seneually taken up, much more might be described with the seneual processing the seneual proc done to popularise sound notions on economical questions done to popularise sound notions of economical questions than would at first sight be supposed. The fundamental doctrines of political economy, all those which it especially concerns a labouring class to know, are as simple and obvious

and concrete as they can possibly be; and if they were once absorbed into the miuds of, say, five per cent. of the boys who attend our schools, they might be trusted gradually to filter through the various strata of native society. The agency of the vernacular press might also be utilised. When these obvious means for the economical education of the people have been tried, it will be time enough to find out others. Whether the results thus produced would be great or small, can only be tested by making the experiment; but if it be true that the economical welfare of the people depends so largely on understanding and obeying the law of population, it is surely a duty to put them, as far as possible, in the way of gaining sound notions on the subject. We cannot, at a stroke, elevate the masses into models of prudence and virtue, but we can introduce an agricultural and economical catechism into our schools, and can require vernacular newspapers to publish Government resolutions and advice. We cannot make the horse drink, but we can at least increase the chances of his doing so by leading him to the water.

In order to "extinguish extreme poverty for one whole generation," Mr. Mill proposed two measures—colonisation on a large scale, and "the devotion of all common land hereafter brought into cultivation to raising a class of small proprietors." Neither of these proposals is directly applicable to the circumstances of Oudh. Emigration could hardly be made sufficiently extensive to have any appreciable effect on the condition of those who remained behind. It is something, no doubt, to have such outlets of escape as Trinidad and Demerara open to those who can no longer carry on the struggle for existence at home. It is, in most cases, a great advantage to those who go, but these will always be too few sensibly to relieve those who stay. If emigration from Oudh were ever attempted on a large scale, the best field for locating the emigrants would probably be the more thinly peopled tracts of the Central Provinces.

Of Mr. Mill's second specific, the creation of a class of small proprietors, enough has perhaps been said already. A peasant proprietor may be defined as a peasant who either

owns the land he cultivates, or holds it at a rent fixed either by law or custom and not abandoned to competition. From this definition it, of course, follows that so far as the rents of Oudh cultivators were fixed by custom, they were peasant proprietors. But they have now ceased, or are almost everywhere ceasing, to be anything of the kind Custom, as the determining agency of rent, is, more or less rapidly, but with unmistakeable certainty, giving place to competition; and, as a consequence the great mass of ordinary cultivators are sinking into the condition of cottsers, and into all the miseries which a cottier tenure involves. What is wanted to arrest this lamentable process is a measure which shall fix their rents for at least a considerable period, the term of settlement being probably, for the present, the most appro-priate. By the time that period has expired, it may be hoped that we shall have arrived at some definite conclusions as to the ments of e permanent settlement of the land revenue. If one might venture to prophesy, it would perhaps be a fairly safe prediction that it will be ultimately recognised that, while a permanent settlement which merely fixes the demand upon the landlord, leaving all, or even eny considershie number of the subordinate holders at his mercy, is one of the worst schemes that could be derised, a settlement which should be permanent all the way down, protecting the actual cultivator as effectively as the landlord, would be the very best. If a settlement in perpotuity were deemed mex-pedient, e fixation of the demands both of the State and of landlords for, say, ninety-nine years, would be almost equally beneficial. Such an extension would, probably, go far to remove the objections which would naturally be felt by land-

touch to the bestown! of ocenpancy rights upon their tenants. Whatever the measures adopted, whether to mise the intelligence of the people, or to improve their external condition, the real and, for the present, ultimate end of such measures, viz. the elevation of the standard of confort and the critication of extreme poverty among the poorer classes, should never be lost sight of. All means for the strainment of this end should be adopted simultaneously, not successively. Otherwise, their effect will be frittered ways, and more than

counterbalanced by the stimulus they will give to population.

One word in explanation of the phrase used above-"for the present, ultimate end "-may not be superfluous, lest it should be suspected to denote forgetfulness of any higher aim than mere "barley feeding and material case." However firmly one may believe in the uses of adversity, one must admit that there are degrees of suffering which not only render any moral or material growth impossible, but corrupt and degrade the sufferer. Of these, semi-starvation is one. "What human virtue can be expected of the man who is holding a wolf by the ears?" All sorrow is potential force; but it can only become actual force by being assimilated with the constitution of the mind, and transmuted into intensity of soul; as heavy rain renders worthless the soil on which it lies in flood, and only strengthens and fertilises it when absorbed. Suffering which cannot be thus absorbed is worse than uscless as an educational agency, and if it be not pure evil, there can be no such thing as pure evil in the universe. This, again to quote Sordello, is that-

> "..... dismal brake of prickly pear Which bristling holds Cydippe by the hair, Lames barefoot Agathon: this felled, we'll try The picturesque achievements by and by."

Perhaps one of the sins which most easily beset a bureaucracy of foreigners is an exaggerated faith in what may be called machinery. The assumption that any number of canals, roads, railways, and mechanical appliances can, of themselves, save India from famine, indicates a radically unsound conception of social and economical welfare and of the conditions of its attainment. All attempts to regenerate an impoverished country by mechanical means alone must of necessity be defeated by the growth of population to which their employment will, cateris paribus, give rise. The most effective forces of the world are the chemical, or moral forces, and it is only when, and in so far as, the operation of these is secured, that genuine progress begins. The true mode of securing such co-operation in India, and more particularly, perhaps, in Oudh, is the protection of individual industry.

The original source of all industry was the connection, more or less certain, between labour, and the reteation and enjorment of its produce by the labourer. And, as Mr. Herbert Spencer has put it, "that Innetion by which a thing begins to easist we may well consider its all essential function... If it was by maintaining the rights of its members that society began to be, then to maintain those rights must ever be regarded as its primary duty." Mr. Spencer would, perhaps, add that this is the sole duty binding on society; and if this is not true of India, the fact is mainly owing to the wide disparity of civilisation which there exists between the rulers and the ruled. It is undeniable, however, that to aftord such protection in our first duty, and that to the significant of the protection is our first duty, and that to the specialistic disparity of civilisation which that the special control in the significant of the significant industry of Oudh it is, and under the easting land system must be, very inserfective retaineds.

Agriculture is, of course, very much the most important business of India, and will probably always continue so to be. But there still survives, though, thanks to the competition of English machinery and capital, in a solily highled and fresh-bitten condition, a germ of manufacturing industry, which bitten condition, a germ of manulacturing industry, waira may some day develop into something more significant than its present appearance would seem to indicate. And towards this also we have a duty to fulfil. Manufacturing industry is, indeed, sufficiently secured from external volence, but it is in grevous need of development and instruction, without which it can never attain such superiority to, or, at least, such equality with, foreign products as will enable it to relieve the heavily birthened soil to any appreciable extent. And the most obvious methods of imparting such instruction would seem to be industrial schools and State manufactures. There must be articles for the production of which Oudh possesses special facilities. Paper, glass, leather, and gold and silver work are probably among the number. Really great results might be obtained if the principle of the dirson of labour could once be fairly introduced among native artisans. At present it can scarcely be said to exist, in the European sense of the term. As has been well remarked by Dr Hunter, division of labour, in the language of politi-cal economy, is a division of processes with a view to the

ultimate combination of the results of each process; while, as predicable of Indian arts or industries, it amounts merely to a division of final results, attained by a combination of the intermediate processes, each workman performing all the operations requisite to the production of a single result. This, as regards Indian manufactures, may be somewhat over-stated, but it does point to a cardinal defect which might well be remedied by the teaching of industrial schools. Such a change would, in fact, amount to the elevation of native industry from the first stage of division of labour, in which one man makes boots, another hats, and a third coats, to the second and more effective stage in which each of the several processes necessary for the production of boot, hat, or coat, is the work of a separate individual.

To resume:—The first and most crying need of Indian industry is protection, security; and the second is instruction, enlightenment. Agriculture is mainly in need of the former, arts and manufactures of the latter. The two branches of labour, rural and urban, are mutually interdependent, and one can scarcely flourish without the other. For the present, at least, the need of security for the cultivator is the most urgent of the wants of Oudh, and the rulers of the province might well adopt as their motto some such paraphrase of the Comtist watchword as this:—

Réorganiser, sans oppression ni féodalité, par la culte systématique de l'industrie individuelle.

It has been tacitly assumed throughout this chapter that reason will ere long reassert her reign in the counsels of the State, that the blood and treasure of the Empire will no more be poured out upon the barren hills of Afghánistan, and that our energy and resources will be concentrated on their rightful object, the improvement of the condition of the people of India. But if the "wild and dream-like trade of blood and guile" which has led our armies to Kábul, and seems but too likely to lead them to the Hindú Kúsh, be persisted in much longer, the catastrophe in which such a course must surely end cannot be long delayed. When "old mismanagements, taxations new" have produced their inevitable harvest of misery and disaffection, we shall find, too

late, that, by wasting our strength in fighting a chimera, and attempting to subjugate a race of savage mountainers, whose single virtue as their passaconate love of freedom and independence, for fear that they should roluntarily authmit themselves to Russans supermacy, we have thrown away the noblest opportunity a nation ever possessed of regenerating and elevating some two hundred millious of people.

"The gods be good unto us!" cried Signius, when misfortune, born of folly, was hard at hand "No," replied Menenius, "in such a case the gods will not be good unto

RECENT WORKS ON

AFGHANISTAN, AND THE CENTRAL ASIAN QUESIION, &c.

Selected from W. H. ALLEN & CO.'s Catalogue.

HERAT: The Granary and Garden of Central Asia. With an Index and a Map. By Colonel G. B. Malleson, C.S.I., Author of "History of the Indian Mutiny." 8vo. 8s.

"Colonel Mulleson's book on Herat is full of valuable information, and deserves the fullest attention of everyone interested in Central Asian politics."—Professor Vambery to "The Times."

- THE AFGHAN WAR. Gough's Action at Futtehabad. By the Rev. C. Swinnerton, Bengal Chaplain, Chaplain in the Field with the First Division, Peshawur Valley Field Force. Crown 8vo., with Frontispiece and Plans, 5s.
- "A spirited and accurate account of the action, compiled from descriptions furnished by the officers who were actually eugaged."—Broad Arrow.
- CENTRAL ASIAN PORTRAITS: The Celebrities of the Khanates and the Neighbouring States. By DEMETRIUS CHARLES BOULGER, M.R.A.S., Author of "England and Russia in Central Asia." Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- "This is a volume as interesting as it is useful, and which ought to be studied by everyone who wishes to judge accurately of the momentous Central Asian Question."—Whitehall Review.
- ENGLAND AND RUSSIA IN CENTRAL ASIA.

 By Demetrius Charles Boulger, M.R.A.S., Author of "The
 Life of Yakoob Beg, of Kashgar." With Appendices and two
 Maps, one Map being the latest Russian Official Map of
 Central Asia. Two vols. 8vo. 36s.
- "Mr. Boulger's volumes contain an immeuse amount of valuable information in regard to what is known as the Central Asian Question."—Pall Mall Gazette.
- NARRATIVE OF A JOURNEY THROUGH THE PROVINCE OF KHORASSAN AND ON THE N.W. FRONTIER OF AFGHANISTAN IN 1875. By Colonel C. M. Macgregor, C.S.L., C.I.E., Bengal Staff Corps. Two vols. 8vo. With Map and numerous Illustrations. 30s.

"Exceedingly interesting, and must prove of great practical value to intending travellers in Persia. We close with regret such an entertaining book of travel; one written in a simple, unpretentious style, and yet replete with useful information."—Army and Navy Gazette.

MOOHUMMUDAN LAW OF INHERITANCE,
and Rights and Relations affecting it Sunai Doctrine.
Countries and Relations affecting it Sunai Doctrine.
Sunai Doctrine.
Sunai Relation of Sunai Doctrine.
Sunai Relation of Family Inheritance. Ry Atmano Kunsay, of Lincoln's
Inn, Barrater-a-Llaw, Professor of Indian Jorisprudence at
Kung's College, London. Author of "A Chart of Hindu
Family Inheritance." & 80 80. 129.

THE KABUL INSURRECTION OF 1841-42.

(Revised and corrected from Lieut Eyre's original Manuscript) By Major-General Sir Visionry Eyre, K. C.S. I. C.B. Edited by Colonel G. B. Malleson, C.S.I. Crown Sto. With May and Hustrations.

Hap and Hustrations 98
"The republication of this volume is well justified both by the stirring mature of its contents, and the bearing which it has on recent events "—Dusly News

A HISTORY OF AFGHANISTAN; from the Earliest Period to the outbreak of the War of 1878. By Colonel G B Manisters, CSI Author of "Historical Stetch of the Nature States of India," "History of the Indian Mutury," & Scoond Edition. 8vo. With Map. 1879.

"At the present time there could be no more scasonable publication. The book deserves to be thoughtfully and extensively read by everybody who desires to probe to the pith of the idean Frontier question "-Daily Telepara"

THE PUS'HTO MANUAL (the Language of the Afghans) Comprising a concise Grammar, Exercises and Dialogues, Familiar Pharses, Proverbs, and Vocalulary By Major II. G RAYERT, Bo M.I (Retired). Author of the "Pushto Grammar," Wictionary, Lé., Feap & E.

"This book shows all the painstaking care for which Major Raverty has long been famous, and it can be weed with conditional by any one wishing to learn the language of the Afghans."—Howevered Mai

A TEXT-BOOK of INDIAN HISTORY, with Geographical Notes, Genealogical Tables, Examination Questions, and Chronological, Bographical, Geographical, and General Indexes By the Rev. G. D. Poez, D.D. Small 4to. 12s.

